Design of FIR Filter Using Particle Swarm Optimization
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Abstract: This paper presents an optimal design of digital low pass finite impulse response (FIR) filter using Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). The design target of FIR filter is to approximate the ideal filters on the request of a given designing specifications. The traditional based optimization techniques are not efficient for digital filter design. The filter specification to be realized PSO algorithm generates the best coefficients and try to meet the ideal frequency response. Particle swarm optimization (PSO) proposes a new equation for the velocity vector and updating the particle vectors and hence the solution quality is improved. The PSO technique enhances its search capability that leads to a higher probability of obtaining the optimal solution. In this paper for the given problem the realization of the FIR filter has been performed. The simulation results have been performed by using the particle swarm optimization (PSO) method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A filter is defined as a system that passes the band of frequencies according to the requirement. The aim of filtering is to improve the quality of the signal by removing unwanted component of signal such as noise. Different types of filters that are available are low pass filter, notch filters, high pass filter, band pass and band rejects filters etc. Filters are also classified as analog and digital. Analog filters are designed with various electronic components for example resistor, capacitor etc. and continuous time signal is used as an input. Digital filters are used in a various number of applications such as speech processing and image processing etc. The digital filter have number of advantages are: Digital filters can be used at low frequency, the frequency response can be changed as per the requirement if it was implemented by using a programmable processor and several input signals can be filtered by one digital filter without the need to replicate hardware. The digital filters disadvantages are: speed limitation and long design and development times. Depending on the form of the unit impulse response sequence digital filters may be divided into two categories FIR and IIR filters. FIR stands for finite impulse response filter that is its impulse response is of a finite duration and IIR stands for infinite impulse response filter which is defined as impulse response is of infinite duration. FIR filters have various number of advantages over IIR filters: FIR filters can have an exact linear phase, finite impulse response filters are stable, the design methods are generally linear, they can be realized effectively in hardware, No feedback is required which reduces the circuit complexity and hence IIR filters are always stable. There are different methods for the design of fir filter for example window design techniques, frequency sampling method, optimal design methods and evolutionary algorithm techniques. For the filter design the aim is to find the filter coefficients by optimizing the error function.

Window design method is the widely used method for the filter design. Some of the window functions used are Hamming window, Kaiser Window, Hanning window and Bartlet window. The Window function converts the infinite length response into the finite length response. Linear phase FIR filters are required when the time domain specifications are given [1]. The frequently used method for the design of linear phase weighted Chebyshev FIR digital is based on the Remez exchange algorithm by Parks and McClellan [2]. Further improvements to their results are reported in [3]. The limitation of this procedure is that the relative values of the amplitude error in the frequency bands are specified by means of weighting function and not by the deviations themselves. A different evolutionary algorithm such as genetic algorithm (GA), Differential evolution and artificial bee colony optimization [5-6] etc. has been used for the design of digital filters. Although the GA have a good performance for finding the promising regions of the search space they are inefficient in determining the local minimum in terms of the convergence speed and solution quality [7].

This present paper the design of FIR filter using the evolutionary algorithm Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). The PSO advantages lie in its simplicity to implement as well as its convergence can be controlled by few parameters. Some of the works done in order to explore the flexibility of FIR filter design provided by PSO [8-9]. PSO algorithm generates the best coefficients that try to meet ideal frequency characteristics. The PSO is simple technique to implement and its convergence may be controlled via few parameters. This paper is explained as follows: Section II includes the problem statement. Section III which includes Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm. Section IV includes the results and analysis. Section V includes the conclusion and the reference.
II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The advantage of the FIR filter structure is that it can achieve linear phase frequency response. Hence all design methods are described in the literature deal with filters with this property.

A digital FIR filter is characterized by,

$$H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} h(n) z^{-n}, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots N \tag{1}$$

where $N$ is the filter order which has $N+1$ number of filter coefficients, $h(n)$. The coefficients $h(n)$ will determine the low pass filter, high pass filter, etc. The coefficients $h(n)$ are to be determined and $N$ represents the order of the polynomial function. This paper presents the even order FIR low pass filter design with coefficients $h(n)$. Hence, $(N/2+1)$ number of $h(n)$ coefficients are optimized, that are finally concatenated to find the required $(N+1)$ number of filter coefficients. Magnitudes of Ideal filter in the pass band and stop band are one and zero. Error function is formed by the errors from the magnitude responses of the ideal filter and the designed filter. In each iteration of the evolutionary algorithm, fitness values of corresponding particle vectors are calculated and are used for updating the particle vectors with new coefficients $h(n)$. The particle vectors obtained after some number of iterations is considered to be the optimal result or best result, obtaining an optimal filter. Filter parameters which are responsible for the filter design are stop band normalized cut-off frequency $\omega_s$, pass band normalized cut-off frequency $\omega_p$, pass band and stop band ripples ($\delta_p$, $\delta_s$). Parks and McClellan algorithm were originally developed in which $N$, $\omega_s$, $\omega_p$, and the ratio $\delta_p / \delta_s$ is fixed.

In this paper, Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm is used to obtain the magnitude filter response as close as possible to the ideal response and the particle vectors i.e. the coefficients $(h_0,h_1,\ldots,h_N)$, are optimized.

The frequency response of the FIR digital filter is calculated as,

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} h(n)e^{-j\omega n} \tag{2}$$

where $\omega = \frac{2\pi k}{N}$ this is the FIR filter frequency response. $\omega$ was sampled in $[0, \pi]$ with $N$ points.

In the present paper, error fitness function given by (3) has been adopted in order to achieve minimum ripples in pass band and stop band and optimum transition width. By using (3) the PSO filter design technique found better results are obtained over other optimization techniques.

$$J = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_p} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_s} \left| H_d(\omega) - 1 \right| \delta_p + \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_s} \left| H_d(\omega) - \delta_p \right|$$

In the eq. (3) the $\omega \in \Omega_p$ includes the pass band and portion of the transition band and the $\omega \in \Omega_s$ includes the stop band and the remaining portion of the transition band. The portions of the transition band have chosen depend on pass band edge and stop band edge frequencies. The error function given in (3) is to be minimized using the evolutionary algorithm PSO. PSO algorithm tries to minimize this error fitness $J$ and hence optimizes the filter performance. $J$ involves summation of all the absolute errors for the whole frequency band and thus, minimization of $J$ gives much higher stop band attenuation and lesser stop band ripples and Transition width is also reduced.

III. PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION

PSO is a population based optimization algorithm put forward originally by Kennedy and Eberhart [10]. It is developed from swarm intelligence and is inspired by social behaviour of bird flocking or fish schooling. PSO is an optimization algorithm with implicit parallelism which can be easily handled with the non-differential objective functions. It is based on the natural process in which swarm of particles to share individual knowledge. Bird flocking or fish schooling optimizes a certain objective function. PSO algorithm uses a number of particle vectors moving around in the solution space searching for the optimist solution. Every particle in the algorithm acts as a point in the N-dimensional space. Each particle keeps the information in the solutionspace foreach iteration and the best solution is calculated that has obtained by that particle is called personal best (pbest). This solution is obtained according to the personal experiences of each particle vector. Another best value that is tracked by the PSO is in the neighborhood of that particle and this value is called gbest among all pbests.

Each particle tries to modify its position according to the following information:

- The distance between the current position and the Personal best.
- The distance between the current position and the Group best.

Mathematically velocity of the particle vectors is given according to the following equation [11]:

$$v_i^{k+1} = w^{k+1} v_i^k + c_1 \cdot \text{rand} \cdot (pbest_i - x_i^k) + c_2 \cdot \text{rand} \cdot (gbest - x_i^k) \tag{4}$$

where $v_i^k$ is the velocity of the $i$th particle at $k$th iteration; $c_1$ and $c_2$ are the weights of local information and global information; rand$1$ and rand$2$ are the random numbers between 0 and 1; $x_i^k$ is the current position of the $i$th particle at $k$th iteration; pbest$^i$ is the personal best of the $i$th particle at $k$th iteration; gbest is the group best at $k$th iteration. The Particle position in the solution space is given by the following equation:

$$x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k + v_i^{k+1} \tag{5}$$
The first term of (4) is the previous velocity of the particle vector. The second term is the personal influence of the particle vector and third term is the social influence of the group. Without the second and third terms the particle vector will keep on flying in the same direction until it hits the boundary.

The parameter \( w^{k+1} \) is the inertia weight and it is used to balance global exploration and local exploitation of the solution space [12].

\[
\begin{align*}
  w^{k+1} &= w_{\text{max}} - (w_{\text{max}} - w_{\text{min}}) \times \frac{(k + 1)}{k_{\text{max}}} \\
  \text{where} w_{\text{max}} &= 1; \quad w_{\text{min}} = 0.4; \quad k_{\text{max}} = \text{Maximum number of the iteration cycles.}
\end{align*}
\]  

The flowchart for the implementation of the PSO algorithm is given below:

Step 4: From all the personal best’s group best is obtained.
Step 5: Update velocity of the particles.
Step 6: Update each particle’s position.
Step 7: If the target is not reached go to the step 2 and repeat the process.

**IV. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

**Analysis of Magnitude response of low pass FIR filter**

The MATLAB simulation is used to design the FIR filter. The order of the filter is 20 and the number of filter coefficient is 21. PSO algorithm is run for 40 times to get the best filter coefficients. The error fitness values are found and compared with previous values. Position of the particles is updated.

**Table 1. Various PSO parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PSO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population size</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iteration cycle</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_1 )</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_2 )</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_{\text{max}} )</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_{\text{min}} )</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. PSO Filter coefficients of order 20**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( h(N) )</th>
<th>PSO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( h(1) = h(21) )</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(2) = h(20) )</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(3) = h(19) )</td>
<td>-0.0093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(4) = h(18) )</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(5) = h(17) )</td>
<td>0.0228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(6) = h(16) )</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(7) = h(15) )</td>
<td>-0.0486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(8) = h(14) )</td>
<td>-0.0529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(9) = h(13) )</td>
<td>0.0764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(10) = h(12) )</td>
<td>0.2868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h(11) )</td>
<td>0.3984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen from Figure 2 the Magnitude response of the low pass filters is compared by using the particle swarm.
optimization algorithm and rectangular window. From the results in the stop band region, the filter designed by the PSO method produces better response than the rectangular window method. PSO method has minimum ripple magnitude in the stop band region.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the FIR filter design using particle swarm optimization algorithm. The simulation results obtained from particle swarm optimization compared with the rectangular window method. It is found that PSO technique gives better results to design FIR filter. By using the PSO algorithm, the desired magnitude response is obtained and the best coefficients are found. Filter designed by the PSO method produces better response in terms of minimum stop band ripple magnitude and maximum stop band attenuation.

REFERENCES