

Vol. 8, Issue 4, April 2021

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2021.8422

# Studies of Avian Frugivory in Alagar Hills, Eastern Ghats, India

# Dr. Mrs. Aruna Ramachandran

Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Botany, Thiagarajar College, Madurai, India

**Abstract:** The paper describes the avian frugivores of Alagar Hills, Eastern Ghats, India. Phenological observations and Extended feeding observations were made on 12 woody plant species belonging to 7 families. Moraceae constituted the dominant families with3 species each. *Ficus benghalensis, Syzygium cumini, Ziziphus oenoplia, Ficus religiosa* and *Ficus racemosa* were found to be the most favoured fruit species in the study area. Bird species of families Pycnonotidae (bulbuls) (2 species; *Pycnonotus cafer, Pycnonotus luteolus,)*, Muscicapidae with 2 species (babblers) (*Turdoides affinis, Turdoides striatus*), Corvidaea (Asian Koel, House Crow), Sturnidae (Common myna, Brahminy myna) constituted a major share of frugivores.

Key words: Avian frugivory, Alagar hills, Eastern Ghats, India

## I. INTRODUCTION

#### A. Biodiversity

Biodiversity or its physical manifestation- the biological resources are the basis of life on earth. It is an ecological imperative that we depend on them for our basic existence. The floral diversity of the world supports human life in many practical ways such as for food, clothing and shelter. One of the most fundamental contributions of the plant diversity for human survival is in the supply of food. Deforestation is a global threat to biodiversity and ecosystem services [1]. While considerable areas of cleared land have reverted to secondary forest, and there have been increasing efforts to reforest degraded land, the rate of deforestation still greatly exceeds the rate of reforestation [2]. Large-scale reforestation is considered necessary to offset ecological degradation in extensively-cleared tropical and subtropical landscapes [3]. The barriers to regeneration are seed and seedling predation, changes to the physical, chemical and biological properties of soils, and ongoing disturbances, particularly fire [4]. The conservation of existing biodiversity will not only improve the productivity and tree cover of the study area, but also the overall species composition of the region. Birds form an important component of the diverse fauna of any region and are considered as the best indicators of the environment [5] and hence, widely used for ecological studies and in conservation planning.

#### B. Plant-bird interactions

The study of interactions between avian frugivores and plant species is important for identifying the roles that individual disperser species play in plant recruitment dynamics, thus having implications for both theoretical understanding of mutualisms, species interactions, and modeling vegetation change, and for applied work, including conservation and restoration [6]. The interactions between frugivores and plant species within communities have been represented as networks, where each species (of plant or frugivore) can interact with one or more other species, the analysis of network structure can advance our understanding of complex ecosystems and allow predictions of the consequences of avian species extinctions for the process of seed dispersal, and hence the plant community. Avian frugivores are considered the most important seed dispersers in most ecosystems, as assessed by the numbers of successful propagules disseminated [7, 8]. Fruit-eating birds play a critical role in the functioning of tropical ecosystems and may assist in the natural regeneration of rain forests by dispersing seeds into cleared areas [9, 10, 11]. Frugivores can shape plant populations in varied ways that involve interactions between foraging decisions and the spatial configuration of fruiting plants – decisions that could ultimately affect plant spatial configuration itself [12]. For example, frugivores can direct seeds towards other fruiting plants and habitats [13]. Frugivores also behave predictably with respect to fruit crop sizes [14], fruiting neighborhoods[15] and the location of fruit resources in space and time [16].

In India most of the studies on fruigivory have focused on asingle plant or animal species [17, 18, 19, 20] with very few community level studies [21, 22, 23, 24], dry deciduous forest, knowledge on the relative attractiveness of native plants to frugivorous birds is useful in planning afforestation because fruiting trees will attract birds which dispersed the seeds, thus increasing the diversity of the forest [25]. Study on the relationship between fruit characters (fruit color, fruit size) which attract the birds are studied very less. Very few studies on fruiting seasonality of the bird- dispersed trees have been studied in the tropical dry deciduous forest, Southern India [26]. With this background and

#### Copyright to IARJSET

#### **IARJSET**



IARJSET

Vol. 8, Issue 4, April 2021

#### DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2021.8422

understanding, a study on fruit – frugivore interactions in the forests of Alagar hills is proposed. Hence this proposal aims for the conservation of forests through afforestation indirectly by seed dispersal through birds.

#### II. OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the proposed study are to undertake the following components of research works

• Study the fruiting phenology of fleshy fruited species, consumed by birds.

• Assess fruit characteristics such as size and color of fleshy fruited species and relate with the fruit preferences of birds.

• Study the fruit – frugivore interactions in Alagar hills, Eastern Ghats, India.

#### III. STUDY AREA

The reserve forest of Alagar hills is 20 km North – East of Madurai city and th elevation reaches 880 mts. The Alagar hills lies approximately between 77° 30' and 78° 20' East longitude and 10° 05' – 10° 09' North latitude. The area of the hill is 6813 hectares and there are two springs, Garuda theertham, a seasonal one and the perennial Nupuragangai. The deciduous forests of Alagar hill are composed of both disturbed and protected vegetation. The highest peak, Thalaianaiparai (879m) is situated in the center of the reserve forest. The valley that connects the foot hills and Nupuragangai is called Silambar valley, and it lies to the south west of Thalaianaiparai (6km). Another famous pilgrim center, a temple of Lord Muruga is situated (350m) in the middle of this valley, just below Nupuragangai. Silambar valley, being a pathway to both pilgrim centers is subjected to heavy anthropogenic stress.

#### IV. METHODOLOGY

#### A. Phenology

A total of 60 individuals of woody species belonging to 12 species were marked with aluminum tags and observed for phenology (Table I).

The phenology of fruit production was observed twice in a month to assess the periodicity of fruiting. During the observation, percentage fruiting was noted for each tagged individual. Phenological observations were carried out for a continuous period of 12 months.

Name of the plant	Family
Azadirachta indica	Meliaceae
Canthium dicoccum	Rubiaceae
Carissa spinarum	Rubiaceae
Carmona retusa	Cordiaceae
Ficus benghalensis	Moraceae
Ficus racemosa	Moraceae
Ficus religiosa	Moraceae
Flacourtia indica	Flacourtiaceae
Melia azedarach	Meliaceae
Syzygium cumini	Myrtaceae
Ziziphus mauritiana	Rhamnaceae
Ziziphus oenoplia	Rhamnaceae
	Azadirachta indicaAzadirachta indicaCanthium dicoccumCarissa spinarumCarissa spinarumCarmona retusaFicus benghalensisFicus racemosaFicus religiosaFlacourtia indicaMelia azedarachSyzygium cuminiZiziphus mauritiana

TABLE IWOODY SPECIES TAGGED FOR PHENOLOGY STUDIES IN ALAGAR HILLS, MADURAI



Vol. 8, Issue 4, April 2021

#### DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2021.8422

#### B. Bird foraging observations

Foraging observation was made by extended bird feeding watches on fruit-bearing plants. Plants with good visibility were selected for extended feeding watches. Observations were made between 6.00 and 9.00 hours on the bird visitation to the focal tree, with the help of binoculars. The visit by each individual bird followed by pecking/swallowing of fruits were considered as a fruit-feeding visit by a bird Certain birds, particularly carnivores and insectivores simply perching on trees were not included in this observation as they are not frugivores. For each species of plant, three individuals were observed for 12 hours each. Thus, a total of 36 hours of observations was made for each plant species. Plants selected for observation had drupes, berries or similar soft fruits having one or a few seeds or with composite fruits with many small seeds (Moraceae).

#### C. Fruit colour and Fruit size

Colours of ripe fruits for plant species were assigned to one of eight broad colour categories as used in [27]. Diameter of the fruits were measured using vernier calipers.

#### V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Phenology

Phenological observations were made on 12 woody plant species belonging to 7 families. This included 3 species of figs and 9 species of non-figs.

A fruiting peak was observed during September, with 6 species. Species in fruits during September were *Ficus* benghalensis, *F.religiosa*, *F.racemosa*. (Fig.1). A dip was observed during December where only 2 species had fruits, viz. Ziziphus oenoplia and Ziziphus mauritiana (Fig.1).



#### B. Bird - dispersed plants

Extended feeding observations were made on 12 plant species belonging to 7 families. (Table I).Moraceae constituted the dominant families with 3 species each (Fig. 2). *Ficus benghalensis, Syzygium cumini, Ziziphus oenoplia, Ficus religiosa* and *Ficus racemosa* were found to be the most favoured fruit species in the study area. (Fig.3)

**Copyright to IARJSET** 

#### **IARJSET**





Vol. 8, Issue 4, April 2021

#### DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2021.8422



Fig.2 Dominant plant families in the study area

## C. Avian frugivory

Other than figs, major bird attracting species in the forest include *Canthium dicoccum*, *Syzygium cumini*, *Ziziphus oenoplia*, *Carissa spinarum*, *Carmona retusa* and *Azardirachta indica,etc*(Table II)

S.No	Plant Name	Life form	Family	Fruit type	Ripe fruit colour	Fruit size (cm)	No. of bird species visited
1	Azadirachta indica	Tree	Meliaceae	Drupe	Yellow	1.75	3
	Canthium dicoccum						
2		Tree	Rubiaceae	Drupe	Black	1.73	5
3	Carissa spinarum	Shrub	Rubiaceae	Drupe	Black	1.51	4
4	Carmona retusa	Shrub	Cordiaceae	Drupe	Brown	0.90	5
5	Ficus benghalensis	Tree	Moraceae	Synconium	Red	1.17	9
6	Ficus racemosa	Tree	Moraceae	Synconium	Red	2.03	7
7	Ficus religiosa	Tree	Moraceae	Synconium	Pink	1.14	6
8	Flacourtia indica	Tree	Flacourtiaceae	Berry	Black	1.75	5
9	Melia azedarach	Tree	Meliaceae	Drupe	Yellow	1.76	4
10	Syzygium cumini	Tree	Myrtaceae	Berry	Black	1.60	7
	Ziziphus mauritiana						
11		Tree	Rhamnaceae	Drupe	Red	1.03	3
12	Ziziphus oenoplia	Shrub	Rhamnaceae	Drupe	Black	0.61	7

TABLE II. OBSERVED FRUGIVORY BY BIRDS IN THE FOREST.

# IARJSET

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology



Fig. 3 Number of bird species feeding on each plant species

# D. Morphological classification of bird dispersed fruits

The fruit types of all the 12 species were determined. Drupes were the predominant fruit type (58%) followed synconium (25%) and berries (24%). (Fig. 4).



Fig.4 Morphological classification of bird-dispersed fruits

#### E. Fruit colour

Avian frugivores favored fruit colour include black (42%) followed by red (25%) and yellow (17%). (Fig.5)

#### ISSN (Online) 2393-8021 ISSN (Print) 2394-1588





# International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Vol. 8, Issue 4, April 2021

#### DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2021.8422



Fig.5 Fruit colour preferences by avian frugivores

#### F. Fruit size

Fruits' size ranged from 0.61cm (*Ziziphus oenoplia*) to 2.03cm (*Ficus racemosa*) in the study area. More visits were observed in both short sized and large sized fruits. Fruit size didn't have any significance importance in the selection of fruits by avian frugivores in this study area.

#### G. Avian frugivores

In the study area a total of 13 bird species from nine families have been recorded to eat fruits (Table III). Bird species of families Pycnonotidae (bulbuls) (2 species; *Pycnonotus cafer, Pycnonotus luteolus,*), Muscicapidae with 2 species (babblers) (*Turdoides affinis, Turdoides striatus*), Corvidaea (Asian Koel, House Crow), Sturnidae (Common myna, Brahminy myna) constituted a major share of frugivores.

S.No	Common Name	Scientific Name	No. of visits	Body mass (g)
1	Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis	375	98
2	Red-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus cafer	267	35
3	House Crow	Corvus splendens	223	280
4	White-headed Babbler	Turdoides affinis	201	40
5	Jungle Babbler	Turdoides striatus	187	77
6	Asian Koel	Eudynamys scolopacea	164	167
7	Eurasian Golden Oriole	Oriolus oriolus	103	65
8	White-browed Bulbul	Pycnonotus luteolus	98	35
10	Coppersmith Barbet	Megalaima rubricapilla	72	38

#### TABLE III AVIAN FRUGIVORES OBSERVED IN THE FOREST.

Copyright to IARJSET

# IARJSET



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Vol. 8, Issue 4, April 2021

#### DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2021.8422

11	Indian Treepie	Dendrocitta vagabunda	58	128	
12	Rose-ringed Parakeet	Psittacula karmeri	31	139	
13	Brahminy Myna	Sturnus pagodarum	8	54	

#### H. Major frugivorous birds

In the forest Common Myna (Sturnidae) formed the most dominant avian frugivore which fed on 9 plant species, followed by Red-vented Bulbul constituted with 8 species in its diet, followed by White headed babbler with 6 species. The list of avian frugivores and the number of plants utilized by them are given in the Table IV.

S.No	Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	No. of species
1	Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis	Sturnidae	9
2	Red-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus cafer	Pycnonotidae	8
3	White-headed Babbler	Turdoides affinis	Muscicapidae	6
4	House Crow	Corvus splendens	Corvidae	5
5	Jungle Babbler	Turdoides striatus	Muscicapidae	5
6	Asian Koel	Eudynamys scolopacea	Cuculidae	4
7	Eurasian-golden Oriole	Oriolus oriolus	Oriolidae	4
8	Common Iora	Aegithina tiphia	Irenidae	4
9	Coppersmith Barbet	Megalaima rubricapilla	Capitonidae	2
10	Indian Treepie	Dendrocitta vagabunda	Corvidae	2
11	Rose-ringed Parakeet	Psittacula karmeri	Psittacidae	2
12	White-browed Bulbul	Pycnonotus luteolus	Pycnonotidae	1
13	Brahminy Myna	Sturnus pagodarum	Sturnidae	1

## VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Phenological observations were made on 12 woody plant species belonging to 7 families. This included 3 species of figs and 9 species of non-figs. A fruiting peak was observed during September, with 6 species. Species in fruits during September were *Ficus benghalensis, F.religiosa, F.racemosa.* A dip was observed during December where only 2 species had fruits, viz. *Ziziphus oenoplia* and *Ziziphus mauritiana.* Extended feeding observations were made on 12 plant species belonging to 7 families.

Moraceae constituted the dominant families with3 species each (Fig. 2). Ficus benghalensis, Syzygium cumini, Ziziphus oenoplia, Ficus religiosa and Ficus racemosa were found to be the most favoured fruit species in the study area. Other than figs, major bird attracting species in the forest include Canthium dicoccum, Syzygium cumini, Ziziphus oenoplia, Carissa spinarum, Carmona retusa and Azardirachta indica, the fruit types of all the 12 species were determined. Drupes were the predominant fruit type (58%) followed synconium (25%) and berries (24%). Fruits' size ranged from 0.61cm (Ziziphus oenoplia) to 2.03cm (Ficus racemosa) in the study area. More visits were observed in both short sized and large sized fruits. Fruit size didn't have any significance importance in the selection of fruits by avian frugivores in this study area. In the study area a total of 13 bird species from nine families have been recorded to eat fruits. Bird species of families Pycnonotidae (bulbuls) (2 species; Pycnonotus cafer, Pycnonotus luteolus), Muscicapidae with 2 species (babblers) (Turdoides affinis, Turdoides striatus), Corvidaea (Asian Koel, House Crow), Sturnidae (Common myna, Brahminy myna) constituted a major share of frugivores. Forest regeneration is the need of the hour. The project results have short listed the fleshy fruited woody species of plants that are frequently fed by avian frugivores. Instead of planting invasive trees, if we plant these frugivore attracting trees like Ficus, Canthium, Syzygium it supports a lot of biodiversity.

The overall health of the ecosystem is well maintained and if the fleshy fruited bird attracting trees are planted more the biodiversity will improve more



IARJSET

Vol. 8, Issue 4, April 2021

#### DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2021.8422

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank UGC for the funding opportunity to carry out this research through UGC Minor Research Project.

#### REFERENCES

[1]H.Mooney, A. Cropper, and W. Reid, "Confronting the human dilemma: how can ecosystems provide sustainable services to benefit society?", Nature., vol. 434, pp. 561-562, 2005.

[2]T.P. Young, "Restoration ecology and conservation biology", Biological Conservation., vol. 92, pp. 73-83, 2000.

[3]R.L.Chazdon, "Tropical forest recovery: legacies of human impact and natural disturbances", Perspectives in Plant Ecology Evolution and Systematics., vol. 6, pp. 51-71, 2003.

[4]R.S.Duncan, and C.A.Chapman, Limitations of animal seed dispersal for enhancing forest succession on degraded lands, In: Levey, D.J., Silva, W.R., Galetti, M., Ed. Seed Dispersal and Frugivory: Ecology, Evolution and Conservation. Oxfordshire: CABI Publishing, p. 437-450. [5] M.L. Cody, Ed., Habitat selection in birds. NewYork: AcademicPress, 1985.

[6] B. A.Loiselle, and J. G. Blake, "Dispersal of Melastome seeds by fruit-eating birds of tropical forest understory", Ecology., vol. 80, pp. 330-336.

[7] C. M. Herrera, "Plant-vertebrate seed dispersal systems in the Mediterranean: ecological, evolutionary and historical determinants", Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics., vol.26, pp. 705-727, 1995.

[8] E.W. Stiles, Animals as seed dispersers, In: Fenner, M, Ed. Seeds: The Ecology of Regeneration in Plant Communities. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing, 2000, p. 111-1241

[9] J. M. C. Da Silva, C.Uhl, and G. Murray, "Plant succession, landscape management, and the ecology of frugivorous birds in abandoned Amazonian pastures", Conservation Biology., vol. 10, pp. 491-503, 1996.

[10] D. C.Nepstad, C. Uhl, C. A. Pereira, and J. M. C. da Silva, "A comparative study of tree establishment in aban- doned pasture and mature forest of eastern Amazonia", *Oi- kos.*, vol. 76, pp. 25-39, 1996.

[11]K.D.Holl, M.E. Loik, E.H.V.Lin, and I.A.Samuels, "Tropical montane forest restoration in Costa Rica: overcoming barriers to dispersal and establishment", Restoration Ecology., vol. 8, pp. 339-349, 2000.

[12] A.Hampe, J.L.García-Castaño, E.W. Schupp, and P. Jordano, "Spatio-temporal dynamics and local hotspots of initial recruitment in vertebratedispersed trees", Journal of Ecology., vol. 96, pp. 668-678, 2008.

[13]S.E. Russo, S. Portnoy, and C.K. Augspurger, "Incorporating animal behavior into seed dispersal models: implications for seed shadows", Ecology., vol. 87, pp. 3160-3174, 2006.

[14]J.F.Saracco, J.A. Collazo, M.J. Groom, and T.A. Carlo, "Crop size and fruit neighbourhood effects on visitation to fruiting trees", Biotropica., vol. 37, pp. 80-86, 2005.

[15]H. Von Zeipel, and O. Eriksson, "Fruit removal in the forest herb Actaea spicata depends on local context of fruits sharing the same dispersers", International Journal of Plant Sciences., vol. 168, pp. 855–860, 2007. [16]J.F.Saracco, J. A. Collazo, and M.J. Groom, "How do frugivores track resources? Insights from spatial analyses of bird foraging in a tropical

forest", Oecologia., vol.139, pp. 235-245, 2004.

[17]R.Kannan, and D.A. James, "Fruiting phenology and the conservation of the Great Pied hornbill (Bucerosbicornis) in the Western Ghats of southern India", Biotropica., vol. 31, pp. 167-177, 1999.

[18]A. Datta, A. and G.S. Rawat, "Foraging patterns of Sympatric Hornbills during the nonbreeding season in Arunachal Pradesh, northeast India", Biotropica., vol. 35(2), pp. 208-218, 2003.

[19]S.Prasad, R. Chellam, J.Krishnasamy, and S.P. Goyal, "Frugivory of Phyllanthus emblica at Rajaji National Park, Northwest India", Current Science., vol. 87(9), pp. 1188-1190,2004.

[20]R.M. Mishra, and P. Gupta, "Frugivory and seed dispersal of Carissa spinarum (L.) in a tropical deciduous forest of central India", Tropical Ecology., vol.46(2), pp. 151-156, 2005.

[21]S.G. Hegde, K. N. Ganeshaiah, and R. Uma Shankar, "Fruit preference criteria by avian frugivores: Their implications for the evolution of clutch size in Solanum pubescens", Oikos., vol. 60, pp. 20-26, 1991.

[22]P. Balasubramanian, "Interactions between fruit-eating birds and bird dispersed plants in the tropical dry evergreen forest in Point Calimere, South India", Journal of Bombay Natural History Society., vol. 93(3), pp. 428-441, 1996. [23]T.Ganesh, and P. Davidar, "Dispersal modes of tree species in the wet forests of Southern Western Ghats. Special section: Kalakad-

Mundanthurai Tiger Reserve", Current Science., vol. 80 (3) pp. 394-399, 2001.

[24]R.Aruna and P. Balasubramanian, "Fruiting phenology and avian frugivory of Streblus asper Lour. in a mixed dry deciduous forest, Western Ghats, India", International Letters of Natural Sciences., vol. 17, pp. 16-21, 2014.

[25]R.T. Corlett," Plants attractive to frugivorous birds in Hong Kong", in Memoirs of the Hong Kong Natural History Society, in 19, p. 115-116.

[26]R.Aruna, P.Balasubramanian and P.Radhakrishnan, "Role of frugivorous birds in seed dispersal in the mixed dry deciduous forests of Attappady and Anaikatty, Western Ghats" The Indian Forester., pp. 1308-1316, 2009.

[27]N.T.Wheelwright and C.H.Janson, "Colors of fruit displays of bird-dispersed plants in two tropical forests", American Naturalist., vol.126, pp. 777-799, 1985.