



The Visual Culture and Contemporary Cinema - content analysis in prominent films

E. Senthil Kumaran^{1*}, Dr. Janet Mary S²

*Research Scholar, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India¹

Assistant. Professor, Madras Christian College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India²

Abstract: Visual portrayals overall and artistic portrayals specifically got a restored consideration as of late with the advancement of Cultural Theory and Visual Culture. Here the authenticity of the incredible or the decision classes and their philosophy over the governed feeble classes is set up by making a progression of fragrant pictures of matchless quality, flawlessness, power, etc that thus function as a guideline of disguising esteem arrangement of amazing gatherings or classes. In view of expressive and insightful strategies to manage the topic examined. The Visual Culture and Contemporary Cinema is a course module of content analysis on the representation of sustainability in prominent films. Significant accomplishment is it sets up the end as a vital part of an account, which offers importance to the entire film.

Keywords: Visual, Culture, Portrayal, Artistic, Gaze, Phallogentric, Cinema, Desire

INTRODUCTION

Visual representations in general and cinematic representations in particular received a renewed attention recently with the development of Cultural Theory and Visual Culture. The new interest in the visual representations in our times is to be understood as resulted from the drastic transformation of the society of the spectacle. In this age relationship between people in society is overtly mediated by images. Herespectacle appears at once as society is overtly mediated by images. The reorganization in the social sphere that epitomized the image or spectacle takes place in a globalised world order, where media tycoons and Hollywood cinemas overrule the imagination creating a culture created from and around images that have been internalized by people permitting the activity of seeing to invigilate the consciousness by constant projection of an ideologically biased order of things.

Taking cinematic form of representation as the locus of attention it can be argued that cinema in the modern times provided the viewers with advanced pictures of a made up or manipulated world, where the question of reality is so complex to analyse. Instead of musing on the available reality the new cinema creates or rather manufacture reality, and by depicting it several times makes it a practice and thus a reality. Thus cinema, instead of being constructed by the society and from the society, constructs societies where how to act, how to look at things and events is ideologically constructed from the ideological standpoints of the producer or the director.

These issues make the study of visual culture much more significant today. Visual culture of our day has been creating illusions of various kinds. To take the example of the way CNN reported war in Afganistan and Iraq, it can be understood that Americans showed only those visuals repeatedly, which certified their plenipotence in the world and the unusual power that they exercised over the rest of the world. While exploring these general effects of proliferation of the visual, one can understand that it is all resulted from the inception of what is commonly called the 'gaze' or the way one looks and is looked by others and things. Gaze is no longer considered as a term referring mere perception or seeing. According to Patrick Fuery, The modern theories in the field of literature and culture appeared to invest in gaze such issues as the ones relating to subjectivity, culture, ideology gender, race and even interpretation. In other words, to theorize the gaze is to engage in the interplay between cinematic textual system and the act of viewing, as well as competing dynamic and heterogeneous processes involved between the two (6).

Gaze a theoretical formation of 'spectating' subject, formation of subject whose subjectivity is defined through the act of spectating (Fuery-7). While post structuralism psychoanalysts like Jacques Lacan explored such complex issues as things watching back viewer or viewing subject, where subjectivity is formed by the ways of perception, feminist critics viewed gaze as overtly masculinised or gaze as obviously phallogentric.

Visual culture provided power centers of new world with apparatuses to execute their mechanism of surveillance to assure what is to be seen by an individual or a country, because it is from what one sees that one makes oneself as long as seeing is just internalizing values and ways. Visual media creates subjectivity viewing subject is the person who is constituted as the agent of sight. In their writings, poststructuralist theorists such as Jacques Lacan, Michel Foucault, and Jacques Derrida criticised surveillance as a means of exercising control, it begins with panopticons used in circular jails and reaches at the hyperrealist digital images created with the help of computers. In order to establish social orders,

cinema, as an 'ideological state apparatus' to use an Althusserian term. Sometimes by portraying a character, cinema tries to define, say, a stereotyped sex role or the position of men in a family.

The study attempts to bring to focus the intricate ways in which cinema is seen and interpreted in the modern times. To show the advantages of using critical theories in interpreting cinema, new interpretations of cinema seems to widen the scope of understanding it and as such the cultural and ideological properties of cinema could be understood in a broad perspective. The study makes an endeavor to understand visual culture in general as a novel of approach to the visual media, with special focus on the way in which a cultural critic would define the polarities and properties of a cinema. It is to be noted that, cinema is in every sense a cultural product and therefore, it is a place where the problem pertaining to culture get visual expression.

METHODOLOGY

The present study is based on descriptive and analytical methods to deal with the subject matter studied.

Primary as well as secondary sources are made use of for the study.

The researcher watched some movies to understand the marked ways of a theoretical enquiry.

Informal interviews with people in the cine field are of immense use for the researcher in the coverage of his enquiry.

Internet facilities are also used for the reference of related topics and articles.

Psychoanalysis and the Sexual Politics of Seeing

A practice which was considered to be a challenge to early cinema is Psychoanalytic theory. In the process of understanding the magical works of early cinema, Psychoanalysis was the appropriate tool. It represents the way the culture of a male-dominated society has molded the shape of film as a political weapon. To give meaning to the world of emasculated women, the image of castrated women is a paradox of ethnocentrism in all its symptoms. Here stands in this system a woman as lynch pin: phallus a symbolic presence of her absence, where the phallus signifies desire to make good. Recent screen writing on this analysis and film has no sufficient highlights in the significance of representing the womanly form in a figurative order in which the removal of the testicles and nothing else is spoken in the last resort. The work of lady in shaping the patriarchal oblivious is, to begin with signifies the castration risk by her genuine nonappearance of a penis. Her child was raised into the typical is moment. Once this is done, her significance within the handle stops; and never ending in realm of rule & speech, but rather reminiscence that sway between nurturing wealth, need. Crave of a woman is subject to her picture as a carrier of the dying wound. It can as it were exist with respect to castration and cannot outperform it. After that, her significance within the handle fades away; it doesn't fade away in the sphere of law and discourse, but rather as a memory that alternates between maternal wealth and need. She wishes to transforms the embodiment of her bantling. Either she must relinquish the title of Father and Law, or she must keep her kid with her in the fantastical semi-light. In patriarchal culture, lady is a symbol of the male other, bound by a standard arrangement in which a man can live out his fantasies and fixations through etymological command by forcing them on a quiet picture of lady who is still tied to her place as a conveyor of meaning rather than a maker of meaning. For women's activists, there's an obvious fascination with this research, which shines in its accurate portrayal of the disappointment felt beneath the phallogocentric arrangements. It puts us closer to the source of our persecution, it clarifies the issue, and it confronts us with an enormous challenge: how to combat the clueless organized as a dialect (formed essentially at the moment of dialect entry) while remaining trapped within the patriarchy's dialect.

We are still walled off from important questions for the feminine oblivious that are hardly linked to alterity: intercourse of grown-up non-mother with infant girl, maternity outside the phallus's connotation, the genitalia. As it stands now, psychoanalytic hypothesis can only make a little input to our perceptive of the current state of social and affectionate structures, in which we find ourselves. The elective cinema creates room to political, aesthetic sense cinema to come into sight, challenging standard film's underlying suspicions.

Codes in Hollywood Cinema

The enchantment of Hollywood fashion at its best stemmed not only from its skillful and rewarding control of visual pleasure, but also from one critical standpoint. Standard film, unchallenged, roundabout sexual to tongue of reigning affectionate array. With this semiotic, the estranged subject, ragged his nonexistent recall by logic of tragedy, through fear of possible need within dreaming, come close identifying set of fulfilment in Hollywood cinema: by prescribed perfection and role play developmental fixations. The relations in sexual pleasure and picture of women and its meaning are viewed in this article. Analyzing delight, or magnificence, it is said, annihilates it. The sense of self-fulfillment and support that have characterised film history up to this point should be strike. The choice is the thrill of leaving the past behind without dismissing it, of rising beyond outworn or harsh shapes, or of being brave enough to break with traditional pleasurable impulses in order to create a new language of desire. Looking can be a source of pleasure in a condition of affairs, such as in a switch arrangement; there's pleasure in being glanced at. Scopophilia, single intrinsic components of gender attraction those stay alive as urges relatively autonomous erotogenic zone, according to Sigmund

Freud. He linked scopophilia to the act of treating others as objects and exposing them to scheming and intrusive gaze. His specialised cases focus on children's voyeuristic actions, their wish to view and prove beyond a shadow of a doubt what is private and taboo. Scopophilia is essentially dynamic in this study. Despite the fact that other variables, particularly the framework of the self-image, modify the intuition, it prolongs to remain like sexual underpinning on behalf of satisfaction in gazing at a different individual as a question. At its most intense, it can devolve hooked on depravity, yielding voyeurs and Peeping Toms whose only source of sexual gratification is observing, in a dynamic controlling manner, an externalised other.

The vast majority of standard film, as well as purposely advanced traditions, show a hermetically fixed universe that loosens up mystically, apathetic to the proximity of the gathering of people, producing a sense of partition for them and gambling on their voyeuristic daydream. Furthermore, the distinction between the haziness in the assembly hall and the brightness of shifting light and shadow designs on the screen makes a difference in advancing the voyeuristic partition dream. The observers' position in the film is obtrusively one of suppression of their exhibitionism and projection of the curtailed desires onto the entertainer, among other things. In its narcissistic standpoint, the film also advances, developing scopophilia.

Anthropomorphous

Human shape, scale, and space narratives are all human, according to traditional filmmaking traditions. Human face, body, link between human frame and its environment, the obvious closeness of the individual inside the world: saint, interest, and the desire to see intermingle with a fascination with similarity and acknowledgment. The moment a child identifies its claim picture in the mirror, according to Jacques Lacan, is critical for the inner self's formation. The reflect stage occurs when a child's physical goals exceed his engine capability, resulting in a pleasant recognition of himself in which he envisions his reflect picture to be more entire, more idealised than his claim body. The image recognized is envisioned since the reflected body of oneself, yet its misrecognition as far reaching, adventures this body external itself as an ideal mental self portrait, leading to the long run period of recognizable verification with others. Reality is that a picture contains the organization of the awesome, of acknowledgment/misrecognition and recognizable confirmation. According to the fundamental verbalization of the "I," of subjectivity, is fundamental for this article. This is the point at which a more seasoned interest in seeing collides in the midst of primary concepts of introspection. In this manner is conceived the well established love/disdain connection among picture and mental self portrait, which has tracked down such uplifted articulation in film and such euphoric acknowledgment among cinemagoers. Scopophilic emerges from delight in utilizing another individual as a protest of sexual incitement through locate. Moment, created through narcissism and structure of inner self, comes from recognizable proof with the picture seen. In this sense, one infers a separation of the subject's evocative personality from the protest on screen, while another requires recognised proof of inner self protest on screen from end to end of viewers interest in and recognition of his likeness. To begin with may be a work of sexual instinctual, moment of self-image charisma. This polarity was pivotal for Freud. Despite the fact that he considered the two as intertwined and complementary, the tension between finding drives and self-preservation continues to be a dramatic polarisation in terms of delight. Both are developmental structures that serve as components rather than meaning. They have no meaning by itself; they must be linked to an idealisation. Both are looking for impassioned points in perceptual reality, creating an imagined, eroticized concept of the world that shapes subject discernment and mocks experimental objectivity.

Symbolic order and Desire Articulation

This contradiction between moxie and sense of self appears to have found a deliciously complimentary dreaming world thanks to cinema. In actuality, the dreaming world of the screen is governed by the laws that create it. Within the conventional arrangement that displays desire, sexual instincts and distinguishing proof forms have a meaning. Want, which is born with dialect, allows for the possibility of rising above established and nonexistent. The castration complex is the point of reference for the Oedipus complex, but it does not exist in isolation: it is always inextricably linked to the traumatic moment of its birth—the moment of castration. As a result, what appears to be delightful in appearance might be debilitating in reality, and it is the lady as a representation / picture that crystallises this. Taking into account the fact that the world is ordered by sexual imbalance, pleasure in seeing can be divided into active / male and passive / feminine categories. The deciding masculine gaze puts his imagination onto the groomed feminine figure. Women are gazed at and shown in their traditional exhibitionist role, with their appearance coded for strong visual and erotic effect such that they can be said to connote to-be-looking-at-ness. The leitmotif of erotic spectacle is the woman as sexual object: from pin-ups to strip tease, from Ziegfeld to Busby Berkeley, she holds the look, plays to, and represents male desire. In a regular narrative film, the appearance of a woman is an essential part of spectacle, but her visual presence tends to work against the development of the plot, freezing the flow of events in moments of sexual contemplation. According to Budd Boetticher, what matters is the meaning and significance of a film's female character. She, the reason for heroes acts the way he does, or rather the love or terror she inspires in him, or else the care he has for her.

Women have traditionally been shown on two levels: as erotic objects for the protagonists in the screen storey and as erotic objects for the audience, with a dynamic tension between the gaze on either side of the screen. For a brief moment, the picture enters a no-man's-land outside of its own time and space due to the sexual influence of the performing woman. Similarly, traditional close-ups shot of legs or a face infuse a particular kind of sensuality into the story. One fragment of a fragmented corpse removes the renaissance space, the sense of depth required by the storey, and instead offers the screen flatness, the appearance of a cut-out or emblem rather than verisimilitude.

Ruling Ideology and Psychical Structures

Male figures cannot bear the burden of sexual objectification, according to the principles of the reigning ideology and psychical systems. Man is hesitant to look at his opportunist like. The glamorous features of a male movie star are thus those of a more flawless, more totally, and stronger idyllic self-esteem imagined in the imaginative flash of discovery in face of mirror, rather than those of an erotic object of the gaze. In the same way as the image in the mirror was more in charge of motor coordination, the character in the storey can make things happen and control events effectively than the subject / viewer. In contrast to the woman as icon, the active male figure necessitates a three-dimensional space matching to the mirror recognition, in which the alienated subject internalised his own depiction of this fictitious existence. The male protagonist is given complete control over the stage, which is a stage of spatial illusion in which he articulates the glance and directs the action.

Woman, as sign, shown for gawk and pleasure of males, the dynamic controllers of sight, is perpetually on the verge of evoking the dread she was designed to elicit. On the other hand, the first path, voyeurism, is linked to sadism: the pleasure comes from determining guilt, exerting control, and subjecting the guilty person to punishment or forgiveness. The second path, fetishistic scopophilia, enhances the object's physical beauty, turning it into something rewarding in and of itself. This cruel side fits well with narrative, requiring a plot, relying on making something happen, compelling a change in another person, a fight of wills and strength, victory / defeat, all taking place in a linear period with a beginning and an end. Fetishistic scopophilia, on the other side, exists outside of time because the erotic instinct is solely focused on the appearance. Hitchcock is the more complicated of the two since he employs both mechanisms. Sternberg's work, on the other hand, contains a plethora of pure fetishistic scopophilia examples.

Sternberg and Hitchcock

Sternberg believes that films shown upside down would not interfere with the spectator's pure experience of the screen image. His films do demand figure of the woman should be identifiable. While Hitchcock explores the investigative aspect of voyeurism, Sternberg creates the ultimate fetish, pushing the male protagonist's powerful stare aside in favour of an image in direct erotic connection with the audience. The screen space and the beauty of the woman as object merge; she is no longer the bearer of guilt, but a beautiful product, whose body, stylized and shattered by close-ups, is the film's content and the direct recipient of the spectator's gaze. Despite Sternberg's assertion that his stories are inconsequential, it is noteworthy that they are focused with situation rather than suspense, and cyclical rather than linear time, with narrative issues centred on misunderstanding rather than confrontation. In the most typical Dietrich flicks, the emotional high point, her peak moments of erotic meaning, occur in the absence of the guy she loves in fiction. Other witnesses, other observers, are observing her on the screen; their gaze is one with the audience's, not a stand-in. In both cases, the audience is treated to a sight of erotic impact sanctified by death. The male protagonist misunderstands and, most importantly, fails to see.

First Bluster against the Monolithic Traditional Film

The key blow against the solid accumulation of traditional film traditions is to liberate the camera's vision into its materiality in time and space, as well as the look of the audience into dialectics, joyful connection. Without a doubt, this devastates the "invisible guest's" fulfilment, delight, and benefit, and emphasises how film has relied on voyeuristic dynamic / inactive tools. The loss of the traditional film frame can only be viewed with nostalgic sadness by women whose pictures have been stolen and used for this purpose on a regular basis. The patriarchal society, according to Mulvey, could be phallogocentric. This means that the dominant standard is male sexual orientation and sexuality. However, phallogocentrism is based on a Freudian image of a castrated lady. Since females refer to the lack of a penis, she incorporates the fear of castration, which is so important for the male subject's constitution. Mulvey says: "The paradox of phallogocentric is in all its manifestation is that it depends on the image of the castrated woman to give order and meaning to its world." She goes on to say that the image of a woman as lace inspires the creation of meaning. The depiction of the castrated woman represents the phallogocentric culture's symbolic order. Only in response to castration, castration fear, or maternal nurture does a woman exist. Her child would be the only way for her to become a member of the Symbolic Order.

Scopophilia is the adoration of seeing, and its inverse is the pleasure derived from being gazed at. Mulvey relies on Sigmund Freud's Hypothesis of Sexuality because Freud states that scopophilia is among the elements of sexuality in these expositions. It responds to our sexual desires; its presence is independent of the erotogenic zones; it is a part of

our sexuality in which we infer sexual pleasure; it is also associated with treating others as objects and giving them an inquisitive glance. According to Sigmund Freud, children express this excitement by looking at others. Want to observe and prove beyond a shadow of a doubt what is private and what is criminal. Furthermore, Sigmund Freud associates scopophilia with pre-genital auto-eroticism. All of these psycho-sexual forms are essential for the inner self's order.

The screen, according to Mulvey, plays with our scopophilia and voyeuristic fantasies. It provides us with an universe into which we can immerse ourselves and where our gaze can wander freely. The crowd also suppresses its showmanship and gives the entertainer the opportunity to satisfy the suppressed desire. In its narcissistic viewpoints, cinema satisfies our underlying need for pleasure seeing; it develops scopophilia. We enjoy gazing at ourselves in a narcissistic light, and traditional cinematic conventions place a premium on the human form. Space, tales, and scales are all human, that is to say, they change to fit the human form. Our scopophilia and narcissism collide in movies to satisfy our wants for similarity and acknowledgement. For a large group of individuals, cinema is a work comparable to the pleasant experience of a newborn kid with his or her image reflected in a mirror. According to Mulvey, the reflect stage occurs when a child's desire for development outweighs his actual engine capacity. The self-image seen in the mirror isn't authentic, but rather ideal. However, when that image is introjected as a complete inner self, it produces long-term identifiable proof with others. This reflects the fact that character and subjectivity emerge before to dialect.

Mulvey Matrix of the Imaginary

Mulvey calls it the lattice of the nonexistent, which refers to all the mental images and representations we'll be framing. But there's a preparation of recognition and misrecognition of oneself at the very heart of what permits us to generate pictures to comprehend the world. This might be a split second in which what we perceive collides with how we see ourselves. Prior to recognising himself in the reflection, the youngster was fascinated by the mother's face and his surroundings, but had no definite self-awareness. Within the recognition of our distinction, there is both happiness and despair, delight because we have found ourselves, and despair because we have lost our connection (engulfment) to our environment. In the same way, the screen serves as a mirror for us. There are two opposing viewpoints in the act of assessing joy from the screen at that point: 1. the scopophilia perspective, or the act of inferring pleasure from jooing at another person as a protest against sexual enticement, and 2. the narcissistic identification with the image within the screen. Dynamic scopophilia offers a separation from the sexual protest on the screen, whereas narcissistic distinguishing proof seeks a separation from the query on the screen via the spectator's interest in the recognition of his or her resemblance. Dynamic scopophila distinguishes sexual intuitive, narcissistic differentiating proof with sense of self moxie or sexual wants and forms connected to the inner self from sexual intuitive, narcissistic distinguishing proof with idea of self moxie or sexual demands and forms related to the inner self.

Mulvey claims that the joy of seeing reveals the patriarchal framework's disproportionate lopsidedness in our culture. The male appearance is energetic, whereas the feminine appearance is passive. Traditionally, the presentation of women in the cinema has worked on two levels. 1. as a seductive item for male characters in a film; 2. as a suggestive protest for onlookers in a theatre. The masculine character is spared from the burden of objectification in the storey. As a result, men must be dynamic, move the tale forward, govern the cinema phantasy, and be the agent of control as the carrier of the appearance. Mulvey says that "the control of the male hero as he controls occasions coincides with the dynamic control of the suggestive see both giving a fulfilling sense of omnipotence". A dazzling male movie star does not have the qualities of the suggestive question of the look but is looked at as "the more capable sense of self conceived within the unique minute of acknowledgment before the mirror."

Point of View of Psychoanalysis

The female figure is shown in photographs as an absence of phallus; castration thereat, according to psychoanalysis. Although men like staring at women, she also represents the fear of castration. The ignorant guy can re-enact the first castration damage, probing the lady, investigating her body, and unravelling her mystery. This is frequently accomplished through fetishistic scopophilia, or the transformation of a woman's body into a source of fulfilment in and of itself. The alternative is to make her, like Eve, the bearer of the blame. This particular route appears frequently in noir films. The conflicts of the frequently juvenile macho saint are blamed on a femme fatale in film noir. The man attests his power and exposes the blameworthy femme fatale to discipline or pardoning, therefore the gaze that allots blame is additional voyeurism. Typically associated with perversion; this warped aspect fits well with the storey since perversion necessitates control, the ability to make things happen, which plainly contrasts with traditional depictions of manliness.

Philosophy and Film Theory

Since the late 1960s, structuralism, semiology, Althusserian Marxism, Lacanian Therapy, Derridean deconstruction, and the rest of the now commonplace reiteration of hypothetical imports have gotten to be more or less collapsed into a single substance known inside scholarly film consider basically as "theory," despite their common contrary qualities.

According to William Rothman, a film's show character as a scholarly field, or its show picture of its own personality, was formed by comprehending "theory," which implies, in part, grasping a certain picture, or hypothesis, of what a

hypothesis is. A field grabbing for confirmation that it had a personality of its claim imagined itself as obtaining, in a single strong stroke, an expert, a certainty, unattainable by acts of feedback responsible to an individual's involvement by taking its claim venture to be authorised by the higher specialist of "theory." As Hilary Putnam has apropos composed, "Of all the major logicians within the world nowadays, Stanley Cavell is the as it were one who has made ponder of film a central portion of his philosophical work. "Yet the field of film ponders has not one or the other grasped the driving considerations of *The World Seen*, nor raised genuine protests that recognize Cavell's philosophical point of view. Seen from Cavell's philosophical point of view, in other words, film study's turning to what the field calls "theory" has moreover been a dissent of logic. "Philosophy gives a point of view that cannot be given by any other discipline," Cavell has commented. "I don't contend that it is the most noteworthy viewpoint, as it were that it is something, call it a viewpoint of self – reflection that the human being cannot dodge, or cannot escape without avoiding Reasoning isn't part of the subject of *The World Seen*, it's not one of several, and it's not a topic that's specifically addressed by some remarks never by others. The subject of Cavell's book is reasoning.

Film and Philosophy is Intimate

The World Seen teaches that the marriage of film and logic is not only possible, but necessary. Insofar as the sphere of film thinking about foregoes reasoning, it gets away as it were by avoiding the viewpoint of self-reflection that reasoning is capable of providing, according to Cavell's philosophical position. Capital Cinema, according to Jonathan L. Beller's piece *Film and Logic*, is the name of the late 1930s pre-war Hollywood generation studio that, according to the myth, made cinematic expression possible. When NOEL Ruler talking about around David Bordwell's *Making Meaning* and the institution of film feedback he says that in its request on the localized, unexpected nature of translation, Bordwell's account of the honours of film feedback frequently reviews Wittgenstein conjointly bears curiously comparison with Ian Hunter's portrayal of a few characteristic honours of post. The Coen brothers use this corporation as an agent of the studio framework to show how cinema as a production line and as a financial framework is inscribed in and by the dominant mode of production: specifically, mechanical capitalism and its war economy. Capital Limits to the shapes of awareness that can be talked to are imposed by cinema, but as a financial shape carved by a larger societal reason, limits to the shapes of awareness rate are imposed. When NOEL Ruler talks about David Bordwell's *Making Meaning* and the Institution of Film Criticism, he notes that Bordwell's explanation of the honours of film feedback regularly reviews Wittgenstein and bears a curious parallel with Ian Hunter's portrayal of a film critic. – Sentimental scholarly feedback in his "The Occasion of Criticism", a commentary which in turns points on a few of the definitions of Wittgenstein and Foucault. Feedback is a 'occasional' movement for Seeker and Bordwell in the sense of being a specific aesthetic-ethical form of self-stylisation and self problematisation, a special open organising of the self.

Bordwell and Hunter's Critical Occasions

Hunter's description of the 'occasional' character of feedback depicts a situation in which the reader's study of self and content, self via content, in a continual loop of self-scrutiny, results in the obstructed measuring of the material. 'Hunter's point is that from this minute on, 'criticism obtained the assignment of problematising the perusing of writing in order to realise the moral problematizing of the reader,' citing Schlegel's reference to a potential peruser of Goethe's *Wilhelm Meister* as 'one who as it were half-understands the work' and as one for whom 'out of unsettling influence and question, information might establish, or the peruser might at the slightest gotten to. Bordwell and Seeker both use the concept of 'important occasions' in this way. But there's a distinct difference between him and any notion of a basic device being introduced to content, standing in some sort of exteriority link to it. Bordwell appears to be closer to the belief that there is a distinction or difference between the act of providing feedback and the inquiry that has prompted or 'occasioned' it. This interpretation of feedback's 'occasional' character argues that there may be a link between content and a certain basic device. For Seeker, feedback is 'occasional' in a slightly different sense; one in which the act of writing feedback and the protest(movie,novel,play) form part of the same device or dispositive.

Laura Mulvey has a unique perspective on visual culture and cinema. It is not the stable structure founded on broad capital speculation that Hollywood typified at its finest in the 1930s, 1940s, and 1950s. Mechanical propellers have altered the economics of film production, which can now be both artisanal and capitalist. The elective cinema creates a room for a cinema to emerge that is radical in both a political and an aesthetic sense, challenging the standard film's underlying suspicions. This is not to dismiss the last mentioned morally, but to emphasise the ways in which its formal interruptions reflect the psychical fixations of the society that created it, and to encourage, stretch the notion that elective cinema must begin by responding specifically to these fixations and suspicions.

Hollywood and Visual Pleasure

The allure of Hollywood fashion at its best sprang, not entirely, but inextricably, from its gifted and satisfying command of visual joy. Standard film, unchallenged, coded the sexual into the tongue of the reigning patriarchal order. The faraway subject, ripped in his imaginative recollection by a sense of misfortune, by the fear of possible need in fantasy, came close to finding fulfilment within the exceedingly made Hollywood cinema: by its formal splendour and

its play on his alleged developing fixations. It is thought that examining happiness or beauty destroys it. That is the point of this explanation. The choice is the thrill of leaving the past behind while not ignoring it. The elective is the thrill that comes from leaving the past behind without abandoning it, rising beyond outworn or burdensome shapes, or being bold enough to deviate from the norm. Concurring Richard Neupert's a steady and basic approach to examining filmic closes. He presents an assortment of hypotheses, which he cleverly blends into his system of four particular categories of film endings. Neupert's The Conclusion – Portrayal and Closure within the Cinema is the primary careful think about of film endings, and an important commitment in story and cinema hypothesis. Within the to begin with two categories he sets up the closed talk sensibly. Be that as it may, within the final categories where the talk is open, his definition of an open talk appears exceptionally theoretical and, as as of now said, not legitimate comparing to the definition of the closed talk. Agreeing to Zucker (1997), Neupert's geometric meticulousness leads to a speculative category that has no commonsense sense and is hypothetically theoretical. The open talk and open content categories are interesting and raise vital questions around film fashion and frame. Another hole of Neupert's pondered is his case considers, AsZucker (1997) puts it, 'his scientific classification falls along commonplace lines: the closed categories adjust to the rules of classicism, whereas the open categories comply with Bordwell's depiction of arthouse cinema'. Thus his film cases, as Neupert himself affirms, persuade their endings. In any case, Neupert certifies in his conclusion that this ponder ought to empower examinations movies that don't coordinate his categories effectively. In terms of group of onlookers recognition Neupert imagines objectivity but his presumptions on how the group of onlookers comprehends story structures appear as well subjective and are not one or the other backed by any steady hypothesis, nor by the socio authentic setting, nor by measurable information.

Neupert's Study of Filmic Ends

Neupert's think about offers a steady and basic approach to considering filmic closes. He presents an assortment of speculations, which he cleverly consolidates into his system of four unmistakable categories of film endings. Separated from this, Neupert raises numerous questions not as it were almost filmic endings, but moreover approximately story hypothesis itself. Concurring to Zucker (1997), Neupert's geometric thoroughness leads to a speculative category that has no commonsense sense and is hypothetically theoretical. The open talk and open content categories are captivating and raise imperative questions approximately film fashion and shape. Zucker (1997) puts it, 'his scientific categorization falls along commonplace lines: the closed categories accommodate to the rules of classicism, whereas the open categories comply with Bordwell's depiction of craftsmanship house cinema'. Subsequently his film cases, as Neupert himself affirms, spur their endings. In any case, Neupert confirms in his conclusion that this think about ought to empower examinations movies that don't coordinate his categories effortlessly. Neupert is sensible sufficient in spite of the fact that, to emphasis that within the conclusion the person within the group of onlookers chooses how open or closed a film is. How it passes on the pleasurable desires in arrange to conceive a modern dialect of crave.

CONCLUSION

The ponder offers a steady and straightforward approach to considering filmic closes. Visual Culture and Contemporary Cinema is the book to be devoted to studying how visual culture has affected filmmaking and all forms of audiovisual media. It presents an assortment of speculations, which he cleverly consolidates into his system of four unmistakable categories of film endings. Ponder of film endings, is a vital commitment in story and cinema hypothesis. Critical accomplishment is it sets up the conclusion as a vital perspective of a story, which gives meaning to the complete film. It illustrates that examining the conclusion of a film requires a near examination of the complete story structure itself. In general the study deals with visual culture and film theory in particular. We get a basic knowledge about the films and how films influence the society and through how the culture changes in the society. It bring to focus the intricate ways in which cinema is seen and interpreted in the modern times. It shows the recompense of using critical theories like psycho analysis in interpreting cinema, which widen the scale of understanding it and the cultural and ideological properties of cinema in a broad perspective. It is an endeavor to understand visual culture in general as a novel of approach to the visual media, with special focus on the way in which a cultural critic would define the polarities and properties of a cinema. It is noted that, cinema is in every sense a cultural product and as a result, it holds a place where the problem pertaining to culture get visual expression. As a further recommendation a study can be taken about the influence of cinema on young minds in respect to leadership.

REFERENCES

Works Cited

- [1]Baskar, Theodore. The eye of the Serpent: An Introduction to Tamil Cinema. Madras: East West, 1996.
- [2]Debord, Guy. "The Society of the Spectacle".The Visual Culture Reader.2nd Edn.Ed.
- [3]Nicholas Mirzoeff. London & New York: Routledge, 2002. 142 – 44
- [4]Fuerry, Patrick. New Developments in Film Theory. London: Macmillan, 2000.



- [5]Mirzoeff, Nicholas. Ed. *The Visual Culture Reader*. 2nd Edn. London & New York: Routledge, 2002.
- [6]Rogoff, Irit. "Studying Visual Culture" *The Visual Culture Reader*. 2nd Edn. Ed. Nicholas Mirzoeff. London & New York: Routledge, 2002. 24 – 36.
- [7]Byars, J. *All that Hollywood allows*. London: The University of North Carolina Press, 1991.
- [8]De Lauretis, T. *Alice doesn't: feminism, semiotics, cinema*. London: Macmillan, 1984.
- [9]Citron, M. *Women's film production: going mainstream*. In E.D. Pribram (Ed.), *Female spectators: looking at film and television* (pp. 45-63). London: Verso, 1988.
- [10]Gledhill, C. "Pleasurable Negotiations". In E.D. Pribram (Ed.), *Female Spectators: Looking at film and Television* (pp. 64 – 89). London: Verso, 1988.
- [11]Grosz, E. *Jacques Lacan: a feminist introduction*. London: Routledge. Man, G. (1993).
- [12]Gender, genre, and myth in *Thelma and Louise*. *Film Criticism*, xviii (1), 1990. 36 – 53.
- [13]Mulvey, L. *Afterthoughts on Visual pleasure and narrative cinema*. In C. Penley (Ed.), *Feminism and film theory*. New York: Routledge, 1988a.
- [14]Mulvey, L. (1988b). *Visual pleasure and narrative cinema*. In C. Penley (Ed.), *Feminism and film theory*. New York: Routledge.
- [15]Mulvey, L. (1989). *Visual and other pleasures*. Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.
- [16]Pribram, E.D. (1988). *Introduction*. In E.D. Pribram (Ed.), *Female spectators: looking at film and television*. London: Verso.
- [17]Rapping, E. (1992). *Feminism gets the Hollywood treatment*. *Cineaste*, xviii(4), 30-32
- [18]Robertson, P. (1996). *Guilty pleasure. Feminist camp from Mae West to Madonna*. Durham and London: Duke University Press.
- [19]Seton, J. (1986). *Feminist film theory. Sites: A journal for radical perspectives on culture*, 13, pp. unknown.
- [20]Sofia, Z. (1989). *Masculine excess and the metaphoric of vision: some problems of feminist film theory*. *Continuum: The Australian Journal of Media & Culture*, 2 (2).
- [21]Wright, E. (1992). *Feminism and psychoanalysis*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.
- [22]Mulvey, L. *Afterthoughts on Visual pleasure and narrative cinema*. In C. Penley (Ed.), *Feminism and film theory*. New York: Routledge, 1988a.
- [23]Mulvey, L. (1988b). *Visual pleasure and narrative cinema*. In C. Penley (Ed.), *Feminism and film theory*. New York: Routledge.
- [24]Mulvey, L. (1989). *Visual and other pleasures*. Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.
- [25]Pribram, E. D. (1988). *Introduction*. In E. D. Pribram (Ed.), *Female spectators: looking at film and television*. London: Verso.
- [26]Rapping, E. (1992). *Feminism gets the Hollywood treatment*. *Cineaste*, xviii(4), 30 – 32.
- [27]Robertson, P. (1996). *Guilty pleasure, feminist camp from Mae West to Madonna*. Durham and London: Duke University Press.
- [28]Seton, J. (1986). *Feminist film theory, Sites: A journal for radical perspectives on culture*, 13, pp. unknown.