### IARJSET

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

ISO 3297:2007 Certified ∺ Impact Factor 8.066 ∺ Peer-reviewed / Refereed journal ∺ Vol. 10, Issue 9, September 2023 DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2023.10939

## "Feasibility Study on Energy Consumption by Zero Energy Building"

#### Mr. Ravikiran L<sup>1</sup>, Mrs. Shruthi D G<sup>2</sup>, Prajwal T A<sup>3</sup>, Pradhiksha B P<sup>4</sup>, Rakesh R<sup>5</sup>, Uday N<sup>6</sup>

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, MIT Mysore<sup>1,2</sup>

8th Sem Student, Department of Civil Engineering, MIT Mysore<sup>3-6</sup>

**Abstract:** The study is focused on a multi-family building block of flats type and includes an energy analysis on its construction and installations elements. Results conclude with energy performance indicators and recommendations for additional energy efficiency measures. Different packages of measures were analysed for economical efficiency and appropriate conclusions are drawn. It is thus shown how a building can approach conditions compatible with "nearly zero" energy consumption from classical sources, with reasonable costs for owners

Keyword: Nearly Zero Energy, Energy Performance, Solar Energy, Bio-Gas, Rain Water Harvesting, DEWATS

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The energy requirement of each building depends on its utility. Another important factorrelated to the required of energy is thegeographical position of each building. There are three categories of building according to their use:

o Commercial.

- o Public.
- o Residential.

Zero energy building which are connected to grid are Nearly zero energy building, Net zero energy building And Net plus or positive energy building. The goals of zero energy building takes us out of designing low energy building with the energy saving goal into sustainable energy. The goal that are set & howthose goals are defined are critical to the design process. Because design goals are so important o achieving high performance building, theway a zero-energy building goal is defined to understanding the combination of applicable efficiency measures and renewable energy.

#### II. ZERO ENERGY BUILDING

As we know that zero energy building is also known as net zero energy building which meansthat a building with net zero energy consumption that the total amount of energy is used by a building on an annual basis is equal to the amount of renewable energy. It based on the concept of building within its boundaries, produces as much energy consumed on an annual basis. In order to be appropriate for use, building should be providing comfort conditionfor people who are inside.

#### III. ADVANTAGES

- Isolation for building owners from futureenergy price increases.
- Increased comfort due to more-uniforminterior temperatures.
- Reduced requirement for energy.
- Reduced Total cost of ownership due toimproved energy efficiency.
- Reduced total net monthly cost of living
- Minimized extra cost.

#### IV. DISADVANTAGES

- Initial costs can be higher.
- Lack of skills or experience to build ZEBs.
- ZEB may not reduce the required power plant capacity.
- Solar energy capture using the houseenvelope only works in locationsunobstructed from the sun.

• Without an optimized thermal envelope, the embodied energy, heating and cooling energy and resource usage is higher than needed.

## LARISET

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

**IARJSET** 

ISO 3297:2007 Certified 😤 Impact Factor 8.066 😤 Peer-reviewed / Refereed journal 😤 Vol. 10, Issue 9, September 2023



| Table 1 | : E E | and ( | Cost o | f materials | used in | construction | of zer | o energy                              | building                                |
|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------------|---------|--------------|--------|---------------------------------------|---|
|         |       |       | 000000 |             |         |              |        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ~ |

| #  | Туре      | Item                    | Units          | Quantities | EMBODIED<br>ENERGY (MJ) |
|----|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------|
| 1  | Sub       | PCC                     | m <sup>3</sup> | 12.63      | 11133.05                |
| 2  | Structure | SSM footing             | m <sup>3</sup> | 12.312     | 4249.25                 |
| 3  |           | Plinth Beam             | m <sup>3</sup> | 8.208      | 5345.94                 |
| 4  |           | Brick work with masonry | m <sup>3</sup> | 33.47      | 31587                   |
| 5  | Super     | Parapet wall            | m <sup>3</sup> | 4.256      | 3903.021                |
| 6  | Structure | Roof two-way slab       | m <sup>3</sup> | 8.89       | 27015.44                |
| 7  |           | Lintel                  | m <sup>3</sup> | 0.552      | 881.33                  |
| 8  | Finishes  | Putty                   | m <sup>2</sup> | 0.3987     | 3662.89                 |
| 9  |           | gypsum plastering       | m <sup>2</sup> | 2.658      | 1722.38                 |
| 10 |           | Flooring vertical tiles | m <sup>3</sup> | 0.5584     | 8394.1                  |
| 11 |           | Painting                | m <sup>2</sup> | 312.33     | 10416                   |
|    |           | 108330                  |                |            |                         |

# LARISET

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

IARJSET

| #  | Туре      | Item                    | Units          | Quantities | EMBODIED    |  |
|----|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--|
|    |           |                         |                |            | ENERGY (MJ) |  |
| 1  | Sub       | PCC                     | m <sup>3</sup> | 12.63      | 11133.05    |  |
| 2  | Structure | SSM footing             | m <sup>3</sup> | 12.312     | 4249.25     |  |
| 3  |           | Plinth Beam             | m <sup>3</sup> | 8.208      | 25938.9     |  |
| 4  | Super     | Brick work with masonry | m <sup>3</sup> | 33.47      | 141340      |  |
| 5  |           | Parapet wall            | m <sup>3</sup> | 4.256      | 17986.98    |  |
| 6  | Structure | Roof two-way slab       | m <sup>3</sup> | 8.89       | 27015.44    |  |
| 7  |           | Lintel                  | m <sup>3</sup> | 0.552      | 881.33      |  |
| 8  | Finishes  | Putty                   | m <sup>2</sup> | 0.3987     | 1722.38     |  |
| 9  |           | Cement plastering       | m <sup>2</sup> | 2.658      | 4062.89     |  |
| 10 |           | Flooring vertical tiles | m <sup>3</sup> | 0.5584     | 9348.11     |  |
| 11 |           | Painting                | m <sup>2</sup> | 312.33     | 10416       |  |
|    |           | 254114.33               |                |            |             |  |

Table 5.2: E E and Cost of materials used in construction of conventional building

As the energy efficient building has embodied energy of 108330 MJ which can be read as 108.330 GJ, the building as the boundary of 57.76  $m^2$ . From the above computations it was found that for materials the embodied energy of the building is 1.87 GJ/m<sup>2</sup>.

#### VI. RAIN WATER HARVESTING DESIGN



© <u>IARJSET</u> This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License 329

## IARJSET



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

ISO 3297:2007 Certified  $\,\,st\,$  Impact Factor 8.066  $\,\,st\,$  Peer-reviewed / Refereed journal  $\,\,st\,$  Vol. 10, Issue 9, September 2023

#### DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2023.10939

Area of catchment = 64m<sup>2</sup> Intensity of rainfall = I(mm)Run of coefficient = c From central ground water board Mysore district - 776.7mm average rainfallarea Total rain water can be stored = 64\*776.7\*0.81 = 40264L = 40.264kl Daily demand = 1351 For 4 members, 4\*135=450L Number of days = 40.264/540 = 74.56 days 20% of water demand can be collected and usedof nuclear family

#### VII. ELECTRICITY DEMAND

#### a. Monocrystalline silicon

The panel has the efficiency of 19% and has theself-life of 40years which can generate electricity of 125watts has output, each panel consumes 15sq.ft. The building has electricity demand of 100units per month that is same has100kW per month, on an average each day the electricity consumption of the nuclear family would be 3.33kW.

To attain the electricity demand which is generated from monocrystalline silicon panel the panel needs to be installed in 4units that would consume 60sq.ft, from the 4units of the panel the electricity output is 4.5kW.

were As the normal building has embodied energy of 254114.33 MJ which can be read as 254.11433GJ, the building as the boundary of 57.76 m<sup>2</sup> from the above computations it was found that for materials the embodied energy of the building is 4.39 GJ/m<sup>2</sup>.

1.17kW is generated is transmitted to electricityboard. The overall space required for monocrystalline silicon panel for buildingshown in fig-6.3 is 6.25m<sup>2</sup>



Fig 2: monocrystalline and polycrystallinesolar panel

#### b. Polycrystalline silicon

The panel has the efficiency of 13-16% and has he self-life of 25-30 years which can generate electricity of 330 watts has output, each panel consumes 80 sq.ft. The building has electricity demand of 100 units per month that is same has 100 kW per month, on an average each day the electricity consumption of the nuclear family would be 3.33 kW.

To attain the electricity demand which is generated from polycrystalline silicon panel thepanel needs to be installed in 2units that wouldconsume 80sq.ft, from the 2units of the panel the electricity output is 5.9kW. were 2.61kW isgenerated is transmitted to electricity board. The overall space required for polycrystalline silicon panel for building shown in fig-6.3 is 7.56m<sup>2</sup>.





International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

ISO 3297:2007 Certified 😤 Impact Factor 8.066 😤 Peer-reviewed / Refereed journal 😤 Vol. 10, Issue 9, September 2023

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2023.10939

#### VIII. DEWATS SYSTEM

Decentralized wastewater systems (also referred to as decentralized wastewater treatment systems) convey, treat and dispose orreuse wastewater from small and low-density



Fig 3: DEWATS system

#### IX. THERMAL ANALYSIS

Thermal Mass is defined as any building material having a high heat storage capacity that can be integrated into the structural fabric of the building to effectively utilize the passivesolar energy for the purposes of heating and cooling.



Fig 4: The temperature outside is 35.8 degreeCelsius and the room temperature is 29.8 degree Celsius

### IARJSET



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

ISO 3297:2007 Certified 😤 Impact Factor 8.066 😤 Peer-reviewed / Refereed journal 😤 Vol. 10, Issue 9, September 2023

#### DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2023.10939

communities, buildings and dwellings in remote areas, individual public or private properties. Wastewater flow is generated whenappropriate water supply is available within thebuildings or close to them. Treatment facilities resembling natural purification processes. Their application requires significant surface area, because of the slow pace of the biological processes applied. For the same reason they aremore suitable for warmer climates, because therate of the purification process is temperature dependent. These technologies are more resilient to fluctuating loads and do not requirecomplex maintenance and operation.



Fig 5: The temperature outside is 45.1 degreeCelsius and the room temperature is 30.2 degree Celsius

Some of the commonly used materials include concrete slabs, bricks, ceramic blocks, masonrywalls (concrete and bricks need a lot of heat to change its temperature but light weight thermalmass like timber have low thermal mass. The selection of a particular material to function as thermal mass depends on a variety of factorssuch as a high density, a high specific heat capacity and the ability to delay the time taken to release the heat Door - A door may be defined as open able barrier or as a framework of wood, steel, aluminium, glass or a combination of these materials secured in a wallopening.



Fig 6: The room temperature is 32.3 degree Celsius and the temperature outside is 33.2 degree Celsius.

#### X. LIMITATIONS

• A restrain factor is the prevailing belief about the high cost of zero-energy efficientbuildings construction. However, calculations have shown that the cost of energy efficient buildings are only 8-10% higher than average cost of standard buildings, which is paid back within 10-15 years due to the reduced operation costs.

• Industrial sludge incorporation is still a relatively new concept, hence long-termaspects like toxicity effects, endurance andtensile strength of the various constructionmaterials incorporated with industrial sludges are still in its juvenile stage. Further, experimentation and detailed analysis on fulfilment of strength criteria of the sludge incorporated concrete, remains aconspicuous lacuna in our project and is necessary in this arena prior practicability.

• The project aims to achieve a zero-energy building through few simple steps, and with the collected data and results, a building ofgold LEED rating can only be achieved, asopposed to the platinum LEED rating required by that of a Zero Energy Building. Actual performance of zero energy buildings against net zero energy targets in the current scenario in pre-design process isyet to be reviewed and evaluated.





International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

ISO 3297:2007 Certified 🗧 Impact Factor 8.066 🗧 Peer-reviewed / Refereed journal 🗧 Vol. 10, Issue 9, September 2023

#### DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2023.10939

#### XI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Zero Energy Buildings (ZEBs) are a sustainable and energy-efficient building concept that aims to reduce energy consumption and promote the use of renewableenergy sources. ZEBs are designed to produce as much energy as they consume, resulting in anet-zero energy balance. The implementation of ZEBs requires a holistic approach, including a combination of passive design strategies, energy-efficient technologies, and renewableenergy systems.

The benefits of ZEBs are numerous, including reduced carbon emissions, lower energy bills, improved indoor air quality, and increased occupant comfort. However, the initial construction costs of ZEBs can be higher than traditional buildings, and the implementation of ZEBs may face regulatory and technical challenges. Overall, ZEBs represent a significant step towards a more sustainable future, and their adoption can contribute to achieving global climate goals. As technologies and building codes continue to evolve, the implementation of ZEBs is expected to becomemore accessible and cost-effective in the future. The zero-energy building concept will help to reduce the Global warming and helps to retain the nature. In zero energy building using solar energy is the best energy source to save the energy and cost efficiency. The installation of solar panels initially will be costly, but as we know that it will reduce the energy consumption so the owner of the building in future, they can save money on their electricity bill. The solar panels that would be installed on back side of the building, which should be facing south.

A number of traditional approaches and future components are investigated along with their advantages and disadvantages. Currently, whilesome of these advances in envelope componenttechnologies are easy and cost effective to adopt, others still remain in the research and development phase for future applicability. Several studies have been performed to find theeconomic feasibility of various building energy efficiency strategies. Energy efficiency approaches sometimes might not require additional capital investment.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Dr. Anand Kavre (Biogas plant), Appropriate Rural TechnologyInstitute(ARTI) 2003, Pune-Maharashtra.
- [2]. Biomass laxmi stove, National Institute of Rural Development, Rajendranagar-Indore.
- [3]. Decentralised Wastewater Treatment System, Center for advanced sanitation solution(CASS) is a arm of Consortium for dewats dissemination(CDD), Bangalore.
- [4]. Blankenship, R.E., D.M. Tiede, J. Barber, G.W. Brudvig, G. Fleming, M. Ghirardi, M.R. Gunner, W. Junge, et al. 2011. Comparing photosynthetic andphotovoltaic efficiencies and recognizing the potential for improvement. Science 332: 805–809.
- [5]. Okafor, I. F., and G. O. Unachukwu, "Performance Evaluation of Nozzle Type Improved Wood Cook Stove," American-Eurasian Journal of SustainableAgriculture.
- [6]. References Igoni, M. F. N., Ayotamuno, M. J. and Eze, C. L. (2008). Effect of total solids concentration of municipal solid waste on the biogas produced in ananaerobic continuous digester. Tanzania traditional energy development andenvironment organization, biogas technology: Construction, utilization andoperation manual.
- [7]. Mohan, Ravindra, and Shankar Kumar, "Enhancement of Thermal Efficiency of Traditional Indian Cooking Furnace (Chulha)," Current World Environment.