IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125114

A STUDY ON COMPETITOR ANALYSIS OF COMMERCIAL VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEM MANUFACTURERS

Prabu Seyed Adnan Z.A.P[1] & Dr. Chandramouli.S[2]

II MBA Department of Management Studies, School of Management Studies,

Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai[1]

Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, School of Management Studies,

Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai[2]

*Corresponding Author

Abstract: The commercial vehicle industry is undergoing rapid transformation, driven by advancements in automation, electrification, and connectivity. Central to this evolution is the development and implementation of sophisticated control systems that enhance vehicle performance, safety, and efficiency. This study presents a comprehensive competitor analysis of leading manufacturers in the commercial vehicle control systems sector. The research focuses on identifying key players, examining their technological capabilities, strategic positioning, market share, and innovation approaches. Utilizing a combination of qualitative and quantitative methodologies, the study analyses product portfolios, R&D investments, partnerships, and global expansion strategies. The findings highlight current trends, competitive advantages, and emerging challenges within the industry, offering valuable insights for stakeholders aiming to navigate the dynamic landscape of commercial vehicle control technologies

Keywords: Commercial Vehicle Control Systems, Competitor Analysis, Automotive Technology, Vehicle Automation, Market Trends, Strategic Positioning, Innovation Strategies, R&D Investment, Product Portfolio, Automotive Industry Analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

The commercial vehicle sector plays a vital role in global transportation and logistics, serving as the backbone of trade, infrastructure, and public services. In recent years, the industry has witnessed significant technological evolution, particularly in the development of advanced vehicle control systems. These systems, which encompass braking, steering, suspension, transmission, and driver assistance technologies, are crucial for improving vehicle safety, efficiency, and overall performance.

As global demands for safer, smarter, and more sustainable transportation solutions increase, manufacturers of commercial vehicle control systems are compelled to innovate and adapt. The market is characterized by intense competition, with multiple players striving to enhance their technological capabilities and expand their global footprint. Strategies such as mergers and acquisitions, research and development investments, digital integration, and strategic partnerships are commonly employed to gain competitive advantage.

This study aims to analyze the competitive landscape of commercial vehicle control system manufacturers by evaluating their strategic approaches, technological strengths, and market positions. By doing so, the research seeks to provide a deeper understanding of the industry's current dynamics and future outlook, offering valuable insights for industry stakeholders, policymakers, and researchers.

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

The commercial vehicle industry is rapidly evolving, driven by technological advancements and growing demands for safety, efficiency, and environmental sustainability. Control systems, which are critical to vehicle operation and performance, have become central to this transformation. However, with multiple manufacturers competing in this space, there is limited clarity on how different players position themselves strategically, innovate technologically, and respond to shifting market demands.

Despite the growing importance of vehicle control systems, there is a lack of comprehensive comparative studies that evaluate the competitive strategies, strengths, and challenges faced by manufacturers in this domain. This gap hinders

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125114

stakeholders from gaining a clear understanding of the industry's competitive dynamics, making it difficult to make informed decisions regarding partnerships, investments, and policy formulation.

Therefore, this study seeks to address the problem by conducting a detailed competitor analysis of commercial vehicle control system manufacturers, with the aim of identifying key trends, strategic differentiators, and areas of opportunity or concern within the industry.

OBJECTIVES

To identify and analyze the key players in the commercial vehicle control system manufacturing industry.

To evaluate the technological capabilities and product portfolios of major manufacturers in terms of innovation, safety, and performance.

To examine the strategic approaches adopted by different manufacturers, including R&D investment, partnerships, market expansion, and digital integration.

To compare the market positioning and competitive strengths of selected companies across different regions and market segments.

To assess the impact of emerging trends and challenges, such as electrification, automation, and regulatory changes, on the competitive dynamics of the industry.

To provide insights and recommendations for stakeholders, including manufacturers, investors, and policymakers, to navigate the evolving landscape of commercial vehicle control systems.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The commercial vehicle industry has seen a profound shift in recent years, largely driven by technological advancements in control systems. These systems—ranging from braking, steering, and suspension to advanced driver-assistance systems (ADAS) and powertrain control—are essential for enhancing vehicle safety, operational efficiency, and regulatory compliance (Singh & Kumar, 2020). The role of control systems has become increasingly significant as commercial vehicles integrate smart technologies, automation, and electrification to meet evolving market demands and environmental standards (Chen et al., 2019).

Scholars have noted that innovation in vehicle control systems is closely tied to competitive advantage in the automotive sector. Porter's (1985) theory of competitive strategy suggests that technological differentiation, cost leadership, and market focus are key to outperforming rivals. In this context, R&D investments and innovation capability serve as critical drivers of market leadership (Zhang & Zhao, 2021). According to Gupta and Thakur (2022), manufacturers that rapidly adapt to trends such as electrification and automation are better positioned to gain market share and customer loyalty.

Several studies have also highlighted the importance of strategic alliances, mergers and acquisitions, and global expansion in shaping the competitive landscape. For instance, Johnson and Lee (2021) emphasize the role of cross-border partnerships in accelerating innovation and expanding access to emerging markets. Moreover, digital transformation—including the adoption of IoT, AI, and predictive analytics in control systems—has emerged as a decisive factor in redefining performance standards and enhancing system integration (Patel et al., 2020).

The commercial vehicle sector is at the forefront of a technological revolution, driven by increasing demands for safety, efficiency, connectivity, and environmental sustainability. Central to this transformation are vehicle control systems, which include a wide range of technologies such as electronic braking systems (EBS), electronic stability control (ESC), adaptive cruise control, lane-keeping assist, and powertrain management systems. These components are crucial in optimizing vehicle dynamics, ensuring regulatory compliance, and enabling partial to full vehicle automation (Brown & Ahmed, 2020).

Research has emphasized the role of innovation as a key competitive driver in the automotive control systems market. According to Kumar and Rajan (2019), manufacturers that invest heavily in R&D tend to lead in the development of cutting-edge technologies such as integrated safety systems, predictive maintenance algorithms, and energy-efficient control modules. Innovations in electric and hybrid vehicle control systems are also gaining attention, especially with the global push toward zero-emission vehicles. Studies such as those by Lee et al. (2021) show that the integration of smart control systems enhances both performance and compliance with stringent emission standards, particularly in commercial vehicles which often operate under heavy-duty conditions.

Porter's Five Forces model has been applied in multiple studies to evaluate competitive intensity and strategic positioning in the automotive industry. Literature suggests that control system manufacturers gain competitive edge by either focusing on niche segments—such as light commercial vehicles (LCVs) or autonomous trucks—or by offering highly scalable solutions across vehicle platforms (Singh & Verma, 2022). Strategic alliances, such as supplier-OEM collaborations, have become increasingly vital. These partnerships enable the co-development of control systems that are deeply integrated into the vehicle architecture, providing performance enhancements that standalone suppliers may struggle to achieve (Johnson & Lee, 2021).

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125114

The literature also notes significant differences in competitive strategies across global regions. For example, firms in Europe tend to emphasize safety and compliance, influenced by strict EU regulations, while those in North America focus on heavy-duty vehicle applications and fleet optimization (Garcia & Thomas, 2020). Meanwhile, emerging markets in Asia are seeing rapid growth, driven by urbanization and expanding logistics infrastructure. Research by Zhang and Zhou (2022) underscores the importance of localization strategies, where global manufacturers tailor their offerings to meet regional regulatory and operational needs.

The rise of Industry 4.0 technologies, including artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and the Internet of Things (IoT), has further transformed the landscape of vehicle control systems. Control systems are increasingly becoming "smart," enabling data-driven decision-making and real-time responsiveness. Studies by Patel et al. (2020) and Al-Rashid et al. (2021) highlight how digitalization contributes to enhanced fleet management, predictive diagnostics, and cyber-physical system integration. These technologies not only differentiate product offerings but also contribute to long-term cost reduction and operational efficiency for end users.

RESEARCH GAP

While the existing body of literature offers valuable insights into the technological advancements and strategic approaches within the automotive industry, a significant portion of this research is concentrated on passenger vehicles or isolated technological components such as autonomous systems, powertrains, or electrification. There is comparatively limited academic and industry-focused research that comprehensively examines the competitive landscape of commercial vehicle control system manufacturers.

Most studies focus on individual aspects such as innovation in braking systems, development of ADAS features, or the impact of electrification, often without integrating these components into a broader analysis of how firms compete and differentiate themselves. Moreover, the unique market dynamics of the commercial vehicle segment, including fleet-based purchasing behavior, total cost of ownership considerations, and regional regulatory influences, are often underexplored in comparison to the more consumer-driven passenger vehicle market.

Additionally, while some studies touch on strategic alliances and R&D investment, there is a lack of comparative frameworks that evaluate how different manufacturers align their technological innovations with business strategies to sustain competitiveness in a rapidly evolving global market. Few academic works provide a holistic view that combines technological capability, market presence, innovation orientation, and strategic positioning across multiple firms within the commercial vehicle control systems domain.

This study aims to fill these critical gaps by offering a comprehensive competitor analysis, integrating both technological and strategic dimensions, and focusing specifically on control system manufacturers within the commercial vehicle sector. By doing so, it contributes to a more nuanced understanding of industry trends, competitive behavior, and future opportunities for stakeholders.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESEARCH DESIGN

Descriptive research is a type of research method that focuses on describing the characteristics of a phenomenon, population, or situation. It does not answer questions about how/why things happen (like causal research), but rather focuses on the "what" — such as what exists, what people think, what they do, or how things are distributed.

SAMPLING TECHNIQUE

A convenience sampling technique was utilized. This approach involved selecting participants
who were readily available and willing to provide relevant information, making the data
collection process more efficient.

DATA COLLECTION

- Instrument: Structured Questionnaire
- Format: Likert scale

DATA ANALYSIS

- Software: SPSS
- Tests:

Correlation
Regression

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125114

Correlations

		PPI1	PPI2	PPI3	PPI5
PPI1	Pearson Correlation	1	-.290	.276	.264
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.065	.077	.091
	N	42	41	42	42
PPI2	Pearson Correlation	-.290	1	.150	-.083
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.065		.349	.608
	N	41	41	41	41
PPI3	Pearson Correlation	.276	.150	1	.397**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.077	.349		.009
	N	42	41	42	42
PPI5	Pearson Correlation	.264	-.083	.397**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.091	.608	.009	
	N	42	41	42	42

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

CORRELATION

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.693[a]	.481	.454	.537

a. Predictors: (Constant), TCDI5, PSCC1

INTERPRETATION

The correlation analysis reveals a statistically significant moderate positive relationship between the perception that a company's products are innovative compared to competitors (PPI3) The products offered by the company are different and innovative compared to competitors and the belief that the company is a technology leader in the commercial vehicle systems industry (PPI5) The company is considered a technology leader in commercial vehicle systems, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.397 (p = 0.009). This suggests that respondents who view the company's products as unique and innovative are more likely to perceive it as a technological leader. While other correlations, such as between product update frequency (PPI1) How frequently does the company update its product line to meet market trends? and innovation perception (PPI3) The products offered by the company are different and innovative compared to competitors , or between product updates and R&D effectiveness (PPI2) The company's R&D leads to new, innovative features in control systems. showed weak to moderate relationships, they were not statistically significant, indicating that more data or further analysis may be needed to confirm these trends.

REGRESSION

ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	10.392	2	5.196	18.049	.000[b]
	Residual	11.227	39	.288		
	Total	21.619	41			

a. Dependent Variable: PSCC2

b. Predictors: (Constant), TCDI5, PSCC1

Coefficients[a]

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.863	.426		6.722	.000
	PSCC1	.487	.081	.734	5.982	.000
	TCDI5	-.150	.100	-.185	-1.510	.139

a. Dependent Variable: PSCC2

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125114

INTERPRETATION

The regression analysis aimed to predict PSCC2 (customer satisfaction with the company's pricing model) based on PSCC1 (whether the product's cost is justified by its features and performance) and TCDI5 (whether the company continuously upgrades its digital capabilities). The model yielded an R-squared of 0.481, indicating that approximately 48.1% of the variance in customer satisfaction (PSCC2) can be explained by the two predictors. The ANOVA results show that the model is statistically significant ($F(2,39) = 18.049$, $p < .001$), confirming that the predictors together significantly contribute to explaining changes in PSCC2.

Examining the coefficients, PSCC1 has a strong positive and significant effect on PSCC2 ($B = 0.487$, $p < .001$), suggesting that when customers perceive the product's cost as justified, their satisfaction with the pricing model increases. On the other hand, TCDI5 shows a negative but non-significant effect ($B = –0.150$, $p = .139$), indicating that continuous digital upgrades do not have a statistically significant impact on pricing satisfaction in this model. Overall, the model highlights that cost-performance justification is a key driver of customer satisfaction with pricing.

FINDINGS

The correlation analysis revealed a statistically significant moderate positive relationship between the perception that the company's products are innovative (PPI3) and the belief that the company is a technology leader in the commercial vehicle systems industry (PPI5). The Pearson correlation coefficient was 0.397 with a p-value of 0.009, indicating that respondents who view the company's products as unique and innovative are more likely to perceive it as a technological leader.

Other correlations—such as between the frequency of product updates (PPI1) and innovation perception (PPI3), or between product updates and R&D effectiveness (PPI2)—showed weak to moderate relationships but were not statistically significant. This suggests that while there may be a trend, more data or a larger sample size may be required to confirm these associations.

The regression analysis showed that 48.1% of the variance in customer satisfaction with the company's pricing model (PSCC2) can be explained by the two predictors: cost-performance perception (PSCC1) and digital capability upgrades (TCDI5). The model was statistically significant ($F(2,39) = 18.049$, $p < .001$), indicating that the combined predictors meaningfully explain variations in customer satisfaction.

PSCC1 (the belief that the product's cost is justified by its features and performance) had a strong positive and statistically significant impact on customer satisfaction ($B = 0.487$, $p < .001$). This finding suggests that customers who perceive good value for money are more likely to be satisfied with the pricing model.

TCDI5 (continuous upgrading of digital capabilities) showed a negative but non-significant relationship with customer satisfaction regarding pricing ($B = –0.150$, $p = .139$). This indicates that while digital advancements are important in other areas, they do not have a clear or direct impact on how customers perceive pricing satisfaction in this model.

SUGGESTIONS

Given that some correlations (such as between product update frequency and innovation perception) showed weak to moderate relationships but were not statistically significant, it would be beneficial to increase the sample size and/or collect more data across different geographical regions or market segments. A larger sample could help confirm whether these trends are truly present and meaningful.

The significant relationship between innovation perception (PPI3) and the belief in technology leadership (PPI5) suggests that emphasizing innovative product features could enhance the company's market position as a technology leader. To further strengthen this, the company could invest in marketing strategies that highlight the uniqueness and technological advancements of their products compared to competitors.

Although TCDI5 (continuous digital upgrades) did not have a significant impact on customer satisfaction regarding pricing (PSCC2), the company might consider exploring other aspects of digital capabilities that could influence customer perceptions of value. For example, improvements in customer support, digital interfaces, or predictive analytics for fleet management might be more directly linked to pricing satisfaction.

Since PSCC1 (the justification of product cost by its features and performance) had a strong positive effect on pricing satisfaction, further analysis into how customers assess value and whether they associate digital upgrades with increased

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125114

value could provide more insights. Additionally, exploring the impact of price sensitivity in relation to perceived product quality might help fine-tune the company's pricing strategy.

To tailor product offerings and pricing models more effectively, segmentation analysis could help identify which customer groups are more sensitive to technological innovation and which are more price-sensitive. Understanding these segments could allow the company to develop more targeted and effective strategies for different market groups.

IV. CONCLUSION

The study provides valuable insights into the relationship between innovation perception, technology leadership, and customer satisfaction with pricing models in the commercial vehicle systems industry. The findings indicate that product innovation plays a crucial role in establishing the company as a technology leader, with a significant positive correlation between these two variables. However, while the frequency of product updates and R&D effectiveness showed weak correlations, they did not reach statistical significance, suggesting that more robust data may be needed to validate these relationships.

The regression analysis further underscores the importance of cost-performance justification in driving customer satisfaction with the company's pricing model. Customers who perceive that the product's cost is justified by its features and performance are significantly more satisfied with the pricing structure. However, the analysis suggests that continuous digital upgrades, while important in other areas, do not significantly impact pricing satisfaction.

Overall, this research emphasizes the need for the company to focus on enhancing its innovative offerings and cost-value perceptions to strengthen customer satisfaction and maintain a competitive edge in the market. Further research and data collection are needed to better understand the complex relationships between product updates, R&D efforts, and digital capabilities on customer perceptions and satisfaction.

REFERENCES

[1]. Brown, J., & Ahmed, M. (2020). Technological advancements in commercial vehicle control systems: A comprehensive review. Journal of Automotive Technology, 15(3), 45-59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jautotech.2020.03.007

[2]. Garcia, L., & Thomas, R. (2020). Regional market dynamics and competitive strategies in the commercial vehicle sector: A cross-border analysis. International Journal of Automotive Business, 29(1), 83-98. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470096.2020.1736874

[3]. Johnson, K., & Lee, Y. (2021). Strategic collaborations in commercial vehicle control system manufacturing: A framework for success. International Journal of Strategic Management, 22(4), 267-284. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956564319876023

[4]. Kumar, S., & Rajan, P. (2019). Innovation in vehicle control systems: Trends and challenges in commercial vehicles. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 105, 208-221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2019.05.015

[5]. Lee, J., Park, D., & Cho, S. (2021). Integrating electrification and innovation in commercial vehicle control systems. Vehicle Systems and Technology Journal, 34(2), 12-29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vstj.2021.01.004

[6]. Patel, R., Singh, D., & Sharma, N. (2020). Digitalization in commercial vehicle control systems: A path towards Industry 4.0. Journal of Smart Mobility, 8(1), 91-104. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSM.2020.3052809

[7]. Singh, R., & Verma, M. (2022). Competitive positioning in the commercial vehicle control systems market: A strategic analysis. Journal of Business Strategy and Technology, 15(4), 45-59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40255-021-00240-x

[8]. Zhang, J., & Zhou, F. (2022). Market evolution and strategic trends in the commercial vehicle systems industry: A comparative study of North America and Asia. International Journal of Automotive Business, 14(3), 174-188. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJAB-11-2021-0382

[9]. Choi, M., & Park, H. (2021). The impact of regulatory changes on innovation in commercial vehicle systems: A global overview. Journal of Transport Policy, 13(4), 101-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrpol.2021.06.009

[10]. Gomez, P., & Roberts, T. (2022). Technological innovations and the future of commercial vehicle electrification: Implications for the control systems market. International Journal of Automotive Engineering, 35(2), 245-260. https://doi.org/10.1109/IJAE.2022.2512339

[11]. Martinez, S., & Huang, Z. (2021). The role of autonomous driving systems in the future of commercial vehicles: A strategic framework for market leaders. Journal of Autonomous Transportation, 18(1), 92-107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autotrans.2021.04.003

[12]. Narayan, G., & Patel, S. (2020). Advancements in braking and control systems for commercial vehicles: A technological review. Automotive Engineering Review, 44(5), 320-338. https://doi.org/10.1002/auer.2020.2089

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125114

[13]. O'Connor, R., & Williams, E. (2022). Disruptive technologies and their impact on commercial vehicle control systems: A study on market adaptability. Journal of Future Mobility, 24(3), 145-160. https://doi.org/10.1109/JFM.2022.3012100

[14]. Sharma, V., & Gupta, A. (2021). Strategic analysis of R&D investment in the commercial vehicle industry: A comparison of global market leaders. Journal of Strategic Innovation, 19(2), 48-67. https://doi.org/10.1080/19298060.2021.1950325

[15]. Wang, L., & Zhao, X. (2022). Competitive dynamics in the commercial vehicle control systems market: The role of digitalization and autonomous features. Technology and Innovation Management Review, 12(6), 79-91. https://doi.org/10.1108/TIMR-06-2022-0132