IARJSET



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 🗧 Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal 😤 Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125163

STUDY ON DEVELOPING A B2B MARKETING STRATEGY FOR FEMTOSOFT

VIGNESH N¹, DR S CHANDRA MOULI²

STUDENT, MBA, VELS INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY & ADVANCED STUDIES (VISTAS)¹ ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, MBA, VELS INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY & ADVANCED STUDIES (VISTAS)²

Abstract: This study explores the development of an effective Business-to-Business (B2B) marketing strategy tailored specifically for Femtosoft, a company engaged in providing advanced software solutions and IT services. Operating in a competitive and rapidly evolving technological landscape, Femtosoft aims to expand its market reach and build stronger relationships with enterprise-level clients. Unlike Business-to-Consumer (B2C) marketing, B2B marketing requires a deep understanding of organizational decision-making units, long sales cycles, and value-driven communications. The research adopts a multi-dimensional approach, combining primary and secondary data sources to understand Femtosoft's current market position, target audience, and competitive environment. Key methodologies include SWOT analysis, competitor benchmarking, customer segmentation, and stakeholder interviews. Special focus is placed on identifying the pain points of potential business clients and aligning Femtosoft's value proposition with industry-specific needs. The study proposes a strategic marketing framework that incorporates digital marketing, inbound and outbound lead generation, account-based marketing (ABM), and content strategies designed to build authority and trust within niche markets. Additionally, the integration of marketing automation tools, customer relationship management (CRM) systems, and performance analytics is discussed as a means to enhance marketing efficiency and ROI. By implementing the recommendations provided, Femtosoft can position itself as a trusted B2B technology partner, capable of delivering customized, scalable solutions. The study concludes with a roadmap for execution, including budget planning, channel selection, and performance metrics, to ensure continuous growth and long-term sustainability in the B2B domain.

Keywords: B2B Marketing Strategy, Technology Solutions, Customer Relationship Management (CRM)

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's competitive digital economy, technology companies like Femtosoft face a unique challenge—not just in delivering innovative software solutions, but in effectively reaching and resonating with the right business clients. While Femtosoft has built a solid foundation in software development and IT services, expanding its footprint in the B2B (Business-to-Business) landscape requires more than technical expertise. It demands a clear, focused, and strategic approach to marketing—one that speaks directly to the needs of decision-makers in other organizations. Unlike B2C marketing, where emotional appeal and mass communication often dominate, B2B marketing is more intricate. It revolves around trust, long-term relationships, and measurable value. Purchasing decisions are typically made by committees, based on logic, performance metrics, and ROI. This means companies like Femtosoft must align their marketing efforts with the specific priorities and pain points of their target industries.

This study was undertaken with a simple yet important goal: to help Femtosoft design a B2B marketing strategy that not only increases visibility but also builds credibility and attracts high-quality leads. Through a mix of market research, competitive analysis, and strategic planning, we explore how Femtosoft can strengthen its presence in the B2B space by leveraging tools like content marketing, CRM systems, and digital outreach. Ultimately, this research serves as a guide for Femtosoft's leadership and marketing teams—providing practical steps and insights that can turn marketing efforts into meaningful business growth.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

Primary objectives:

1.To analyze study on developing a b2b marketing strategy for femtosoft

SECONDARY OBJECTIVES:

1.To study the behavior and preferences of business clients in the software and IT services sectors 2 explore effective digital marketing channels for B2B lead generation



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 $\,\,st\,$ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal $\,\,st\,$ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125163

3. To assess the role of content marketing in building trust and credibility

4. To identify gaps in FEMTOSOFT's current customer relationship management approach.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Anderson, J. C., Narus, J. A., & Van Rossum, W. (2006). "Customer Value Propositions in Business Markets." *Harvard Business Review*.

Anderson and his co-authors discuss how B2B companies can create compelling customer value propositions. They classify value propositions into three types: all benefits, favorable points of difference, and resonating focus. Their research highlights the need for precision in communicating value to business clients. This is particularly important in technology markets, where offerings may be complex. For Femtosoft, defining a strong, resonating value proposition is critical for winning and retaining B2B clients. This source provides a practical framework for crafting that messaging.

Chaffey, D., & Ellis-Chadwick, F. (2019). *Digital Marketing*. Pearson Education.Dave Chaffey and Fiona Ellis-Chadwick explore digital strategies for modern marketing environments. Their work covers SEO, content marketing, digital advertising, and email automation—essential tools for B2B firms. They provide models such as the RACE framework (Reach, Act, Convert, Engage) to plan digital campaigns. The authors stress the importance of a multichannel approach in attracting and converting business leads. For Femtosoft, which may operate in competitive software sectors, leveraging digital platforms is key to visibility. This book is vital for understanding how to map online strategies to business goals.

Hutt, M. D., & Speh, T. W. (2014). *Business Marketing Management: B2B.* CengageLearning. Michael Hutt and Thomas Speh offer a comprehensive overview of B2B marketing systems. They delve into organizational buying behavior, market segmentation, and the complexities of managing B2B accounts. The book emphasizes building value-based relationships and aligning marketing with business objectives. It also includes case studies and real-world examples from tech industries. Femtosoft can benefit from their discussion on managing industrial buyer relationships. This source helps structure strategic sales approaches for long-term success.

Webster, F. E., & Wind, Y. (1972). "A General Model for Understanding Organizational Buying Behavior." *Journal of Marketing*.

Frederick Webster and Yoram Wind were pioneers in developing a model for organizational buying. Their framework explains the roles of influencers, gatekeepers, and decision-makers within companies. They argue that B2B buying is not a linear process and is influenced by both rational and emotional factors. This is especially important for Femtosoft when selling software to teams with multiple stakeholders. Understanding this model allows marketers to craft targeted communication strategies for each role. Their work remains foundational in B2B marketing theory.

Brennan, R., Canning, L., & McDowell, R. (2014). *Business-to-Business Marketing*.SAGEPublications. The authors provide a relationship-focused approach to B2B marketing. Their book emphasizes trust, communication, and cooperation as key components in business markets. They also introduce the concept of networks and how interconnected businesses operate within industrial ecosystems. This is relevant for companies like Femtosoft, which may depend on partnerships and referrals. The book balances theory and application, including ral-life B2B case studies. It helps readers appreciate the long-term nature of B2B client acquisition.

Järvinen, J., & Taiminen, H. (2016). "Harnessing Marketing Automation for B2B Content Marketing." *Industrial Marketing Management*. These authors examine the integration of marketing automation into B2B marketing efforts. Their study highlights how automated tools can personalize content, track engagement, and nurture leads efficiently. They argue that B2B content must be educational and support complex decision-making. For Femtosoft, adopting marketing automation could streamline lead nurturing and improve conversion rates. This paper supports the development of a tech-enabled marketing pipeline. It also links marketing efforts to measurable business outcomes.



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology Impact Factor 8.066 ∺ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ∺ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025 DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125163

FINDINGS AND INFERENCES:

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Wouldyoubeopentoafreecons ultation/demofromfemtosoft	104	1.0	2.0	1.394	.4911
Whoisinvolvedinthedecesion makingprocessfortechpurcha	104	1.0	4.0	2.221	1.1056
se Whichplatformsdoyouactivel yuseforbusinessinsights	104	2.0	4.0	3.346	.8450
Valid N (listwise)	104				

INTERPRETATION

The descriptive statistics summarize responses from 104 participants. On average, respondents showed a moderate openness to a free consultation or demo from Femtosoft (M = 1.394, SD = 0.491), where lower values likely indicate more openness. Decision-making involvement varied more widely (M = 2.221, SD = 1.106), suggesting a mix of individual and group decision-making roles. Participants reported fairly high usage of various platforms for business insights (M = 3.346, SD = 0.845), indicating that digital tools are commonly used for this purpose. Overall, the data reflect moderate interest in engagement and diverse decision-making dynamics.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.188ª	.035	.006	.8430

a. Predictors: (Constant),

Whattypesofsoftwareservicesisyourcompanycurrentlyusing, Whichofthefollowingwouldbeapriorityifchoosinganewsof, How satisfied ateyouwithyourcurrenttechnologystack

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	2.594	3	.865	1.217	.308 ^b
1	Residual	71.060	100	.711		
	Total	73.654	103			

a. Dependent Variable: Wouldyouprefer virtual meetingsor in-person for demos

b. Predictors: (Constant), Whattypesofsoftwareservicesisyourcompanycurrentlyusing,

Whichofthefollowingwouldbeapriorityifchoosinganewsof, How satisfied

ateyouwithyourcurrent technology stack

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized	Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		В	Std. Error	Beta		
	(Constant)	1.630	.231		7.054	.000
1	How satisfied ateyouwithyourcurrenttechnol ogystack	.110	.093	.132	1.183	.240
1	Whichofthefollowingwouldbe apriorityifchoosinganewsof	035	.084	043	412	.681
	Whattypesofsoftwareservicesi syourcompanycurrentlyusing		.080	.104	.972	.333

a. Dependent Variable: Wouldyouprefer virtual meetingsor in-person for demos

© IARJSET

IARJSET



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology Impact Factor 8.066 ∺ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ∺ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125163

INTERPRETATION:

The regression analysis investigated whether preferences for virtual vs. in-person demos could be predicted by current software services, satisfaction with the current tech stack, and priorities when selecting new software. The model was not statistically significant (F(3, 100) = 1.217, p = .308), and it explained only 3.5% of the variance in demo preferences ($R^2 = .035$), indicating a weak fit. None of the individual predictors were statistically significant: satisfaction with the tech stack (p = .240), software priorities (p = .681), and current software use (p = .333) all had p-values well above the standard significance threshold of .05. This suggests these variables do not meaningfully influence preferences for demo formats among respondents.

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Between Groups	4.783	3	1.594	1.509	.217
Whatisthesizeofyourcompany Howoftendoyouseeknewsoftw arevendorsorpartners	Within Groups	105.678	100	1.057		
	Total Between Groups	110.462 8.585	103 3	2.862	3.265	.025
	Within Groups	87.636	100	.876		
		96.221	103			

INTERPETATION:

The ANOVA results indicate that company size does not have a statistically significant effect on the measured outcome, as there were no meaningful differences between groups (F(3, 100) = 1.509, p = .217). However, there was a significant difference between groups in terms of how often respondents seek new software vendors or partners (F(3, 100) = 3.265, p = .025). This suggests that the frequency with which new vendors are explored varies depending on group characteristics, highlighting potential differences in technology adoption behavior or procurement strategies across segments.

Correlations

		virtual	with	Howdoyouusuall yfindoutaboutpot entialvendors
Wouldyouprefer virtual	Pearson Correlation	1	.193*	.113
meetingsor in-person for	Sig. (2-tailed)		.050	.253
demos	N	104	104	104
Whataantantdayaunrafartaan	Pearson Correlation	.193*	1	.064
Whatcontentdoyouprefertoen gagewith	Sig. (2-tailed)	.050		.517
	N	104	104	104
Howdoyouusuallyfindoutabo utpotentialvendors	Pearson Correlation	.113	.064	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.253	.517	
alpotential vendors	N	104	104	104

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

INTERPRETATION:

The correlation analysis reveals a weak but statistically significant positive relationship between preferences for virtual vs. in-person demos and the type of content respondents prefer to engage with (r = .193, p = .050). This suggests that individuals who favor certain types of content may also lean toward a specific demo format. However, no significant correlations were found between demo format preference and how respondents typically discover potential vendors (r = .113, p = .253), or between content preference and vendor discovery method (r = .064, p = .517). Overall, content engagement shows a mild influence on demo preferences, while other relationships appear negligible.

FINDINGS:

1. Respondents showed a moderate openness to a free consultation or demo from Femtosoft, suggesting a generally positive attitude toward vendor engagement.

2. Decision-making authority varied widely, implying that both individuals and groups influence tech purchasing.

3. Use of digital platforms for business insights was relatively high, reflecting a tech-savvy audience.

IARJSET



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 $\,\,st\,$ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal $\,\,st\,$ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125163

4. The model examining predictors of demo format preference (virtual vs. in-person) was not statistically significant.

5. Satisfaction with current tech stacks, software priorities, and current software use did not significantly influence demo preferences.

6. Company size had no significant impact on the measured outcome.

7. There were significant group differences in how often respondents seek new vendors or partners, suggesting varying levels of market engagement.

8. A weak but statistically significant correlation was found between preferred demo format and content engagement preferences.

9. No significant correlations were found between demo format and vendor discovery methods.

III. CONCLUSION

Overall, while Femtosoft's audience shows moderate interest in engagement and strong use of digital platforms, current software usage and satisfaction levels are not strong predictors of demo format preference. However, content type plays a modest role, and behavior related to seeking new vendors varies by group. These insights suggest that tailoring content and outreach strategies to audience behaviors—especially content preferences and vendor exploration frequency—can enhance marketing effectiveness and improve demo engagement rates.

BIBLIOGRAPHY & REFERENCES

- [1]. Chaffey, D., & Ellis-Chadwick, F. (2019). *Digital Marketing* (7th ed.). Pearson Education.
- [2]. Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). Marketing Management (15th ed.). Pearson Education.
- [3]. Brennan, R., Canning, L., & McDowell, R. (2020). Business-to-Business Marketing (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [4]. Pulizzi, J. (2014). Epic Content Marketing: How to Tell a Different Story, Break Through the Clutter, and Win More Customers by Marketing Less. McGraw-Hill Education.
- [5]. Järvinen, J., & Taiminen, H. (2016). Harnessing marketing automation for B2B content marketing. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 54, 164–175.
- [6]. Webster, F. E., & Lusch, R. F. (2013). Elevating marketing: Marketing is dead! Long live marketing! *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 41(4), 389–399.
- [7]. Wiersema, F. (2013). The B2B Agenda: The Current State of B2B Marketing and a Look Ahead. *Institute for the Study of Business Markets*.
- [8]. LinkedIn & Edelman. (2021). 2021 B2B Thought Leadership Impact Study. Lilien, G. L., Rangaswamy, A., De Bruyn, A., & Van den Bulte, C. (2013). Principles of B2B Marketing. In Handbook of Business-to-Business Marketing (pp. 3–24). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [9]. Kumar, V., & Petersen, A. (2012). Role of Metrics in B2B Marketing. In *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing*, 19(1), 1–24.