IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125171

EFFECTIVENESS OF DANGEROUS GOODS PACKAGING IN AIR TRANSPORTATION

Prince Christudas[1], Dr. D. Anitha Kumari*[2]

Student, Department of Management Studies, Vels Institute of Science Technology &Advanced studies,

Chennai,Tamil Nadu[1]

Associate Professor, Programme Coordinator, Department of Management Studies,

Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamil Nadu2

*Corresponding author

Abstract: The transportation of dangerous goods (DG) by air poses considerable safety and regulatory challenges due to the inherent risks associated with these substances. This study explores the effectiveness of current packaging practices in air cargo operations, using insights drawn from a focused case study within the logistics sector. Primary data was gathered from 23 professionals, including logistics managers, cargo handlers, and safety officers, and supported by secondary sources such as regulatory guidelines and academic literature. The analysis assessed the performance of packaging materials, the accuracy of labeling, and adherence to international standards including IATA and ICAO regulations.

The findings indicate that while pre-shipment inspections are routinely conducted, persistent issues such as improper labeling, occasional packaging damage, and inconsistent regulatory compliance remain prevalent. These shortcomings point to the urgent need for enhanced staff training, the integration of advanced packaging solutions, and stricter enforcement of safety protocols. The research highlights existing gaps and provides actionable insights for improving safety and operational efficiency in the handling of hazardous materials.

Despite the constraints of a small sample size, the study offers meaningful recommendations to strengthen dangerous goods packaging practices in air transportation, thereby contributing to safer logistics operations worldwide.

Keywords: Dangerous Goods, Air Transportation, Packaging Effectiveness, IATA Regulations, ICAO Compliance, Hazardous Materials, Air Cargo Safety, Logistics Management, DG Packaging Standards, Regulatory Compliance, Cargo Handling, Risk Mitigation

I. INTRODUCTION

Air transportation plays a vital role in connecting global markets, enabling the swift and efficient movement of goods across long distances. Among the various types of cargo transported by air, dangerous goods (DG) pose specific challenges due to their hazardous characteristics. These goods include substances such as chemicals, explosives, flammable liquids, gases, radioactive materials, and biological agents—all of which demand rigorous safety measures during transit. Their handling and transportation are strictly regulated by international and national bodies, including the United Nations (UN), International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), International Maritime Organization (IMO), and other regulatory authorities.

Packaging is a fundamental component in the safe transport of dangerous goods. Appropriate packaging helps prevent incidents such as spills, leaks, fires, and contamination, thereby safeguarding the aircraft, crew, passengers, and the environment. Beyond safety, effective packaging supports regulatory compliance, minimizes financial risks, and enhances the reliability of the supply chain. Innovations such as fire-resistant containers, smart sensors, and eco-friendly materials are driving efforts to improve packaging standards and performance.

Despite comprehensive regulations, studies indicate a persistent need for improvement in the packaging of dangerous goods. Incidents still arise due to factors like human error, inconsistent application of standards, and inadequate packaging materials. The increasing transport of high-risk items, such as lithium-ion batteries, further underscores the need for advanced and adaptive packaging solutions.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125171

This research seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of current packaging practices for dangerous goods in air transportation. It aims to uncover existing shortcomings, assess regulatory and technological developments, and recommend improvements to enhance safety, regulatory compliance, and overall operational efficiency. By examining industry practices and real-world case studies, the study aspires to contribute to a safer and more robust air cargo ecosystem.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Air (2012)

By, Springer Nature

Abstract:

The transportation of hazardous materials by air is governed by Annex 18 of the Chicago Convention, which was created in response to a global demand for a standardized set of regulations to ensure the safe movement of dangerous goods through air travel. This framework was developed by the Air Navigation Commission and reflects the need for internationally recognized safety measures. To align with global standards for transporting hazardous goods by various other transport methods, the guidelines set out in Annex 18 are based on the recommendations from the United Nations Committee of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods and the International Atomic Energy Agency's regulations for safely moving radioactive materials.

Dangerous goods make up over half of the cargo transported worldwide across all transportation modes, encompassing explosives, corrosive substances, flammable materials, toxic chemicals, and radioactive materials. Despite the inherent risks associated with these goods, they are vital to numerous sectors, including industry, commerce, medicine, and scientific research. Given the advantages of air transport, a significant portion of this dangerous cargo is moved by aircraft to meet global demands.

2. Safety in the Transport of Dangerous Goods and Special Loads by Air (2019)

By, Walewska Patrycja

Abstract:

This paper aims to explore the international legal framework governing the transport of air cargo. The primary research question focuses on how well the existing regulations are implemented in real-world practice. The article is structured around three key areas of investigation. The first part provides an overview of the international legal provisions regarding air freight transport. The second section delves into the classification of dangerous goods, as well as the specific documentation required for their transportation by air. The third part examines the procedures for safely handling hazardous materials and special goods during air transport. The findings of this study are based on an extensive review of the relevant literature and the author's personal experience in the field.

3. Package performance testing of dangerous goods in high-altitude shipments (2003)

By, S. Paul Singh

Abstract:

This paper examines how high-altitude shipments affect the integrity of packaging used for transporting dangerous goods. High-altitude shipments occur when cargo is moved through mountain regions or when it is carried in non-pressurized or partially-pressurized holds of cargo planes. These transportation methods expose packages to significant changes in air pressure compared to those shipped at or near sea level. Testing packaging under these conditions is crucial because such pressure fluctuations could compromise the integrity of the package. Existing shipping tests, typically conducted in controlled laboratory environments, do not take into account the combined effects of pressure changes and vibrations. The study reveals that current testing methods for combination packages—used for dangerous and hazardous goods—based on existing standards from the UN, ICAO, and US DOT are insufficient and may lead to significant leaks. The paper emphasizes the need for testing that simulates both vibration and pressure variations to better ensure package safety.

4. A New Test Method and Pictorial Markings for Packages Containing Liquid Dangerous Goods in High Altitude Shipments (2004)

By, J. Test. Eval.

Abstract:

Over the past decade, there have been several serious accidents resulting from package failures during high-altitude shipments by aircraft. Dangerous goods require packaging that is more durable to prevent life-threatening incidents if the contents are exposed to environmental factors. High-altitude shipments occur when cargo is transported through mountainous regions or when packages are carried in non-pressurized or partially-pressurized holds of aircraft. These transport methods cause significant pressure reductions compared to those experienced by packages transported at or near sea level. Testing packages under these extreme conditions is essential to ensure their integrity is not compromised. Current shipping tests conducted in laboratories do not consider the combined effects of pressure changes and vibrations.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125171

This paper introduces a new testing method designed to simulate the conditions of high-altitude shipments by exposing the package to both low pressure and vibration simultaneously. Additionally, it proposes the use of pictorial markings on packages to clearly indicate whether they meet the requirements for both air and ground transportation.

III. METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this research focuses on evaluating the effectiveness of dangerous goods packaging in air transportation. Keywords such as "dangerous goods," "air transportation," and "packaging effectiveness" guide the approach.

2.1 Research Design

The research adopts a descriptive and exploratory design to accurately portray existing practices and identify areas for improvement. Descriptive analysis helps in understanding compliance levels, packaging methods, and risk management strategies, while exploratory analysis highlights emerging trends and technological innovations.

2.2 Data Collection Methods

Primary data is collected through structured questionnaires administered to 46 industry professionals, including cargo handlers, safety officers, and logistics managers. Secondary data is obtained from industry reports, regulations, academic articles, and case studies. The combination ensures comprehensive insights into the current state of dangerous goods packaging.

2.3 Sampling Technique

Purposive sampling is used to select respondents with specific experience in dangerous goods handling and packaging. This method ensures that the data collected is relevant and credible, drawing from professionals knowledgeable in regulatory compliance and operational challenges.

2.4 Questionnaire Design

The questionnaire is structured into three sections: demographic information, current practices and compliance, and challenges with suggestions for improvement. Both closed and open-ended questions are used to gather quantitative and qualitative data.

2.5 Limitations of the Study

The study's limitations include a relatively small sample size, potential response bias, geographical restrictions, and reliance on self-reported data. These factors may affect the generalizability of the findings.

IV. STATISTICAL TOOL

3.1 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

What kind of packaging material do you rely on most for DG shipments? * How confident are you that your packaging methods meet IATA/ICAO standards? Crosstabulation

Count

		How confident are you that your packaging methods meet IATA/ICAO standards?			
		Somewhat confident	Unsure	Very confident	Total
What kind of packaging material do you rely on most for DG shipments?	Composite packaging	0	0	2	2
	Fiberboard boxes	8	2	12	22
	Plastic containers	2	4	4	10
	Steel drums	8	0	4	12
Total		18	6	22	46

INFERENCE

The crosstabulation table provides a detailed breakdown of how respondents who handle Dangerous Goods (DG) shipments perceive the compliance of their packaging methods with IATA/ICAO standards based on the type of packaging material they rely on most. The table helps in identifying patterns and trends in the relationship between packaging preferences and the level of confidence in regulatory compliance.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125171

Overall Pattern:
The majority of respondents who are very confident in their packaging compliance use either fiberboard boxes or steel drums, suggesting a preference for these materials in DG shipment operations. Plastic containers, though used by a fair number, show a broader distribution of confidence levels, indicating uncertainty or inconsistency in performance or compliance. Composite packaging shows full confidence, but the very low sample size makes it less reliable for drawing broader conclusions. This highlights a potential relationship between the type of DG packaging material used and the perceived confidence in regulatory compliance. Fiberboard boxes and steel drums dominate in usage and confidence, suggesting they are considered more reliable and standard-compliant by industry practitioners.

3.2 CHI-SQUARE TEST

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.748[a]	6	.033
Likelihood Ratio	13.975	6	.030
N of Valid Cases	46		

a. 9 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .26.

INFERENCE
The Chi-Square Test is used here to determine whether there is a statistically significant association between two categorical variables:
Type of packaging material relied on for Dangerous Goods (DG) shipments.
Confidence level that the packaging methods meet IATA/ICAO standards.
Key Results:
Pearson Chi-Square value = 13.748
Degrees of Freedom (df) = 6
Asymptotic Significance (p-value) = 0.033

Interpretation:
The p-value of 0.033 is less than the standard significance level of 0.05, which means the result is statistically significant. This implies that there is a meaningful association between the type of packaging material used and the confidence level in meeting IATA/ICAO standards. In simpler terms, the kind of packaging material a respondent chooses does influence or relate to how confident they are in its compliance with international air transport regulations.

Cautionary Note:
A footnote in the table indicates that 9 cells (75% of the total) have an expected count less than 5.
This violates one of the key assumptions of the Chi-Square test, which expects most expected frequencies to be 5 or greater. As a result, the validity of the test may be weakened, and the conclusion should be interpreted with caution. Small sample sizes in some cells (e.g., only 2 respondents used composite packaging) reduce the statistical power and may lead to less reliable results.

Supplementary Test – Likelihood Ratio:
The Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square value is 13.975 with a p-value of 0.030, which also supports the finding of a statistically significant association. This alternative test confirms the Pearson Chi-Square result, lending credibility despite the small sample concern.

Conclusion:
The Chi-Square analysis confirms that there is a statistically significant relationship between the packaging material used for DG shipments and the user's confidence in its compliance with IATA/ICAO standards. However, due to low expected frequencies in several cells, the results should be considered indicative rather than conclusive. Additional research with a larger sample size would be beneficial to validate and strengthen these findings.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125171

3.3 DIRECTIONAL MEASURES

Directional Measures

			Value	Asymptotic Standard Error[a]	Approximate T[b]	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Uncertainty Coefficient	Symmetric	.141	.058	2.281	.030[c]
		What kind of packaging material do you rely on most for DG shipments? Dependent	.130	.054	2.281	.030[c]
		How confident are you that your packaging methods meet IATA/ICAO standards? Dependent	.154	.064	2.281	.030[c]

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

c. Likelihood ratio chi-square probability.

INFERENCE

The Directional Measures table provides insight into the strength and direction of the association between two nominal variables:

The kind of packaging material used for Dangerous Goods (DG) shipments, and

The confidence level that packaging methods meet IATA/ICAO standards.

Key Statistical Values:

Symmetric Uncertainty Coefficient: 0.141

Uncertainty Coefficient (Packaging material as dependent): 0.130

Uncertainty Coefficient (Confidence level as dependent): 0.154

Asymptotic Significance (p-value): 0.030 for all three

Interpretation:

1. Uncertainty Coefficient

The uncertainty coefficient measures the proportion of uncertainty (or entropy) in one variable that can be explained by knowing the other variable. The symmetric value of 0.141 suggests that 14.1% of the uncertainty in either variable (confidence or packaging material) can be explained by their association. Although this percentage is relatively low, it still indicates a statistically meaningful relationship, as the p-value is 0.030 (i.e., < 0.05).

2. Dependent Relationships

When packaging material is treated as the dependent variable (coefficient = 0.130), it implies that 13.0% of the variation in packaging choice is explained by confidence level in meeting standards. When confidence level is treated as the dependent variable (coefficient = 0.154), the interpretation is slightly stronger—15.4% of the variation in confidence can be explained by the type of packaging used.This suggests that confidence level is more dependent on packaging material than vice versa.

3. Statistical Significance

All the measures report an asymptotic significance value of 0.030, indicating that these relationships are statistically significant at the 5% level. Hence, we can be confident that the observed association is not due to random chance.

Conclusion:

While the uncertainty coefficients indicate a moderate but significant relationship, they clearly show that the type of packaging material used does influence the level of confidence in meeting IATA/ICAO standards, more than the other way around. This directional influence aligns with practical expectations, as industry professionals likely assess their confidence based on the material's perceived compliance and performance history.

V. FINDINGS

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125171

The study revealed that dangerous goods (DG) are handled frequently in air transportation, with over 78% of respondents involved in DG shipments on a weekly or daily basis. Despite this routine involvement, packaging compliance issues are widespread, with 87% facing problems most commonly labeling errors. While a majority resolve these issues within 1–2 days, about a quarter experience delays of 3–5 days, which can disrupt operations. Financial implications are significant, as 82.6% incur costs ranging from ₹1,000 to ₹10,000 per issue, and some face penalties exceeding ₹2,50,000 due to non-compliance.

The effectiveness of current packaging materials is also in question, with nearly half of the respondents deeming them only "somewhat effective." Inspection practices are largely positive, with 73.9% conducting checks before each shipment, although others still rely on less frequent weekly inspections. A notable portion (47.8%) must repack 11–25% of their shipments due to labeling and compliance errors, pointing to inefficiencies in the initial packing process.

Further, reliance on certified third-party packers is limited, with only 17.4% consistently using them, showing a dependence on in-house teams. Training gaps also persist, as 8.7% of organizations lack regular staff training, raising the risk of compliance failures. The most pressing challenge identified is keeping up with frequent regulation changes, followed by human error, lack of awareness, and cost constraints. While 86.9% of respondents express some level of confidence in meeting IATA/ICAO standards, a small yet significant group remains uncertain. Additionally, delays caused by documentation errors affect 11–25% of shipments, further underlining the need for better administrative controls. Most organizations rely on the IATA DGR book for guidance, but there's a call for improvements in training, packaging innovation, and inspection protocols.

VI. SUGGESTION

To address these challenges, strengthening training programs is vital. Implementing quarterly training cycles, especially for high-risk materials like lithium batteries, combined with simulation-based learning and refresher courses, can significantly improve compliance. Leveraging smart packaging technologies such as sensor-enabled containers and fire-resistant materials can help prevent incidents. Digital documentation platforms should be adopted to reduce human error, enabling auto-generation of forms and checklists to streamline processes.

Organizations are encouraged to engage certified third-party packers for non-routine or high-risk shipments to ensure expert handling. Regular internal audits and compliance reviews, supported by scorecards, can enhance accountability and identify weak spots. Upgrading to UN-certified, durable materials that withstand high-altitude transport is another critical step. Tools that provide automated updates on DGR and ICAO regulations should be integrated to help teams stay current.

Mandatory pre-shipment inspections must be institutionalized using standardized digital tools. Industry collaboration should also be promoted through joint training sessions, knowledge-sharing clusters, and participation in regulatory webinars. Ultimately, fostering a strong safety culture where compliance is a shared responsibility and innovation is rewarded will contribute to sustained improvements in the packaging and handling of dangerous goods in air transport.

VII. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that while there is general awareness and a conscious effort to comply with regulations for dangerous goods packaging in air transportation, notable shortcomings persist, particularly in areas such as labeling accuracy, staff training, and the prompt handling of packaging-related issues. Incomplete or incorrect labeling emerged as the most common challenge, often leading to delays and increased operational costs. Although many of the packaging materials in use are considered moderately effective, there is a growing need for more dependable solutions, especially for high-risk goods like lithium batteries. The uneven adoption of new technologies and inconsistencies in adhering to international standards further complicate the packaging process. To enhance overall effectiveness, the industry must adopt a comprehensive strategy that includes better training programs, stricter enforcement of global standards, and proactive investment in advanced packaging technologies. Ultimately, the secure and efficient handling of hazardous materials is not only a regulatory requirement but also a vital responsibility that safeguards people and maintains the integrity of global supply chains.

REFERENCES

[1]. International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). (2020). Technical Instructions for the Safe Transport of Dangerous Goods by Air. 2021-2022 Edition. ICAO.
[2]. International Air Transport Association (IATA). (2020). Dangerous Goods Regulations (DGR). 62nd Edition. IATA.

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125171

[3]. United Nations. (2019). Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods: Model Regulations. 21st Edition. United Nations Economic and Social Council.
[4]. Seabay Cargo. (2023). Dangerous Goods in Air Transport.
[5]. Chem-Tran. (2019). Hazardous Materials and Dangerous Goods Transportation.
[6]. Eturbonews. (2020). Air Cargo Safety and Dangerous Goods Handling in the Aviation Industry.
[7]. U.S. Department of Transportation. (2020). Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR). Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Parts 171-180.
[8]. Taylor, J. (2021). Logistics and Supply Chain Management in Dangerous Goods Transportation. Journal of Logistics and Transport Safety, 28(4), 345-362.
[9]. Mishra, A. & Verma, R. (2020). Packaging Solutions for Dangerous Goods: A Global Review. International Journal of Packaging Technology, 35(2), 112-126.
[10]. Yung, W. & Shaw, J. (2018). The Role of Packaging in Reducing Air Cargo Risks for Dangerous Goods. Safety in Air Transport Journal, 15(3), 202-215.
[11]. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-25835-0_8
[12]. https://yadda.icm.edu.pl/baztech/element/bwmeta1.element.baztech-8a47e171-c642-4ea6-a5a1-35ca92915137
[13]. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/pts.619
https://asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/testingevaluation/article-abstract/32/5/417/1189263/A-New-Test-Method-and-Pictorial-Markings-for?redirectedFrom=fulltext

