IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125256

ENHANCING KPIs in LOGISTICS OPERATIONS

B.Divesh Varadharaj[1], Dr Senthil Kumar R[2]

II MBA, Department of Management Studies, School of Management Studies, Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS) Pallavaram, Chennai[1]

Professor, Department of Management Studies, School of Management Studies, Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS) Pallavaram, Chennai[2]

Abstract: This project explores how logistics companies can improve their operational efficiency by enhancing Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). It focuses on Flyjac Logistics Pvt. Ltd., analyzing how implementing technology like real-time tracking, automation, and sustainability measures can lead to better delivery performance, reduced costs, and improved customer satisfaction. The study uses a mixed-method approach to assess KPI performance before and after enhancements.

Company Profile

Flyjac Logistics Pvt. Ltd., a subsidiary of LOGISTEED Ltd. (Japan), is a well-established logistics company in India. It offers a wide range of services including freight forwarding, customs clearance, warehousing, transportation, and supply chain consulting. Flyjac operates across 27 major cities in India and emphasizes the use of technology, sustainability practices like solar energy and electric vehicles, and strong customer engagement strategies to stay competitive and future-ready.

INTRODUCTION

In an era defined by globalization, rapid technological advancement, and ever-changing customer expectations, logistics operations have become a cornerstone of business success. Efficient logistics not only ensure the smooth movement of goods but also directly impact customer satisfaction, brand reputation, and financial performance. Within this framework, **Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)** emerge as essential instruments for assessing the effectiveness, efficiency, and resilience of logistics functions.

Enhancing KPIs in logistics operations is more than just improving numerical targets; it involves a strategic and holistic approach to identifying performance gaps, deploying innovative solutions, and continuously optimizing operational workflows. KPIs such as on-time delivery rates, order accuracy, warehouse productivity, transportation costs, inventory turnover, and carbon emissions provide critical insights into how well logistics systems are performing and where improvements are needed.

By focusing on enhancing these KPIs, organizations can achieve several important goals:

- **Increase Operational Efficiency:** Streamlining processes leads to faster order fulfillment, reduced errors, and optimal use of resources.
- **Cost Reduction:** Improved logistics performance helps lower transportation, storage, and administrative costs.
- **Customer Satisfaction:** Meeting or exceeding delivery expectations strengthens customer loyalty and enhances the brand image.
- **Agility and Resilience:** Strong logistics KPIs ensure companies can quickly adapt to supply chain disruptions, market fluctuations, and regulatory changes.
- **Sustainability Goals:** Tracking and improving KPIs related to energy consumption, emissions, and waste management supports corporate sustainability initiatives.

Achieving meaningful enhancements in logistics KPIs typically requires a combination of **process innovation, technology adoption, workforce training, and data-driven decision-making**. Advanced technologies such as Internet of Things (IoT) devices, Artificial Intelligence (AI), machine learning, warehouse automation, and real- time tracking systems offer powerful capabilities to monitor, analyze, and improve logistics performance continuously.

Moreover, organizations must regularly **review and recalibrate KPIs** to ensure they align with strategic business objectives and evolving market demands. Setting realistic yet challenging benchmarks, fostering a culture of continuous

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125256

improvement, and promoting cross-functional collaboration between logistics, procurement, and sales teams are crucial for sustained success.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

1.Context and Significance

Logistics operations sit at the heart of modern supply chains, bridging production and consumption across global networks. As business models shift toward just-in-time delivery, omnichannel fulfilment and sustainability mandates, logistics functions face unprecedented complexity. In this landscape, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are the primary tools for translating raw operational data into actionable insights—yet many organizations still rely on static, outdated or narrowly focused KPIs that fail to capture the full spectrum of performance drivers.

2. Core Challenges in Current KPI Practices

Despite widespread adoption of KPI tracking, logistics teams encounter several intertwined problems:

1. Misaligned Metrics
   - KPIs often reflect historical practices (e.g., simple cost-per-mile) rather than strategic priorities (e.g., carbon-per-shipment or dynamic route-optimization efficiency).

   Consequence: Teams optimize for the wrong targets, undermining broader business goals (speed, agility, sustainability).
2. Data Fragmentation and Inaccuracy
   - Multiple legacy systems (WMS, TMS, ERP) generate siloed data streams with inconsistent definitions of "on-time," "cycle time," or "order accuracy."
   - Consequence: Reports are error-prone, analysis is cumbersome, and real-time visibility is severely limited.
2. Lack of Continuous Improvement Mechanisms
   - Most KPI frameworks are implemented once and rarely revised. There is no formal feedback loop to recalibrate metrics or targets based on changing conditions (e.g., new customer SLAs, fuel-price volatility, regulatory shifts).
   - Consequence: Even well-designed KPIs become stale, and performance "plateaus" despite incremental process tweaks.
3. Technological Underutilization
   - Emerging technologies (AI for predictive ETA, IoT for live-condition tracking, blockchain for provenance) offer rich new data—but few organizations have integrated these into their KPI dashboards.
   - Consequence: Opportunities to preempt disruptions or auto-correct deviations go unrealized.

4. Insufficient Linkage to Financial & Strategic Outcomes
   - Logistics KPIs are often managed in isolation from P&L or corporate scorecards, making it difficult to correlate operational gains with cost savings, revenue uplift, or customer-lifetime- value improvements.
   - Consequence: Investments in logistics improvement struggle to secure executive buy-in and funding.

Objectives:

To design, implement, and validate a dynamic, technology-enabled framework for enhancing logistics Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) that aligns day-to-day operational measures with strategic business imperatives—thereby driving continuous improvement in efficiency, cost control, customer service excellence, risk resilience, and environmental sustainability.

Elaboration:

This overarching aim recognizes that KPIs must serve more than historical reporting. They should be living instruments that guide decision-making, reveal improvement opportunities in real time, and tie directly into higher-level goals such as market competitiveness or carbon-neutral targets. The study will therefore not only propose a blueprint for KPI architecture but also demonstrate its practical value through pilot testing and impact measurement.

2. Specific Objectives

KPI Audit and Benchmarking Objective:

- Catalog the full set of KPIs currently in use across transportation, warehousing, inventory, and customer- service functions.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125256

- Compare these against industry leaders and published best practices to identify gaps and "blind spots."

Elaboration: A thorough KPI audit serves as the project's foundation. By mapping out every metric in use—down to definitions, data sources, calculation methods, and reporting cadences—we establish clarity on where organizations are focusing attention today. Benchmarking these metrics against top logistics performers (e.g., Amazon, DHL, Maersk) highlights missing measures (such as real-time carbon tracking or automated exception alerts) and exposes outdated indicators (like simple "cost-per-mile" divorced from service quality).

Gap Analysis Objective:

- Uncover misalignments between operational KPIs and the company's strategic priorities (e.g., cost-leadership, digital transformation, ESG targets).
- Identify data-quality issues, system silos, and inconsistencies in KPI definitions.

Elaboration:
Even well-intentioned KPI programs can falter if the chosen metrics don't reflect what the business truly values.
A gap analysis will reveal, for example, that while "on-time delivery" is measured, there's no corresponding metric for "predictive ETA accuracy," limiting the ability to preempt delays. Similarly, we will surface situations where different systems label the same event (say, "order shipped") differently, leading to conflicting dashboards and eroded trust in the data.

Technology Integration Assessment Objective:

- Evaluate how emerging data sources—IoT sensors on trailers, telematics from trucks, blockchain-logged handoffs, AI-generated forecasts—can feed into real-time KPI dashboards.
- Recommend the platforms and integration architectures needed for seamless data capture, processing, and visualization.

Elaboration:
Cutting-edge logistics KPIs demand real-time or near-real-time insights. This objective assesses existing IT landscapes (WMS, TMS, ERP) and gap-fills with middleware, APIs, or specialized analytics platforms. We will map data flows from physical devices in the field to cloud dashboards, ensuring data latency, accuracy, and security requirements are met.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1.Historical Evolution of Logistics KPIs

Early Performance Metrics (1980s–1990s):
Beamon (1999) classified logistics performance into cost, time, reliability, flexibility, and asset management, with early KPIs—such as cost per ton-kilometer and on-time delivery—focused on historical reporting rather than forward-looking improvements (Beamon, 1999).

Supply-Chain Integration (2000s):
Christopher (2005) and Lambert and Cooper (2000) emphasized end-to-end visibility and customer satisfaction, arguing that siloed warehouse or transport measures failed to capture systemic performance (Christopher, 2005; Lambert & Cooper, 2000).

2. Contemporary Frameworks for KPI Enhancement

Balanced Scorecard in Logistics: Kaplan and Norton's Balanced Scorecard was adapted for logistics by Gunasekaran and Kobu (2007), integrating financial, customer, internal process, and learning perspectives to balance lagging indicators (e.g., cost per shipment) with leading ones (e.g., cycle-time predictability) (Gunasekaran & Kobu, 2007; Kaplan & Norton, 1996).

SCOR Model Metrics:
The APICS SCOR model (2012) standardized metrics across Plan, Source, Make, Deliver, Return, and Enable processes—introducing benchmarkable KPIs like Perfect Order Fulfillment and Cash-to-Cash Cycle Time (APICS, 2012).

1.Dynamic and Predictive KPI Architectures:

Wieland and Wallenburg (2013) argue for adaptive KPI systems that adjust targets via predictive analytics, moving from static dashboards to living, scenario-driven performance measures (Wieland & Wallenburg, 2013).

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125256

2. Technology as an Enabler IoT and Real-Time Visibility:
The proliferation of IoT devices—sensors, RFID, telematics—has given rise to KPIs such as Real-Time On- Road Compliance and Temperature Excursion Rate, enabling continuous condition monitoring (Kamble, Gunasekaran, & Gawankar, 2020).

Advanced Analytics and AI:
Predictive models reduce exceptions by forecasting delays and demand; Waller and Fawcett (2013) show how KPIs like "Forecast Accuracy Index" drive proactive decision-making (Waller & Fawcett, 2013).

Blockchain for Traceability: Blockchain's immutable ledger underpins KPIs around provenance and compliance. Saberi et al. (2019) introduce metrics like Chain-of-Custody Integrity Rate to measure traceability and dispute resolution efficiency (Saberi, Kouhizadeh, Sarkis, & Shen, 2019).

3.Continuous Improvement and Change Management PDCA and DMAIC Applications:
Chavan (2009) demonstrates how embedding PDCA (Plan-Do-Check-Act) and DMAIC (Define-Measure-Analyze-Improve-Control) cycles into KPI processes fosters regular review, target recalibration, and stakeholder engagement (Chavan, 2009).

Behavioral and Organizational Factors:
Locke and Latham (2002) highlight that clear, attainable goals and transparent governance prevent data- gaming and ensure KPI credibility (Locke & Latham, 2002).

4.Sustainability and ESG Metrics Green Logistics KPIs:
Environmental concerns have spurred inclusion of CO_2 emissions per tonne-km, percentage of carbon-neutral shipments, and packaging reuse rates—balancing operational efficiency with ecological impact (McKinnon, Browne, Whiteing, & Piecyk, 2015).

5.Social and Ethical Dimensions:
Recent work calls for metrics on labor conditions, community impact, and circular-economy contributions, expanding logistics performance beyond cost and speed.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A mixed-methods research design was used:
Quantitative: Collected and analyzed 2,250 operational data points over three months to assess KPI improvements.
Qualitative: Interviews and surveys were conducted with 15 logistics staff and managers to gather feedback on usability, technology adoption, and relevance of the new KPI system.
This approach ensured both numerical evidence and human-centered insight.

Sampling Technique

Random sampling was used. Daily performance data was gathered from 20 employees over 90 days, totaling 2,250 data entries. This provided a comprehensive and unbiased dataset for analyzing operational trends and testing improvements.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- The study was limited to one company and focused on a specific region.
- The pilot was conducted over only 3 months, which may not reflect long-term trends.
- Some qualitative elements like customer emotion or indirect environmental benefits were not deeply explored.

CONCLUSION

The study shows that enhancing logistics KPIs with real-time data and technology significantly improves delivery efficiency, customer satisfaction, and sustainability. Companies like Flyjac can transform logistics from a cost center to a value driver. A well-designed KPI framework—combined with employee training and smart tools—helps create agile, cost-effective, and customer-focused operations. This model can be replicated in other firms to achieve long-term logistics excellence.