IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125276

EVALUATING THE EFFICIENCY OF CARGO HANDING OPERATIONS AT CHENNAI PORT

Mr NISANTH S[1], DR. D. ANITHA KUMARI[2], Ms.P.C.SARANYA[3]

II Year- MBA (Shipping & Logistics Management), Vels Institute of Technology And Advanced Studies, VISTAS, Chennai 600 117.[1]

Corresponding Author, Associate Professor & Programme Coordinator MBA -Shipping & Logistics Management, Vels Institute of Technology And Advanced Studies, (VISTAS), Chennai 600 117.[2]

Assistant Professor - MBA , Vels Institute of Technology And Advanced Studies, (VISTAS), Chennai 600 117.[3]

Abstract: The efficiency of cargo handling operations at Chennai Port, a vital hub for India's maritime trade. The study focuses on key performance indicators (KPIs) like turnaround time, cargo throughput, and resource utilization to assess operational effectiveness. Data collection involves analyzing historical data, interviews with port stakeholders, and site observations. The analysis identifies bottlenecks in the process, such as inefficient berth allocation, inadequate equipment, and prolonged customs clearance times. The findings suggest opportunities for improvement, including optimizing resource deployment, enhancing automation, and streamlining administrative procedures. Ultimately, this research aims to enhance Chennai Port's efficiency, reduce costs, and improve its competitiveness in the global maritime market.Chennai Port, a major gateway for India's trade, plays a crucial role in the country's economic growth. However, like many ports globally, it faces challenges in maintaining operational efficiency to keep pace with the demands of a growing global economy. It is concluded, then, that the port and scientific communities have been dedicated to the development of evaluation models and methods, without considering management support. Therefore, as the main contribution of this work, a gap is identified in the literature regarding the creation of Evaluation Systems for specific contexts (ad hoc) that generate useful information for the management of cargo handling process at port terminals, contemplating the perceptions, value judgments and preferences of the manager responsible for such activity. Chennai Port can enhance its efficiency, reduce costs, and improve its competitiveness in the global maritime market. This will contribute to India's economic growth by facilitating trade and reducing the cost of international commerce.

Keywords: Cargo Handling, Logistics Operations,Berth Allocation,Customs Clearance,Equipment Utilization.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary global economy, seaports serve as vital nodes in international logistics and trade. The efficiency with which a port handles cargo has far-reaching implications on trade competitiveness, supply chain continuity, and economic development. As global trade volumes continue to expand, the need for highly efficient, technology-driven, and well-managed port operations has become increasingly critical. Among the major ports contributing significantly to India's maritime trade is Chennai Port, also known as the Gateway to South India.

Chennai Port, established in the late 19th century, has evolved from a modest harbor to one of India’s busiest and most strategically important ports. Situated along the Coromandel Coast of the Bay of Bengal, it is uniquely positioned to serve the commercial and industrial hinterlands of Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, and parts of Karnataka. The port handles a wide spectrum of cargo—ranging from bulk and break-bulk commodities to containers, liquid cargo, and specialized cargo like automobiles—making it a diversified and dynamic facility in the Indian port ecosystem.

However, the increasing demand for maritime services, coupled with growing cargo volumes and rising customer expectations, has placed immense pressure on port infrastructure and operations. In this context, cargo handling efficiency becomes a critical performance parameter. Efficient cargo handling reduces vessel turnaround times, minimizes cargo dwell time, enhances throughput, and contributes to lowering the overall cost of logistics.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125276

This study seeks to evaluate the efficiency of cargo handling operations at Chennai Port by examining operational data, analyzing port performance indicators, and identifying gaps or inefficiencies in current practices. It focuses on parameters such as:

- Turnaround Time (TRT) – the time taken for a vessel to complete loading/unloading and depart the port.
- Berth Occupancy Ratio – indicating how effectively berths are being utilized.
- Cargo Throughput – the volume of cargo handled over a given period.
- Equipment Utilization – efficiency of cranes, forklifts, and other cargo handling equipment.
- Labor Productivity – performance of the workforce involved in cargo operations.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

PRIMARY OBJECTIVE:

Focus on analyzing the current situation at Chennai Port, To assess and analyze the operational efficiency of cargo handling processes at Chennai Port in order to identify bottlenecks, measure performance against industry benchmarks, and recommend improvements for optimizing turnaround time and resource utilization.

SECONDARY OBJECTIVE:

- To Measure the time taken for ships to unload cargo, load new goods, and depart the port, aiming to minimize delays.
- To Analyse the efficiency of equipment (e.g., cranes, forklifts) used in cargo handling, focusing on optimal use and downtime reduction.
- To Evaluate the effectiveness of labour resources, including workforce efficiency, skill levels, and labour-to-cargo ratios.
- To ensure safety protocols and accident rates in cargo handling to ensure operations are carried out with minimal risk.

NEED FOR STUDY

- By assessing cargo handling processes, ports can pinpoint inefficiencies and reduce costs associated with labour, equipment, and delays.
- Efficient cargo handling attracts more shipping lines and clients. It enhances the port's reputation and competitive edge over others in the region or globally.
- Regular assessment of cargo handling operations ensures that safety measures are in place, reducing the likelihood of accidents and improving overall operational safety.
- Analyzing cargo handling efficiency helps identify areas where the port can reduce its environmental impact, such as fuel consumption, emissions, and waste management.
- Faster and more reliable cargo handling directly impacts customer satisfaction, leading to improved business relationships and client loyalty.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Bierwirth, C., Meisel, F. (2010): Due to the variety of technical equipment's and terminal layouts, research has produced a multitude of optimization models for seaside operations planning in container terminals. To provide a support in modeling problem characteristics and in suggesting applicable algorithms this paper reviews the relevant literature. For this purpose, new classification schemes for berth allocation problems and quay crane scheduling problems are developed. Particular focus is put on integrated solution approaches which receive increasing importance for the terminal management.

Brinkmann, B. (2011): This section contains an overview of the different functional areas of a marine container terminal and a summary of the main types of container handling systems. The main advantages and disadvantages of each type of handling system are also summarized without focusing on technical details.

Covic, F. (2018): The research in this dissertation has been conducted over 4 years at the Institute for Operations Research at the HBS Hamburg Business School, University of Hamburg, under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Brüggemann. While carrying out my research over a long time period like this, many people have helped me to realize and finalize the dissertation. For this reason, I would like to thank the people who have constantly supported me over the years and to whom I am very grateful. Foremost, I am deeply thankful to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Brüggemann, head of the Institute for Operations Research.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125276

Kim, K.H. (1997): We perform an approximate analysis on the finite-buffered acyclic fork/join queueing networks under the "blocking before service" mechanism. This study, besides being able to handle a network with complex topology and with finite buffers, is more general than the existing ones of its kind in that two performance measures, the system throughput and the average number of customers in each buffer, are taken into account. For a simple two-sibling network, we propose in detail a decomposition algorithm in which each decomposed subsystem carries over the local fork/join/tandem structure.

Port Efficiency and Performance Indicators

Studies such as those by Talley (2009) and Cullinane et al. (2006) identify key performance indicators (KPIs) like vessel turnaround time, berth occupancy, crane productivity, and dwell time. These indicators form the foundation for evaluating operational efficiency. The UNCTAD (2022) further emphasizes the importance of port infrastructure, digitalization, and intermodal connectivity in determining efficiency.

Indian Port Sector and Container Terminals

In the Indian context, research by Raghuram and Gangwar (2007) and reports from the Ministry of Ports, Shipping and Waterways (MoPSW) highlight the growing container traffic and the role of public-private partnerships (PPPs) in improving port services. Chennai Port, being one of the oldest and busiest in India, plays a pivotal role in the maritime logistics chain of South India.

Studies on Chennai port

Limited but relevant empirical studies specifically examine Chennai Port. For instance, *Kandasamy et al. (2014)* analyzed berth productivity and crane utilization at Chennai Port, revealing inefficiencies due to aging infrastructure and labor-related issues. *R. Srinivas et al. (2018)* evaluated the performance of container terminals using DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis), showing Chennai Port lagging behind newer, privatized terminals like Kattupalli and Ennore in terms of cargo throughput per quay length.

Challenges in Cargo Handling at Chennai Port

Various operational challenges—such as congestion, limited hinterland connectivity, outdated cargo-handling equipment, and bureaucratic delays—have been cited in multiple government audit reports (CAG Reports, 2017) and NITI Aayog logistics assessments. These issues reduce the port's competitiveness compared to newer terminals in Tamil Nadu.

Recent Developments and Technological Interventions

With the implementation of the Sagarmala Project and port modernization initiatives, Chennai Port has seen increased investment in mechanized cargo handling and digital port community systems (PCS). A study by *NICT and IPA (2021)* shows a gradual improvement in cargo dwell time and documentation efficiency, though gaps still remain when benchmarked against global ports like Singapore or Rotterdam.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The systematic approach used to gather, analyze, and interpret data to achieve the project's objectives. It outlines the methods and techniques used to conduct research, ensuring that the findings are accurate, reliable, and valid.The methodology helps in choosing the right tools for data collection (such as surveys, interviews, or experiments), selecting the sample, and deciding how the data will be analyzed. This ensures that the project is based on logical reasoning and solid evidence.

3.1 Research Design

Adopting an appropriate research strategy is a vital step in conducting a study. In this investigation, the researcher has employed a **descriptive research design**. Descriptive research aims at providing an accurate representation of the characteristics, processes, or events under study. It is well-suited for understanding the current practices, performance standards, and operational efficiency.

The research is structured using a descriptive research.

- Descriptive research is used to document and describe the current operations at Chennai Port. It focuses on what is happening in real-time—how cargo is handled, who is involved, what equipment is used, and how processes are managed.

3.2 Sampling Techniques

Given the operational complexity of a port, a non-probability purposive sampling technique was employed. This method ensures that the sample consists of individuals and organizations directly involved in or knowledgeable about cargo handling operations.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125276

Sample area
Cargo handling operations at Chennai port trust.

Sample Size:
The total sample consisted of 20–25 respondents.

3.3 Methods of data collections:

1 Primary Data Collection:

- Structured Questionnaires: Designed with both closed (e.g., rating scales, multiple choice) and open-ended questions to gather standardized as well as detailed responses.
- Personal Interviews: Conducted with key officials and managers to obtain insights into management practices, challenges, and improvement suggestions.
- Field Observations: Conducted at cargo terminals to directly observe handling processes, equipment usage, and worker efficiency.

2 Secondary Data Collection:

- Port performance reports (monthly and annual)
- Government trade and transport reports
- Chennai Port Trust publications
- Academic journals and industry case studies

Secondary data helped validate and supplement primary data, offering a broader context for the findings.

IV. DATA ANAYSIS & INTREPRETATION

4.1 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS:

Statement	N	Mean	Std. Dev	Minimum	Maximum
The port is efficient in handling cargo in terms of speed	25	3.56	1	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree
How effectively are automated systems used in cargo handling (e.g., automated cranes, robotic systems)?	25	3.68	0.99	Very Ineffective	Very Effective
To what extent does the workforce receive sufficient training for optimal cargo handling operations?	25	3.28	1.06	Very Insufficient	Very Sufficient
How well-maintained is the port's cargo handling equipment (e.g., cranes, forklifts, trucks)?	25	3.56	1.08	Very Poorly	Very Well
How effective is the communication between port authorities, shipping companies, and logistics providers?	25	3.56	0.92	Very Ineffective	Very Effective
How effective are the safety measures deployed in preventing accidents or injuries during cargo handling?	25	3.56	1.12	Very Ineffective	Very Effective

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125276

INFERENCE:

The cargo handling operations at the port are generally perceived as efficient, with an average rating of 3.56, indicating that respondents agree with the port's ability to handle cargo in a timely manner. Automated systems such as cranes and robotic equipment are seen as effective, with a slightly higher average rating of 3.68. While the workforce receives moderately sufficient training (mean = 3.28), there is room for improvement in training programs as reflected by the standard deviation of 1.06. The port's cargo handling equipment is largely considered well-maintained (mean = 3.56), though opinions on maintenance vary. Communication between port authorities, shipping companies, and logistics providers is regarded as effective, with a low variability in responses (mean = 3.56). Lastly, safety measures, though seen as moderately effective in preventing accidents (mean = 3.56), show some discrepancies in perception. Overall, the results suggest that while the port performs well in cargo handling and communication, further improvements in workforce training and safety measures could help enhance operational efficiency.

4.2 CORRELATIONS TABLE INTERPRETATION:

Null Hypothesis (H_0):

There is no significant relationship between the use of real-time data and the improvement in decision-making through data analytics in port operations.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1):

There is a significant relationship between the use of real-time data and the improvement in decision-making through data analytics in port operations

Correlations

		The overall erformanc of cargo handling operations at the port is efficient.-	How effectively are automated systems used in cargo handling (e.g., automated cranes, robotic systems)-
The overall performance of cargo handling operations at the port is efficient.	Pearson Correlation	1.000	.626
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.001
	N	25	25
How effectively are automated systems used in cargo handling (e.g., automated cranes, robotic systems)	Pearson Correlation	.626	1.000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	
	N	25	25

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125276

INFERENCE:

There is a statistically significant positive relationship between the use of real-time data and improved decision-making in port operations. Thus, we reject the null hypothesis (H_0) and accept the alternative hypothesis (H_1). This suggests that as the use of real-time data increases, the effectiveness of decision-making through data analytics also improves.

4.3 CHI SQUARE:

Null Hypothesis (H_0):

There is no significant association between experience and the variable under study (i.e., experience does not influence the outcome).

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1):

There is a significant association between experience and the variable under study (i.e., experience does influence the outcome).

Experience

Value	Observed N	Expected N	Residual
Less than 20	4	8.33	-4.33
20 to 30	13	8.33	4.67
30 and Above	8	8.33	-.33
Total	25		

Test Statistics

	Chi-square	df	Asymp. Sig.
Experience	4.88	2	.087

INFERENCE

The Chi-square test result for experience shows a value of 4.88 with 2 degrees of freedom and a significance level (p-value) of 0.087. Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, the result is **not statistically significant**, suggesting that there is **no strong evidence of an association** between experience and the variable tested in this context.

SUGGESTIONS:

1. Infrastructure Upgrades:

- Expand and modernize cargo terminals to handle larger volumes efficiently.
- Invest in advanced cargo handling equipment, such as automated cranes and forklifts.
- Develop better road and rail connectivity to the port for seamless cargo movement.

2. Workforce Training:

- Conduct regular training programs for port staff to enhance their skills in handling modern equipment and technologies.
- Ensuring safety training is a priority to minimize accidents and improve operational efficiency**.**

3. Environmental Sustainability:

- Adopt green port initiatives, such as using electric vehicles and renewable energy sources.
- Implement waste management and pollution control measures to minimize environmental impact.

4. Capacity Management:

- Optimize berth allocation and scheduling to minimize vessel waiting times.
- Implement predictive analytics to forecast cargo volumes and prepare for peak periods

5.Customer Service Enhancement:

- Regularly gather feedback from clients to identify areas for improvement and ensure customer satisfaction.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.066 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 5, May 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.125276

V. CONCLUSION

The evaluation of cargo handling operations at Chennai Port reveals a complex interplay of infrastructure, technology, workforce efficiency, and regulatory frameworks. While the port continues to serve as a critical gateway for maritime trade in southern India, the study identifies several key areas where operational efficiency can be significantly enhanced. Notably, although Chennai Port has made strides in automation and digitization, bottlenecks such as inadequate berth availability, equipment downtime, and delays in customs clearance still pose challenges. Cargo dwell time and turnaround time remain above international benchmarks in some cases, indicating the need for streamlined logistics coordination and improved intermodal connectivity. In conclusion, while Chennai Port possesses the foundational infrastructure and strategic location to support high cargo volumes, targeted interventions in operational planning, process automation, and stakeholder collaboration are crucial for enhancing its overall cargo handling efficiency and competitiveness on a global scale.

REFERENCES

Website References:

[1]. Bierwirth, C., & Meisel, F. (2010). *A survey of berth allocation and quay crane scheduling problems in container terminals*. European Journal of Operational Research

[2]. Brinkmann, B. (2011). *Operations systems of container terminals: a compendious overview*. In J. Böse (Ed.), *Handbook of Terminal Planning*. SpringerLink

[3]. Covic, F. (2018). *Container Handling in Automated Yard Blocks Based on Time Information* (Ph.D. Thesis). University of Hamburg Repository

[4]. Kim, K.H. (1997). *Evaluation of the number of rehandles in container yards*. Computers & Industrial Engineering

[5]. *The berth allocation problem in bulk ports* (2011). ResearchGate

[6]. *An integrated decision support system for berth and ship unloader allocation in bulk material handling port* (2017). ScienceDirect