IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.311 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 9, September 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

A Comprehensive Review of Advanced Armor Materials and Emerging Technologies in Ballistic Protection for the Next Generation: Factors, Applications, and Future Prospects

Ravi Verma

Central Institute of Petrochemicals Engineering & Technology, Lucknow, India

ORCID ID - 0009-0004-6561-3876

Abstract: The field of ballistic protection is undergoing a significant transformation characterized by the integration of cutting-edge materials, adaptive technologies, and a focus on user-centered design. This report offers an in-depth examination of the scientific and engineering principles underlying the latest advancements in armor systems, transitioning from conventional, monolithic designs to innovative, hybrid, multi-layered, and "smart" configurations. Protection is now understood to extend beyond a material's capacity to stop a projectile; contemporary armor aims to address secondary effects, such as blunt force trauma, spalling, and thermal discomfort. The integration of next-generation nanomaterials, particularly carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene, is fundamental to this evolution. These materials present groundbreaking mechanical properties, including unmatched tensile strength, outstanding flexibility, and extraordinary energy dissipation, while maintaining an ultralight profile. When incorporated into composites or combined with advanced materials, such as shear-thickening fluids and self-healing polymers, CNTs and graphene enable armor to dynamically adapt to various impact situations. These advancements signal a transition from traditional defense mechanisms to dynamic, smart systems. This report highlights the crucial role of ergonomics, wearability, and thermal regulation elements that are often overlooked in conventional armor design. The advancement of ballistic protection should focus on crafting flexible, adaptive systems that integrate seamlessly with the human form and the specific demands of the mission, rather than striving for unbreakable barriers. The findings highlight a significant transformation in understanding: armor has evolved from a simple, static barrier to a dynamic, multifunctional interface designed for enhanced survivability in the intricate threat landscapes of the 21st century.

Keywords: Bulletproof standard, STF, carbon nanotubes, graphene, Protection

I. INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by rapid technological advancements and increasing asymmetric threats, the field of ballistic protection stands at a pivotal juncture[1]. For many years, the approach to designing personal and vehicular armor systems has centered on a straightforward, forceful principle: halt the projectile by any means necessary [2]. This method, based on traditional high-strength materials like aramid fibers (e.g., Kevlar) and ultra-high-molecular-weight polyethylene (UHMWPE), led to the development of armor systems that were effective yet inherently constrained, monolithic, heavy, and passive. Today, the essence of what constitutes "protection" is experiencing a significant and essential evolution [3]. Contemporary combat and security landscapes are now characterized by factors that extend beyond ballistic threats. Their nature is complex, involving rapid projectiles, explosive debris, blunt-force impacts, and, more recently, environmental factors such as heat, fatigue, and prolonged wear [4]. In this context, the forthcoming iteration of armor must advance beyond merely withstanding penetration. It should effectively handle energy management, minimize secondary injuries such as blunt trauma and spalling, ensure thermal comfort, and, most importantly, remain wearable and adaptable to the user's changing requirements. The future of protective gear, therefore, lies not in constructing tougher barriers but in designing more intelligent systems [5].

Material science fundamentally drives this transformation. Engineers are creating solutions that strike a balance of protection, flexibility, and weight through the advancement of hybrid armor system composites, which combine various materials to leverage their strengths [6]. The advent of nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene, is

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

starting to transform the boundaries of possibility [7]. These carbon-based super materials exhibit a strength more than 100 times that of steel, without adding significant weight, suggesting a future where armor is not only lighter and stronger but also more flexible and capable of serving multiple purposes [8].

Graphene, consisting of a single layer of carbon atoms arranged in a lattice, exhibits remarkable mechanical strength alongside distinctive electrical and thermal characteristics [9]. Carbon nanotubes, which are essentially rolled-up sheets of graphene, provide comparable advantages while also presenting enhanced versatility for structural integration [10]. When integrated into polymer matrices or interlaced into composite fabrics, these materials form extremely lightweight barriers that can dissipate significant kinetic energy throughout microscopic networks [11]. In contrast to traditional materials that tend to absorb energy detrimentally, CNTs and graphene facilitate managed deformation and energy redirection, thereby markedly decreasing the force transferred to the body. This characteristic is particularly vital in reducing blunt force trauma, a primary source of injury, even when armor successfully stops penetration [12].

However, material strength by itself does not encompass the entirety of contemporary ballistic protection [13]. The incorporation of advanced technologies, including shear-thickening fluids (STFs) and self-healing polymers, introduces a level of dynamic responsiveness to armor systems [14]. STFs exhibit a remarkable ability to remain fluid and flexible under typical conditions [15]. Yet, they instantaneously harden upon high impact, effectively creating a reactive shield precisely when and where it is required. When integrated with the structural enhancement of CNTs or graphene, these systems demonstrate the ability to adjust dynamically, providing specific resistance while maintaining mobility and comfort [16]. Self-healing polymers represent an innovative advancement, enabling armor to regain its structural integrity following damage, thereby prolonging operational lifespan and alleviating logistical challenges [17].

In conjunction with these advancements, the significance of the human element remains of utmost importance [18]. An advanced body armor system becomes ineffective if it is cumbersome, restrictive, or thermally inefficient for continuous wear in the field. Future armor must be designed with a focus on ergonomics that adapt to the body's movements, enhance breathability, and reduce fatigue. In this context, novel materials present significant benefits [19]. Fabrics constructed from CNT can be designed to allow airflow, flexibility, and moisture management, with integrated sensors capable of tracking thermal load, impact occurrences, and biometrics instantaneously [20]. The integration of these features transforms armor from a mere protective layer into a dynamic interface, a wearable system that engages with both the user and the surrounding environment [21].

This report reviews the comprehensive development of this evolution, analyzing the fundamental principles underlying traditional armor materials, the engineering obstacles associated with hybrid and hard armor systems, and the remarkable potential of advanced technologies on the horizon. Through meticulous examination, it is evident that the domain of ballistic protection transcends the notion of isolated solutions or one-dimensional performance. This presents a complex challenge that involves integrating various fields, including materials science, biomechanics, systems engineering, and human-centered design [22].

Ultimately, the report envisions a future where protective gear is characterized not by its rigidity or bulk, but by its smart features and ability to adjust. The forthcoming evolution in ballistic protection will focus equally on integration and optimization alongside resistance. As CNTs, graphene, and smart materials evolve into scalable solutions, and as ergonomic innovation takes center stage in design [23]. we are approaching a significant transformation from armor as mere protection to armor as a comprehensive ecosystem. This is not just an enhancement; it represents a transformation (Vice Marshal Arjun Subramaniam and Air Force, 2023.).

II. THE FOUNDATIONAL SCIENCE OF BALLISTIC MATERIALS

Traditional Ballistic Fibers: Aramid vs. UHMWPE

Central to contemporary soft body armor are advanced fibers designed to absorb and disperse the significant kinetic energy generated by a projectile. For optimal performance, a ballistic fiber needs to exhibit a distinct set of characteristics [25]. Primarily, high tensile strength is crucial, as it quantifies the maximum stress a fiber can withstand before failure. Equally important is the overall toughness, which reflects the total energy a fiber can withstand before breaking [26]. The third key characteristic is a high tensile modulus, which indicates the fiber's stiffness and its capacity to withstand considerable stretching. In the context of lightweight, wearable armor, the properties are frequently normalized by the linear density of the material, leading to metrics referred to as specific tensile strength (tenacity) and specific tensile modulus[27]. For decades, the industry has been primarily influenced by two families of fibers: aramid and ultra-high-molecular-weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) [28].

Kevlar (Para-Aramid Fibers):- Kevlar, a synthetic para-aramid fiber developed by DuPont in the 1960s, is characterized by its highly aligned and bonded polymer chains, resulting in an extreme material [29]. When considering tensile strength alone, Kevlar demonstrates a marginal edge, boasting a rating of approximately 3.6 GPa, which surpasses that of its main competitor [30]. The exceptional strength, along with excellent heat resistance, positions it as a preferred choice for applications where thermal stability is crucial. Nonetheless, the performance of Kevlar may diminish over time, especially when subjected to moisture and UV radiation, necessitating a replacement cycle of approximately five years. Additionally, although it provides a degree of cut resistance, it is not explicitly engineered for defense against stabbing or puncturing threats [31].

Dyneema and Spectra (UHMWPE Fibers):- Dyneema and Spectra, developed by DSM in the 1970s, are recognized as brand names for UHMWPE fibers [32]. Although Dyneema's tensile strength measures around 3.2 GPa, which is somewhat less than that of Kevlar, it demonstrates superior performance in various other important aspects. Dyneema exhibits an exceptional strength-to-weight ratio, making it an extremely lightweight material. This characteristic is essential for developing wearable armor that minimizes user fatigue and improves mobility. Furthermore, Dyneema demonstrates enhanced flexibility and shows greater resistance to moisture and abrasion when compared to Kevlar. The main drawback is its susceptibility to elevated temperatures, which can compromise its structural integrity and render it unsuitable for certain operational settings [33].

The prevailing view that one material is inherently "stronger" than another is an oversimplification. Although Kevlar exhibits superior tensile strength, the overall effectiveness of a material is assessed through a comprehensive analysis of its characteristics in relation to the specific application. For example, the exceptional strength-to-weight ratio, flexibility, and moisture resistance of Dyneema render it a more efficient option for various practical applications, despite its lower tensile strength. This demonstrates that the design of body armor presents a multifaceted engineering challenge, requiring the careful balancing and optimization of diverse material properties to satisfy the requirements of a particular threat environment [34]. Properties of illustrated in Table No. 1.

Table 1

Property	Kevlar (Para-Aramid)	Dyneema (UHMWPE)
Tensile Strength	3.6 GPa	3.2 GPa
Strength-to-Weight Ratio	Lower than Dyneema	Superior to Kevlar
Flexibility	Less flexible	More flexible
Moisture Resistance	Susceptible to moisture	Excellent resistance
Heat Resistance	Superior heat resistance	Vulnerable to high temperatures
Abrasion Resistance	Lower resistance	Superior abrasion resistance
Durability	Degradation from UV/moisture	Excellent durability
Stab Resistance	Limited stab protection	Enhanced stab/puncture protection

III. THE ROLE OF COMPOSITES AND HYBRID SYSTEMS

Rigid armor plates are used in place of or in addition to soft armor to protect the wearer against shots fired by rifles with greater velocity. A ductile material supports the outer "strike face" of these composite structures. Upon impact, the strike face which is typically made of metal or ceramic performs three crucial functions: first, it breaks or distorts the projectile's nose; second, it erodes and slows down the projectile's remnant as it passes through the cracked ceramic; and third, it distributes the impact load over a larger area so that the backing layer can absorb it. The choice of material, particularly between steel plates and ceramic ones, is a crucial aspect of designing stiff armor. The pros and cons of each given substance are as varied as the materials themselves [35].

Armor is made of ceramic and composite materials. When lightness is paramount, ceramic armor is the ideal choice [36]. The U.S. military selects this material for situations that require high mobility and durability, as it is lighter than steel while still providing a similar level of ballistic protection. Due to their superior ability to transmit kinetic energy, ceramic plates reduce the severity of blunt trauma to the wearer. However, these plates are generally thicker, which makes them more difficult to conceal, and they are fragile by nature. Under stress, they can break or fail, rendering them ineffective, particularly if they take repeated hits to the same spot. Protection against Steel Armor, Steel plates demonstrate their amazing durability by enduring multiple strikes at the same place without allowing any penetration [37].

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.311 ⁙ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁙ Vol. 12, Issue 9, September 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

Due to their thinner construction, they are preferred by some users, such as SWAT teams, who may not wear their gear all the time and are thus more likely to be subjected to concentrated, close-range gunfire. The collision launches both the plate and the projectile, posing a significant risk of secondary harm to the wearer and anyone nearby. The evolution of long-lasting armor demonstrates an essential engineering principle: the goal is not just to build an impenetrable shell, but to optimize energy absorption through the material's planned and controlled failure. State-of-the-art designs exemplify this principle by outperforming conventional monolithic plates (Koplow, 2005).

Ceramic matrices, which incorporate separate ceramic components, such as spheres, cylinders, or hexagonal wafers, into a base material, are one example of how ceramic armor has evolved. Systematically reducing surface density while increasing ballistic performance is the goal of these composite materials and systems, such as ceramic sandwich composite plates with a steel honeycomb structure. The intentional design of failure, demonstrated by the controlled separation of layered fiberglass panels, ensures that the armor breaks in a predictable way that protects the user rather than posing additional dangers[39]. Metric, steel armor, and Ceramic armor are illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2

Metric	Steel Armor	Ceramic/Composite Armor
Weight	Significantly heavier	Lighter than steel
Thickness	Thinner	Thicker
Multi-Hit Capability	Excellent, particularly in the same area	Vulnerable to multiple hits in the same area due to fracturing
Spalling Risk	High risk, requiring special coatings	Lower risk of spalling
Blunt Trauma	High energy transfer can cause severe blunt trauma	More efficient energy transfer, reducing blunt trauma
Primary Advantage	Durability, affordability, and multi-hit resilience	Lightweight, comfort, mobility

IV. EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES

Carbon-Based Materials: Graphene

New materials with extraordinary atomic-level characteristics are pushing the field of ballistic research to new heights. There is tremendous promise for graphene, a breakthrough material composed of a single layer of carbon atoms organized in a honeycomb pattern, as a potential body armor. In controlled laboratory settings, graphene has demonstrated a kinetic energy dissipation capacity that is ten times greater than that of steel and a tensile strength that is ten times higher than that of steel when compared on a weight-for-weight basis. This advantage is due to more than just its strength; it also has a unique way of dispersing the stress of a projectile across a large area at speeds exceeding 2200 m/s, which is significantly faster than the speed of sound in air. Both penetrating and blunt damage can be just as deadly as direct wounds; this capacity has the potential to reduce both significantly [40].

Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs)

Long, cylindrical carbon molecules known as carbon nanotubes (CNTs) also have remarkable characteristics [41]. Theoretically, a single CNT molecule can withstand loads up to 100 GPa, and its tensile modulus is 1 TPa. Due to this, they demonstrate outstanding promise as an energy-absorbing component in lightweight, high-strength ballistic composites. According to the research, CNTs with bigger radii can handle faster bullets, and when the projectile hits the middle of the nanotube, their ballistic resistance is at its highest.

The main obstacle for these materials, nevertheless, is creating a macroscopic product from their remarkable molecular-level characteristics [42]. A fiber's strength is not assured by simply stacking millions of overlapping CNTs; it is highly dependent on the fiber's constructed structure and the efficiency of stress transmission between adjacent molecules, ensuring no slippage [43]. Due to this technical issue, the only practical use of these materials for armor is to combine them with existing components. Evidence from studies on individual CNTs supports their ballistic capability; nevertheless, the enormous challenge is in developing them into a layered vest rather than a standalone tube [44].

Nano clays, Nano powders, and Additional Nano-Reinforcements

Nano clays, which are ultra-thin mineral silicate sheets, improve the toughness of polymer composites by providing interlayer reinforcement. Even a low loading (~1.5 wt%) significantly enhanced impact resistance in glass-polyester composites [45].

Graphene nanopowder coatings enhanced energy absorption by approximately 8–9% in thin glass-fiber composites (2–3 mm) [46]. Additional promising nanofillers comprise fullerenes, POSS, SiO_2-STFs, boron carbide (B_4C) nanoparticles in UHMWPE, Al_2O_3 nanofillers, ZnO nanorods, and halloysite nanotubes. This enhances energy dissipation, impact resistance, and mechanical strength throughout composite systems[47].

Advanced Nanomaterials and Elastic Polymers

Polyurethane-urea (PUU) elastomers developed by MIT and ARL exhibit the ability to dynamically harden in response to high-speed impacts, demonstrating a behavior similar to shear thickening. This innovation holds the potential to serve as a replacement for traditional polyethylene in protective gear such as helmets or vests [48].

In a similar vein, shear-thickening fluids containing SiO_2 nanoparticles incorporated into Kevlar sandwich composites have demonstrated an impressive 96.3% incident energy absorption, resulting in 61% greater energy per unit weight compared to hollow alternatives [49].

Innovative Materials & Versatile Nanocomposites

Simulations of penta-graphene, a novel carbon allotrope, indicate a penetration energy of approximately 37.7 MJ/kg, surpassing that of graphene at around 29.8 MJ/kg, which suggests a remarkable ballistic potential [50].

Graphene-based composites offer dual functionality, providing both electromagnetic shielding and enhanced thermal conductivity, which are crucial for integrated defense systems. Investigations into polymer nanocomposites reveal a trend toward architectures with high filler content, accompanied by the introduction of MXene fillers, which offer novel avenues for performance enhancement [51].

Smart Materials: Shear-Thickening Fluids and Self-Healing Polymers

The next paradigm shift in ballistic protection is the transition from static, passive resistance to dynamic, adaptive systems that actively respond to threats [52].

Shear-thickening fluids (STFs), often referred to as "liquid armor," demonstrate this novel phenomenon. When these fluids are at rest, they behave like liquids, but when subjected to mechanical stress, they thicken and act like solids [53]. The University of Delaware and the U.S. Army Research Laboratory collaborated to develop a technology that utilizes a suspension of tiny silica particles in polyethylene glycol on ballistic materials, such as Kevlar. The treatment enhances the cloth's effectiveness, requiring fewer layers to offer the same level of protection [54]. This makes the armor lighter and easier to move. A significant side benefit is that the shear stress of a puncturing device, such as a knife or an ice pick, makes the fluid lock the threads in place. This makes the armor far better at resisting stabs and punctures than standard soft armor [55].

Self-Healing Polymers demonstrate a clever approach to dynamic defense. A team at Texas A&M University created a Covalent Adaptive Network (CAN) polymer that can shift between solid and liquid phases and self-heal after being punctured [56]. When a high-velocity projectile strikes the material, it melts and elongates, absorbing a significant amount of kinetic energy. As the projectile passes through, the polymer cools rapidly, allowing its covalent bonds to reform, effectively sealing the puncture and leaving only a small hole behind. The primary purpose of this technology is to create armor that can cause a projectile to break and rebuild links repeatedly, thereby dissipating energy while also self-repairing. This strategy seeks to address the major restriction of single-hit failure in many existing systems [57]. These novel materials represent a substantial departure from standard armor design. Instead of passively absorbing energy until it reaches a critical point, these revolutionary systems dynamically change their state or structure to efficiently counteract and regulate the impacts in a precise and concentrated manner. This implies a major shift from a simple, forceful method to a smarter and more flexible approach, which could finally balance the long-standing issues of protection, weight, and durability. DuPont's Core Matrix Technology is a specialized engineering method that utilizes a single, vertical fiber structure to distribute impact energy in every direction, resulting in a lighter and more flexible material with no downsides [58].

Standardized Performance and Testing: NIJ Standards

As armor technology progresses, the standards governing its performance and safety must evolve accordingly. The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) has recently updated its testing protocols with the implementation of NIJ Standard 0101.07 and the comprehensive NIJ Standard 0123.00. This new modular system outlines different levels of protection and tests how well equipment performs against specific threats, making it easier to respond to new dangers [59].

The updated standard presents a refined and more informative naming convention for protection levels, substituting the previous Roman numeral system with a straightforward alphanumeric code: "HG" designates handgun threats. At the same time, "RF" indicates rifle threats. The RF2 level represents an innovative intermediate category for rifle protection, designed to address a broader range of threats compared to the RF1 level.

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

The new standards reflect the industry's growth and dedication to ensuring user safety, featuring notable enhancements in testing methods [60]. For the first time, the NIJ has implemented specific protocols for evaluating armor tailored for women, incorporating new clay appliques to guarantee adequate contact with nonplanar panels. Additionally, new shot placements have been incorporated to replicate real-world scenarios, including a 45-degree angled shot at the top-center edge of soft armor and a shot targeting the "crown" of curved steel plates. The new tests are designed to identify any weaknesses in the armor's manufacturing process, prompting manufacturers to consider the unpredictable movements in combat rather than just perfect, straight-on hits [61].

TABLE 3

Former Threat Level	New Threat Level	Associated Test Threats
NIJ Level II	NIJ HG1	9mm Luger FMJ RN,.357 Mag JSP
NIJ Level IIIA	NIJ HG2	9mm Luger FMJ RN,.44 Mag JHP
NIJ Level III	NIJ RF1	7.62x51mm M80 Ball, 7.62x39mm MSC, 5.56mm M193
NA	NIJ RF2	All RF1 threats plus 5.56mm M855
NIJ Level IV	NIJ RF3	.30-06 M2 AP

V. FACTORS AFFECTING THE BULLETPROOF PROPERTIES OF BULLETPROOF COMPOSITES

A complex interaction of material science, structural engineering, and practical performance requirements determines the effectiveness of bulletproof composites. Composites are composed of multiple layers of materials, each contributing its unique mechanical, thermal, and dynamic properties. This technique is different from single-material armor systems. Their ability to stop bullets depends on their strength and how they react to stress. The following are the most important and artistically linked aspects that affect how well current bulletproof composites protect people [62].

1. Material Selection and Synergy

The selection of materials is fundamental to the integrity of any robust composite structure. High-performance fibers, such as aramid (Kevlar) and UHMWPE, are recognized for their exceptional tensile strength and energy absorption capabilities. In contrast, durable ceramics like boron carbide and silicon carbide offer significant hardness, enabling them to fracture incoming projectiles effectively. Emerging materials, including carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene, exhibit exceptional strength-to-weight ratios, rendering them suitable for ultralight and ultra-tough layers. In a well-engineered composite, no single material functions independently; its effectiveness is derived from the synergistic interaction of the components, which enables it to absorb and dissipate impact energy [63].

2. Layer Architecture and Thickness

Composite armor acts like a ballet company, where placement and timing are crucial. The layer order, thickness, and the number of layers define the impact energy distribution. Before the projectile is shattered or eroded by the hard front layers (such as ceramics), the projectile's kinetic energy is absorbed by the flexible layers that follow, which stretch and delaminate to collect the fragments. As armor is customized for varying degrees of danger (e.g., pistol vs. rifle bullets), the architect faces a more complex challenge: striking a balance between penetration resistance, flexibility, and comfort [64].

3. Interface Bonding and Adhesion

The weakest interface in a bulletproof composite is what makes it strong. The way these layers adhere together, whether through glue, weaving, or being embedded in a matrix, is crucial for ensuring that energy moves through the system quickly. Weak adhesion can cause the layers to separate upon impact, potentially leading to failure due to delamination or insufficient energy dissipation. Using CNTs to create nano-engineered interfaces or employing chemical crosslinking are two advanced bonding methods that can significantly enhance the strength of a structure under dynamic loads [65].

4. Strain Rate Sensitivity and Impact Dynamics

Materials exhibit different behavior at such high strain rates, and ballistic impacts occur in microseconds. When subjected to a severe shock, certain polymers and fibers exhibit strain-rate hardening, becoming significantly stiffer and more resistant. The use of smart materials, such as shear-thickening fluids (STFs), enables the creation of armor that is both flexible and resilient upon impact, making it a suitable material for wearing protection [66].

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588
International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology
Impact Factor 8.311 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 9, September 2025
DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

5. Environmental and Ergonomic Factors

Practical performance necessitates that bulletproof composites effectively halt bullets while maintaining reliability across a range of varying temperatures, humidity levels, and wear conditions. Thermal degradation, UV exposure, and perspiration can progressively compromise the integrity of fibers. Moreover, the comfort and weight of armor have a direct impact on its usability and the wearer's endurance. By using lightweight materials that allow air to flow, keep moisture away, or help regulate temperature, armor can be more comfortable and effective for longer periods when needed [67].

VI. PERFORMANCE, USABILITY, AND PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

In a time marked by unpredictable and varied threats, the need for dependable, lightweight, and versatile ballistic protection has reached unprecedented levels. The development of bulletproof composites represents a significant shift in how we protect people and buildings, transitioning from traditional, rigid materials to new, flexible systems that combine safety, comfort, and adaptability. These composites stop bullets and manage energy, preserve mobility, and integrate seamlessly into various environments. Their effectiveness relies on three fundamental pillars: performance, usability, and practical application. Let us explore in greater detail how these elements interact to shape the contemporary landscape of ballistic armor [68].

1. Performance: The Study of Endurance

At the heart of every effective composite system lies a fundamental objective: to mitigate a threat while ensuring the safety of the individual wearing it [69]. Performance is now assessed through a broader lens than just the ability of a material to halt a projectile. Currently, it is estimated using a range of advanced criteria, such as energy absorption, backface deformation, multi-hit resistance, and the ability to adapt to various projectile types and velocities [70].
Contemporary bulletproof composites are composed of carefully arranged materials, with each layer playing a distinct role in impact resistance:

- Brittle ceramic plates, such as boron carbide, silicon carbide, and alumina, serve as the primary barrier by fracturing the projectile and distributing the initial energy.
- Advanced fibers such as aramid (Kevlar) and UHMWPE function as energy nets, effectively capturing and distributing residual force over a broader area to lessen trauma.
- Elastomeric binders and foam backings play a crucial role in shock absorption, diminishing backface signature, and safeguarding against blunt trauma injuries, which can be equally as fatal as bullet penetration.

However, the emergence of sophisticated nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene, represents a real breakthrough. These materials have transformed composite design by providing exceptional tensile strength, elasticity, and thermal conductivity, all while being significantly lighter than conventional materials [71]. Graphene, characterized by its one-atom-thick structure, serves as a robust and flexible barrier that dissipates force at the molecular scale. In contrast, CNTs form a compact network that provides puncture resistance and enhances structural integrity [72]. In high-performance composites, nanomaterials are integrated into polymer matrices or interlaced into fibers, forming layers that can adapt by flexing or hardening in response to various threats. The design allows the armor to maintain flexibility during everyday use while becoming rigid upon impact, providing comfort without compromising safety. Additional performance improvements arise from advanced technologies, including shear-thickening fluids (STFs). These are substances that maintain a liquid state while in motion but solidify immediately upon contact. Incorporating STFs into textiles yields armor that dynamically responds to threats, offering both flexible comfort for everyday wear and robust protection during assaults [73].
Performance is additionally assessed through multi-hit capability. Advanced composite armors are engineered to withstand multiple impacts without catastrophic failure, which is essential in real-world firefights where attackers typically do not fire just once. Localized response zones and non-continuous crack propagation designs enable the armor to withstand damage in specific areas while maintaining the integrity of the entire structure [74].

2. Usability: Protection You Can Live With

In the realm of ballistic protection, usability stands as the fundamental equalizer. The most advanced armor system loses its significance if it is cumbersome, inflexible, overheats, or is uncomfortable to wear. The evolution of ballistic protection requires not only effective stopping power but also a focus on user-centered design [75].
The reduction of weight has emerged as a pivotal advancement in enhancing usability. Conventional steel or ceramic armor, although efficient, is widely recognized for its significant weight. In contrast, composite systems that integrate graphene, CNTs, and UHMWPE demonstrate a weight reduction of up to 50%, which decreases fatigue and enhances mission endurance. Lightweight armor holds significant importance for special forces, law enforcement, and civilians, as speed, agility, and comfort are crucial factors that can determine survival [76].

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

The importance of ergonomics and flexibility cannot be overstated. The armor of today is crafted to enhance mobility rather than impose limitations. Layered composites are designed to flex at human joints, while soft armor systems adapt to the body's contours, allowing for a complete range of motion. This adaptability enhances durability during extended use, allowing personnel to maintain preparedness while ensuring comfort is not compromised [77].

Thermal regulation stands out as a crucial usability factor, particularly in hot and humid conditions. Cutting-edge bulletproof composites now incorporate breathable, moisture-wicking fabrics and innovative thermoregulating nanomaterials that help maintain optimal body temperature. Certain systems are currently under development that utilize phase-change materials (PCMs) to absorb and release heat, thereby providing active cooling or warming as needed for the user [78].

The aspects of modularity and adaptability significantly improve usability. Armor plates and inserts can now be interchanged according to the threat level, allowing individuals to tailor their protection to meet mission requirements. The armor easily connects with various gear, such as hydration packs, communication systems, and exoskeletons, utilizing modular attachment systems that integrate it into a larger operational setup [79].

3. Practical Applications: From Combat to Civilian Life

The true measure of bulletproof composites' success lies in their practical application in real-world scenarios. These materials are now being utilized beyond the battlefield, finding applications in various sectors, including law enforcement, security, transportation, infrastructure, and civilian protection [80].

Military and Tactical Use

Agility and defense are inseparable in modern conflict. Composite body armor, helmets, and vehicle panels provide soldiers with essential mobility while ensuring their safety and protection. Modern vehicles are equipped with graphene-reinforced blast panels, and drones, along with robots, employ lightweight composite shells to enhance durability in combat environments. Helmets that integrate CNTs and STFs offer superior defense against both ballistic impacts and blunt force injuries [81].

Law enforcement and riot control

Police forces utilize soft armor vests that provide NIJ Level II or IIIA protection, effectively addressing the majority of handgun threats. Tactical units employ composite shields and plate carriers that are outfitted with multi-hit ceramic plates. Riot gear incorporates advanced materials that provide resistance to both penetration and blunt force while maintaining the flexibility necessary for swift movement in high-pressure scenarios [82].

Protection of Civilians and VIPs

With the increasing concern for personal safety in unstable areas, there is a notable integration of bulletproof composites into everyday wearables. Backpacks, jackets, and laptop sleeves designed to resist bullets now incorporate UHMWPE and STF-laced fabrics, providing discreet protection for individuals in public environments. Prominent individuals don customized suits integrated with adaptable composite panels that provide discreet protection while maintaining style and freedom of movement [83].

Infrastructure and Transportation

Composite panels are gradually being used to reinforce the doors, walls, and windows of financial institutions, diplomatic missions, transportation hubs, and other vital infrastructures. Compared to traditional armored materials, these panels are lighter and simpler to install while protecting against ballistic threats. Composite panels provide impact resistance and reduce vehicle weight in the automotive and aviation industries, which is essential for improving maneuverability and fuel efficiency [84].

Innovative Advancements

Bulletproof composites are making their way into the realm of wearable electronics and smart armor. Integrated sensors are now capable of detecting impact forces, tracking damage, and relaying health data in real time. Investigation is ongoing into self-healing composites capable of autonomously repairing microcracks, thereby prolonging the lifespan of armor for both military and civilian applications [85].

VII. SPECIALIZED AND ANCILLARY BALLISTIC SYSTEMS

A wide variety of specialized and supplementary ballistic systems are in high demand due to the rising complexity of operational situations and the diversification of threats. There is still a need for traditional body armor for protection, but modern defenses utilize a variety of ballistic solutions tailored to different tasks, platforms, and types of threats. Ballistic science has expanded its functional scope to encompass structural shielding, mission-critical accessories, protective gear, and vehicle armor systems often overlooked in public discourse. These systems exemplify the complex intersection of technological advancement, tactical necessity, and user-centered design [86].

1. Ballistic Helmets and Cranial Protection

The head is one of the most crucial and susceptible areas of the human body during combat. Ballistic helmets, such as the Advanced Combat Helmet (ACH), Enhanced Combat Helmet (ECH), and the newest modular systems, are carefully designed to protect against fast-moving projectiles, shrapnel, and certain types of gunfire. There is a growing trend in the production of these helmets utilizing advanced composite materials, such as aramid fibers, UHMWPE, and even carbon nanotubes (CNTs). Recent designs are investigating the use of graphene-reinforced resins to achieve enhanced strength-to-weight ratios. Contemporary helmets have evolved beyond mere protective coverings; they are transforming into integrated systems. Rail systems and mounts facilitate the attachment of night vision goggles, communications headsets, cameras, and heads-up display systems, effectively converting the helmet into an advanced tactical hub [87].

2. Ballistic Shields

Ballistic shields play a crucial role in law enforcement and military operations, particularly in scenarios involving close-quarters combat, breaching, or responses to active shooters. The dimensions and mass of these shields differ significantly, ranging from compact handheld devices to extensive full-body panels featuring viewport slits [88].

Shields are made with layered composites, which usually have ceramic strike faces supported by fibrous or polymeric materials that are meant to absorb energy. Recent advancements have resulted in the integration of transparent armor components composed of polycarbonate-laminated glass, providing a synthesis of visibility and protection. Today's designs include rolling shields, foldable versions for quick setup, and shields that can be mounted on robots to deal with threats without people being there. This shows how useful these extra systems can be in urban and high-risk tactical situations [89].

3. Vehicle and Aircraft Ballistic Protection

In addition to personal protective gear, vehicles and aircraft serve as dynamic points of susceptibility to ballistic threats. Specialized composite armor is being increasingly utilized to enhance the protection of critical areas in tactical vehicles, helicopters, and drones, while maintaining speed and maneuverability [90].

Materials such as ceramic-metal composites, graphene-enhanced panels, and ballistic fiberglass are used to reinforce doors, underbodies, and cabin interiors. Utilizing lightweight materials decreases the total mass of the platform, enhancing fuel efficiency and payload capacity, all while maintaining resistance to armor-piercing rounds and IED fragments.

Furthermore, modular appliqué armor kits are currently accessible for field upgrades, enabling users to adjust protection levels based on the threat environment [91].

4. Ballistic Blankets and Portable Barriers

For short-term or emergency protection, ballistic blankets are pliable, foldable sheets composed of durable materials like Dyneema or Kevlar. Especially in areas susceptible to improvised explosive devices or in times of emergency evacuation, these blankets can be swiftly deployed to protect against explosions, shrapnel, and rebounds. These blankets, which have historically been used by emergency responders, VIP security, and bomb disposal teams, are becoming more and more common in civilian shelters, schools for safety, and aviation safety kits. Relatedly, portable ballistic barriers are becoming more and more necessary to ensure security at checkpoints, embassies, and events. These mobile shields can be rapidly assembled to protect personnel during missions or to form barriers that are resistant to bullets [92].

5. Concealable and Covert Armor

In settings where visibility and discretion are paramount, discreet armor systems provide essential protection while remaining unobtrusive. These systems are seamlessly incorporated into everyday items such as civilian clothing, backpacks, briefcases, or laptop sleeves, providing NIJ Level II or IIIA protection against handgun threats. Corporate leaders, diplomats, covert operatives, and journalists in conflict zones rely on these systems for discreet safety measures. The challenge in this area is to maintain ballistic performance while enhancing comfort, style, and discretion, which is frequently addressed by employing ultralight composites and shear-thickening fabrics [93].

6. Infrastructure and Fixed Ballistic Systems

Alongside wearable and mobile solutions, the integration of ballistic protection is becoming more prevalent in structures such as buildings, checkpoints, guard booths, and command centers. Specialized wall panels, doors, and windows constructed from ballistic glass, composite laminates, and steel-ceramic hybrids offer enduring defense against small arms fire, explosions, and high-velocity threats. Financial institutions, public sector buildings, and critical infrastructure often rely on these established systems to withstand attacks while maintaining ongoing functionality [94].

VIII. FUTURE SCOPE

The development of ballistic protection has gone beyond the straightforward strategy of just adding more durable fabrics or stronger plates. As advanced engineering, intelligent materials, and human-centered design become more integrated, armor will be actively embraced in the future as it supports, adapts, and improves the wearer's experience. The conventional idea of armor as a solid, cumbersome barrier is evolving into a more innovative perspective: protective systems that adapt to the ever-changing nature of the threats they counter [95].

This transformation is fundamentally rooted in a shift in design philosophy. The dependence on single-material systems, whether they are made of steel, ceramics, or aramid fibers, is transitioning towards multi-layered, hybrid composites that thoughtfully integrate rigid and soft materials to manage energy across various dimensions effectively. The assessment

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.311 ⁙ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁙ Vol. 12, Issue 9, September 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

of protection has evolved beyond just tensile strength. The metrics of strength-to-weight ratio, flexibility, durability, energy dissipation, and user comfort have become equally essential considerations. This recent insight has facilitated the development of armor systems that are not only efficient but also lightweight, breathable, and tailored to the wearer's specific mission and environment. However, the future becomes genuinely transformative at the convergence of material science and intelligent systems [96].

Innovations in carbon-based nanomaterials, including graphene and carbon nanotubes (CNTs), offer extraordinary opportunities in terms of mechanical strength and impact absorption. While scalability and affordability pose ongoing challenges, ongoing investigations are advancing our understanding of lightweight ballistic layers that significantly surpass steel in strength while being much lighter. These materials could be used to create advanced armor that absorbs and redirects impact at the molecular level [97].

The emergence of innovative materials is fascinating, exemplified by shear-thickening fluids (STFs) that maintain flexibility during motion yet rapidly solidify upon impact. These materials present a compelling answer to the enduring dilemma of balancing comfort with protection. Similarly, self-healing polymers advance the idea of regenerative defense, creating materials capable of autonomously repairing cracks, punctures, or delamination. This innovation extends the lifespan of Armor and ensures reliable protection during various missions [98].

Advanced features like real-time threat sensing, injury detection, and smooth communication with multiple systems, such as drones, tactical wearables, and emergency responders, will be included in future armor. In addition to impacts, embedded sensors will monitor temperature, weariness, posture, and vital signs. It is anticipated that the integration of armor with biometrics and data will greatly increase survival rates and improve operational decision-making and response times [99].

The future armor's practical design could take the shape of an exosuit or a second skin, thin, flexible, and breathable, while still providing resistance against ballistic threats and extreme environmental conditions. It has the potential to regulate body temperature, distribute load effectively, and adjust its stiffness in response to user activity and perceived threat levels. The goal is to develop a protective solution that seamlessly integrates into daily life, making it a helpful part of activities rather than just a requirement, and enhancing performance rather than getting in the way [100].

Ultimately, the potential for advancement extends far beyond the battlefield. The development of lighter and more discreet ballistic materials will result in a wider array of applications in civilian, industrial, and architectural domains. Ballistic composites are set to become an important but subtle part of everyday safety measures. They will be used in everything from school safety panels and aircraft cockpit armor to executive clothing and smart city infrastructure [101].

IX. CONCLUSION

The future of ballistic protection is set to move beyond conventional limits, transforming from simple physical barriers into smart, adaptive systems that harmoniously connect with the human body and surroundings. he future of armor goes beyond just heavy plates and stiff materials, developing into a smart mix of new materials, advanced technology, and comfortable design that all work together to improve safety, support, and confidence for the user.

Recent improvements in graphene, carbon nanotubes, and self-healing polymers are poised to revolutionize ballistic armor, enabling it to be both extreme and lightweight, and to react to dangers as they occur. Envision a protective suit that immediately hardens upon contact, self-repairs seamlessly, and tracks the wearer’s health metrics, all while being lightweight, breathable, and comfortable enough for prolonged use during missions. This new method changes protection from a solid shield into a flexible system that can sense and adjust, helping to prevent not just bullets but also injuries from impacts and heat. Additionally, incorporating wearable technology and communication systems will transform armor into a smart tool that helps people be more aware of their surroundings and work more effectively. The best armor of the future will be the kind that fits the wearer perfectly, moves smoothly, processes information quickly, and responds automatically to the body's needs. It will go beyond only protection and become a necessary way to improve human capacity. This will lead to a time when safety, comfort, and performance can all work together without any problems. This marks the beginning of advanced ballistic protection, a significant advancement in the field of survival science.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In conclusion, this endeavor was made possible through the unwavering support of My Parents, CIPET-IPT Lucknow, and Dr. Manish Choudhary. The encouragement from my social and legal team, UPP, UPSTF, the Home Ministry, Viksit Bharat@2047, Make in India, and Chief minister UP, Prime Minister Narendra Modi deeply inspires me. Their collective motivation, dedication, and spirit played a vital role in the successful completion of this journey.

Funding

The author(s) reported that there is no funding associated with the work featured in this article.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.311 ⁙ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁙ Vol. 12, Issue 9, September 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

Author Contributions

Ravi Verma Formal analysis, Investigation, Original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Conflict Of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgment regarding the use of AI

The AI tool has been used only for drafting the body of this review work.

REFERENCES

[1] D. A. . Ochmanek et al., Inflection point : how to reverse the erosion of U.S. and allied military power and influence. RAND, National Security Research Division, 2023.

[2] P. J. Hazell, Armour. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2022. doi: 10.1201/9781003322719.

[3] J. Bian, K. Dai, X. Lv, Z. Huang, G. Wu, and Y. Zhang, "Effect of Material and Structure of Ultra-High-Molecular-Weight Polyethylene Body Armor on Ballistic Limit Velocity: Numerical Simulation," Polymers (Basel), vol. 16, no. 21, p. 2985, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.3390/polym16212985.

[4] "Environmental Toxicology of Blast Exposures: Injury Metrics, Modelling, Methods and Standards (Ecotoxicologie des expositions au souffle : indicateurs de blessures, modélisation, méthodes et normes) This Report documents the findings and recommendations of the Task Group HFM-234. Distribution and Availability on Back Cover," 2018. [Online]. Available: www.sto.nato.int

[5] M. Patel, "A comprehensive review of functionally graded materials and their ballistic impact performance: Current status and future challenges," Next Materials, vol. 8, p. 100704, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.nxmate.2025.100704.

[6] M. M. Alzahrani, K. A. Alamry, and M. A. Hussein, "Recent advances of Fiber-reinforced polymer composites for defense innovations," Results Chem, vol. 15, p. 102199, May 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.rechem.2025.102199.

[7] Z. Yang, J. Tian, Z. Yin, C. Cui, W. Qian, and F. Wei, "Carbon nanotube- and graphene-based nanomaterials and applications in high-voltage supercapacitor: A review," Carbon N Y, vol. 141, pp. 467–480, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.carbon.2018.10.010.

[8] Z. Benzait and L. Trabzon, "A review of recent research on materials used in polymer–matrix composites for body armor application," J Compos Mater, vol. 52, no. 23, pp. 3241–3263, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.1177/0021998318764002.

[9] V. B. Mbayachi, E. Ndayiragije, T. Sammani, S. Taj, E. R. Mbuta, and A. ullah khan, "Graphene synthesis, characterization and its applications: A review," Results Chem, vol. 3, p. 100163, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.rechem.2021.100163.

[10] A. Ali, S. S. Rahimian Koloor, A. H. Alshehri, and A. Arockiarajan, "Carbon nanotube characteristics and enhancement effects on the mechanical features of polymer-based materials and structures – A review," Journal of Materials Research and Technology, vol. 24, pp. 6495–6521, May 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2023.04.072.

[11] S. Mao et al., "Biomimetic swallow nest-inspired fabrics and derived multifunctional composite ceramics via orthogonal self-assembly and conformal modification strategies," Compos B Eng, vol. 308, p. 112931, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2025.112931.

[12] D. Gendron and G. Bubak, "Carbon Nanotubes and Graphene Materials as Xenobiotics in Living Systems: Is There a Consensus on Their Safety?," J Xenobiot, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 740–760, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.3390/jox13040047.

[13] I. L. Ciesielska-Wróbel, "Contemporary Personal Ballistic Protection (PBP)," in Textiles for Advanced Applications, InTech, 2017. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.69085.

[14] M. Zarei and J. Aalaie, "Application of shear thickening fluids in material development," Journal of Materials Research and Technology, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 10411–10433, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.07.049.

[15] Z. P. Gu, J. Z. Yue, C. G. Huang, and X. Q. Wu, "High-velocity impact response of shear thickening fluid-filled sandwich lattice panels," Compos B Eng, vol. 299, p. 112449, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2025.112449.

[16] V. Arumugam, A. Góra, and V. Lipik, "Influence of Graphene, Carbon Nanotubes, and Carbon Black Incorporated into Polyamide Yarn on Fabric Properties," Textiles, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 442–458, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.3390/textiles4040026.

[17] K. Choi, A. Noh, J. Kim, P. H. Hong, M. J. Ko, and S. W. Hong, "Properties and Applications of Self-Healing Polymeric Materials: A Review," Polymers (Basel), vol. 15, no. 22, p. 4408, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.3390/polym15224408.

IARJSET ISSN (O) 2393-8021, ISSN (P) 2394-1588

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology

Impact Factor 8.311 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 12, Issue 9, September 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

[18] S. Gallagher, “The human element of advanced technology.,” Ostomy Wound Manage, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 24, 26, 28, Apr. 2003.

[19] A. Saidi, C. Gauvin, S. Ladhari, and P. Nguyen-Tri, “Advanced Functional Materials for Intelligent Thermoregulation in Personal Protective Equipment,” Polymers (Basel), vol. 13, no. 21, p. 3711, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.3390/polym13213711.

[20] F. Kang et al., “Multifunctional Electronic Fabrics Based on CNTs for Integrated Active Cooling and Pressure Sensing,” ACS Appl Nano Mater, vol. 8, no. 29, pp. 14827–14840, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1021/acsanm.5c02609.

[21] Q. Hu, X. Shen, X. Qian, G. Huang, and M. Yuan, “The personal protective equipment (PPE) based on individual combat: A systematic review and trend analysis,” Defence Technology, vol. 28, pp. 195–221, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.dt.2022.12.007.

[22] W. Zou, “Recent advancements in ballistic protection - a review,” Journal of Student Research, vol. 13, no. 1, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.47611/jsrhs.v13i1.5936.

[23] Ababay Ketema Worku and Delele Worku Ayele, “Recent advances of graphene-based materials for emerging technologies,” Results Chem, vol. 5, p. 100971, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.rechem.2023.100971.

[24] A. Vice Marshal Arjun Subramaniam and I. Air Force, “Pushing Boundaries: Can the Indian Military Transform?,” 2023. [Online]. Available: http://foreignpolicy.com/.

[25] A. Bhat, J. Naveen, M. Jawaid, M. N. F. Norrahim, A. Rashedi, and A. Khan, “Advancement in fiber reinforced polymer, metal alloys and multi-layered armour systems for ballistic applications – A review,” Journal of Materials Research and Technology, vol. 15, pp. 1300–1317, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.08.150.

[26] M. A. Abtew, F. Boussu, and P. Bruniaux, “Dynamic impact protective body armour: A comprehensive appraisal on panel engineering design and its prospective materials,” Defence Technology, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 2027–2049, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.dt.2021.03.016.

[27] A. Ashokan, S. Rajendran, and R. Dhairiyasamy, “A comprehensive study on enhancing of the mechanical properties of steel fiber-reinforced concrete through nano-silica integration,” Sci Rep, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 20092, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-47475-0.

[28] L. Zhu, W. Gao, D. A. Dikin, S. Percec, and F. Ren, “Anti-Ballistic Performance of PPTA/UHMWPE Laminates,” Polymers (Basel), vol. 15, no. 10, p. 2281, May 2023, doi: 10.3390/polym15102281.

[29] Engr. Reashad Bin Kabir and Engr. Nasrin Ferdous, “Kevlar-The Super Tough Fiber,” International Journal of Textile Science, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 78–83, Jan. 2013, doi: 10.5923/j.textile.20120106.04.

[30] J. Zhou et al., “Advanced functional Kevlar composite with excellent mechanical properties for thermal management and intelligent safeguarding,” Chemical Engineering Journal, vol. 428, p. 131878, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2021.131878.

[31] M. I. Haque Protyai, F. M. Adib, T. I. Taher, M. R. Karim, and A. Bin Rashid, “Performance evaluation of kevlar fiber reinforced epoxy composite by depositing graphene/SiC/Al2O3 nanoparticles,” Hybrid Advances, vol. 6, p. 100245, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.hybadv.2024.100245.

[32] J. P. Attwood et al., “The out-of-plane compressive response of <math altimg="si0055.gif" overflow="scroll"> <msup> <mrow> <mi>Dyneema</mi> </mrow> <mrow> <mo>®</mo> </mrow> </msup> </math> composites,” J Mech Phys Solids, vol. 70, pp. 200–226, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.jmps.2014.05.017.

[33] Md. Syduzzaman, S. E. Chowdhury, N. M. Pritha, A. Hassan, and S. Hossain, “Natural fiber reinforced polymer composites for ballistic protection: Design, performance, and challenges,” Results in Materials, vol. 24, p. 100639, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.rinma.2024.100639.

[34] A. Pendashteh, A. Mikhalchan, T. Blanco Varela, and J. J. Vilatela, “Opportunities for nanomaterials in more sustainable aviation,” Discover Nano, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 208, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1186/s11671-024-04087-5.

[35] E. Ch. Tsirogiannis, F. Psarommatis, A. Prospathopoulos, and G. Savaidis, “Composite armor philosophy (CAP): Holistic design methodology of multi-layered composite protection systems for armored vehicles,” Defence Technology, vol. 41, pp. 181–197, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.dt.2024.07.009.

[36] P. Si, Y. Liu, J. Yan, F. Bai, and F. Huang, “Ballistic Performance of Polyurea-Reinforced Ceramic/Metal Armor Subjected to Projectile Impact,” Materials, vol. 15, no. 11, p. 3918, May 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15113918.

[37] F. J. Ramírez-Gil, E. C. Nelli Silva, and W. Montealegre-Rubio, “Through-thickness perforated steel plates optimized for ballistic impact applications,” Mater Des, vol. 212, p. 110257, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2021.110257.

[38] D. A. Koplow, “Scholarship @ GEORGETOWN LAW Scholarship @ GEORGETOWN LAW 2005 Tangled up in Khaki and Blue: Lethal and Non-Lethal Weapons in Tangled up in Khaki and Blue: Lethal and Non-Lethal Weapons in Recent Confrontations Recent Confrontations TANGLED UP IN KHAKI AND BLUE: LETHAL AND NON-LETHAL WEAPONS IN RECENT CONFRONTATIONS,” 2005. [Online]. Available: https://scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/facpub/911http://ssrn.com/abstract=871103

[39] J. Chen et al., “Lightweight Design and Experimental Study of Ceramic Composite Armor,” Processes, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 1056, May 2022, doi: 10.3390/pr10061056.

DOI: 10.17148/IARJSET.2025.12911

[40] J. Li, H. Zeng, Z. Zeng, Y. Zeng, and T. Xie, "Promising Graphene-Based Nanomaterials and Their Biomedical Applications and Potential Risks: A Comprehensive Review," ACS Biomater Sci Eng, vol. 7, no. 12, pp. 5363–5396, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1021/acsbiomaterials.1c00875.
[41] N. Jayaprakash, K. Elumalai, S. Manickam, G. Bakthavatchalam, and P. Tamilselvan, "Carbon nanomaterials: Revolutionizing biomedical applications with promising potential," Nano Materials Science, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.nanoms.2024.11.004.
[42] S. Wu, P. Sikdar, and G. S. Bhat, "Recent progress in developing ballistic and anti-impact materials: Nanotechnology and main approaches," Defence Technology, vol. 21, pp. 33–61, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.dt.2022.06.007.
[43] L. Dai and J. Sun, "Mechanical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes-Polymer Composites," in Carbon Nanotubes - Current Progress of their Polymer Composites, InTech, 2016. doi: 10.5772/62635.
[44] M. M. Harussani, S. M. Sapuan, G. Nadeem, T. Rafin, and W. Kirubaanand, "Recent applications of carbon-based composites in defence industry: A review," Defence Technology, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 1281–1300, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.dt.2022.03.006.
[45] A. Rafiq, N. Merah, R. Boukhili, and M. Al-Qadhi, "Impact resistance of hybrid glass fiber reinforced epoxy/nanoclay composite," Polym Test, vol. 57, pp. 1–11, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.polymertesting.2016.11.005.
[46] Y. Ajaj et al., "Effect and investigating of graphene nanoparticles on mechanical, physical properties of polylactic acid polymer," Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering, vol. 9, p. 100612, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.cscee.2024.100612.
[47] N. P. da S. Chagas et al., "Ballistic performance of boron carbide nanoparticles reinforced ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE)," Journal of Materials Research and Technology, vol. 17, pp. 1799–1811, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2022.01.104.
[48] X. Wu, Q. Tang, Y. Hu, Y. Lin, and A. Zhang, "Healable and recyclable supramolecular poly(urethane-urea) elastomers with high mechanical robustness, low hysteresis, fatigue resistance and antibacterial based on dynamic double crosslinked networks," Polymer (Guildf), vol. 334, p. 128745, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2025.128745.
[49] V. A. Chatterjee, S. K. Verma, D. Bhattacharjee, I. Biswas, and S. Neogi, "Enhancement of energy absorption by incorporation of shear thickening fluids in 3D-mat sandwich composite panels upon ballistic impact," Compos Struct, vol. 225, p. 111148, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.111148.
[50] D. L. Azevedo, R. A. Bizao, and D. S. Galvao, "Molecular dynamics simulations of ballistic penetration of penta-graphene sheets," MRS Adv, vol. 3, no. 8–9, pp. 433–437, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1557/adv.2018.61.
[51] K. Chand, X. Zhang, and Y. Chen, "Recent progress in MXene and graphene based nanocomposites for microwave absorption and electromagnetic interference shielding," Arabian Journal of Chemistry, vol. 15, no. 10, p. 104143, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2022.104143.
[52] H. A. Colorado, C. A. Cardenas, E. I. Gutierrez-Velazquez, J. P. Escobedo, and S. N. Monteiro, "Additive manufacturing in armor and military applications: research, materials, processing technologies, perspectives, and challenges," Journal of Materials Research and Technology, vol. 27, pp. 3900–3913, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2023.11.030.
[53] M. P. Ribeiro, P. H. P. M. da Silveira, F. de Oliveira Braga, and S. N. Monteiro, "Fabric Impregnation with Shear Thickening Fluid for Ballistic Armor Polymer Composites: An Updated Overview," Polymers (Basel), vol. 14, no. 20, p. 4357, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/polym14204357.
[54] X. Li et al., "Preparation of body armour material of Kevlar fabric treated with colloidal silica nanocomposite," Plastics, Rubber and Composites, vol. 37, no. 5–6, pp. 223–226, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.1179/174328908X309439.
[55] R. Wei, B. Dong, W. Zhai, and H. Li, "Stab-Resistant Performance of the Well-Engineered Soft Body Armor Materials Using Shear Thickening Fluid," Molecules, vol. 27, no. 20, p. 6799, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/molecules27206799.
[56] N. Kuhl, S. Bode, M. D. Hager, and U. S. Schubert, "Self-Healing Polymers Based on Reversible Covalent Bonds," 2015, pp. 1–58. doi: 10.1007/12_2015_336.
[57] S. Bonardd, M. Nandi, J. I. Hernández García, B. Maiti, A. Abramov, and D. Díaz Díaz, "Self-Healing Polymeric Soft Actuators," Chem Rev, vol. 123, no. 2, pp. 736–810, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.2c00418.
[58] A. Bin Rashid and M. A. K. Kausik, "AI revolutionizing industries worldwide: A comprehensive overview of its diverse applications," Hybrid Advances, vol. 7, p. 100277, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.hybadv.2024.100277.
[59] M. E. Greene, J. Horlick, D. A. Longhurst, L. L. Miller, C. Robinson, and R. A. Sundstrom, "NIJ Standard 0101.07, Ballistic Resistance of Body Armor: Changes and Challenges," in Personal Armour Systems Symposium (PASS 2020), Brussels, Belgium: Royal Military Academy (Belgium), 2025, pp. 388–394. doi: 10.52202/078352-0041.
[60] E. J. A. T. Mattijssen, "Interpol review of forensic firearm examination 2016-2019," Forensic Sci Int, vol. 2, pp. 389–403, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.fsisyn.2020.01.008.

[61] M. I. Tamjid, M. A. Abtew, and C. Kopot, "Lightweight Textile and Fiber-Reinforced Composites for Soft Body Armor (SBA): Advances in Panel Design, Materials, and Testing Standards," Journal of Composites Science, vol. 9, no. 7, p. 337, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.3390/jcs9070337.

[62] G. Faur-Csukat, "A Study on the Ballistic Performance of Composites," Macromol Symp, vol. 239, no. 1, pp. 217–226, Jun. 2006, doi: 10.1002/masy.200690100.

[63] K. Zhu et al., "Research Progress of New Bulletproof Composite Materials: A Review," AATCC Journal of Research, vol. 12, no. 1, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1177/24723444241288295.

[64] N. Naik, S. Kumar, D. Ratnaveer, M. Joshi, and K. Akella, "An energy-based model for ballistic impact analysis of ceramic-composite armors," International Journal of Damage Mechanics, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 145–187, Mar. 2013, doi: 10.1177/1056789511435346.

[65] M. A. Abtew, "A comprehensive review on advancements, innovations and applications of 3D warp interlock fabrics and its composite materials," Compos B Eng, vol. 278, p. 111395, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2024.111395.

[66] N. Asija, H. Chouhan, S. A. Gebremeskel, R. K. Singh, and N. Bhatnagar, "High strain rate behavior of STF-treated UHMWPE composites," Int J Impact Eng, vol. 110, pp. 359–364, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2017.02.019.

[67] M. Cao, L. Chen, R. Xu, and Q. Fang, "Effect of the temperature on ballistic performance of UHMWPE laminate with limited thickness," Compos Struct, vol. 277, p. 114638, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2021.114638.

[68] Md. Syduzzaman, S. E. Chowdhury, N. M. Pritha, A. Hassan, and S. Hossain, "Natural fiber reinforced polymer composites for ballistic protection: Design, performance, and challenges," Results in Materials, vol. 24, p. 100639, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.rinma.2024.100639.

[69] P. W. R. Beaumont, "The Structural Integrity of Composite Materials and Long-Life Implementation of Composite Structures," Applied Composite Materials, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 449–478, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10443-020-09822-6.

[70] J. Viliš et al., "Comprehensive Evaluation of Layered Composite Protection Performance for Light Armored Vehicles," J Mater Eng Perform, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s11665-025-11553-3.

[71] M. Kolahdouz et al., "Carbon-Related Materials: Graphene and Carbon Nanotubes in Semiconductor Applications and Design," Micromachines (Basel), vol. 13, no. 8, p. 1257, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.3390/mi13081257.

[72] Y. Li et al., "Hybrid strategy of graphene/carbon nanotube hierarchical networks for highly sensitive, flexible wearable strain sensors," Sci Rep, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 21006, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-00307-5.

[73] A. A. Musa et al., "Nano-Enhanced Polymer Composite Materials: A Review of Current Advancements and Challenges," Polymers (Basel), vol. 17, no. 7, p. 893, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.3390/polym17070893.

[74] S. Kumar, K. Akella, M. Joshi, A. Tewari, and N. K. Naik, "Performance of Ceramic-Composite Armors under Ballistic Impact Loading," J Mater Eng Perform, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 5625–5637, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11665-020-05041-z.

[75] C. H. Watson, "ERGONOMICS, DESIGN AND RELIABILITY OF BODY ARMOUR CRANFIELD DEFENCE AND SECURITY PhD."

[76] S. Simões, "High-Performance Advanced Composites in Multifunctional Material Design: State of the Art, Challenges, and Future Directions," Materials, vol. 17, no. 23, p. 5997, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.3390/ma17235997.

[77] G. Altmiller and L. H. Pepe, "Influence of Technology in Supporting Quality and Safety in Nursing Education," Nursing Clinics of North America, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 551–562, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.cnur.2022.06.005.

[78] K. M. F. Hasan et al., "Nanotechnology-empowered radiative cooling and warming textiles," Cell Rep Phys Sci, vol. 5, no. 9, p. 102108, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.xcrp.2024.102108.

[79] B. K. Fink, "Performance Metrics for Composite Integral Armor," Journal of Thermoplastic Composite Materials, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 417–431, Sep. 2000, doi: 10.1106/FR0L-T33W-JPD0-VFH3.

[80] S. Siengchin, "A review on lightweight materials for defence applications: Present and future developments," Defence Technology, vol. 24, pp. 1–17, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.dt.2023.02.025.

[81] R. O. Kollock Jr. et al., "The Influence of Body Armor on Balance and Movement Quality," Int J Exerc Sci, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 648–656, 2018, doi: 10.70252/GKGZ3954.

[82] M. I. Tamjid, M. A. Abtew, and C. Kopot, "Lightweight Textile and Fiber-Reinforced Composites for Soft Body Armor (SBA): Advances in Panel Design, Materials, and Testing Standards," Journal of Composites Science, vol. 9, no. 7, p. 337, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.3390/jcs9070337.

[83] N. M. Nurazzi et al., "A Review on Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composite for Bullet Proof and Ballistic Applications," Polymers (Basel), vol. 13, no. 4, p. 646, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.3390/polym13040646.

[84] I. F. Tünde Anna Kovács, Critical Infrastructure Protection: Advanced Technologies for Crisis Prevention and Response. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 2025. doi: 10.1007/978-94-024-2308-2.

[85] J. Zhou et al., "Intelligent body armor: Advanced Kevlar based integrated energy devices for personal safeguarding," Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf, vol. 161, p. 107083, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2022.107083.

[86] M. A. Abtew, F. Boussu, and P. Bruniaux, "Dynamic impact protective body armour: A comprehensive appraisal on panel engineering design and its prospective materials," Defence Technology, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 2027–2049, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.dt.2021.03.016.

[87] Y. Li, H. Fan, and X.-L. Gao, "Ballistic helmets: Recent advances in materials, protection mechanisms, performance, and head injury mitigation," Compos B Eng, vol. 238, p. 109890, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2022.109890.

[88] N. R. Abdelal, "A shield of defense: Developing ballistic composite panels with effective electromagnetic interference shielding absorption," Defence Technology, vol. 35, pp. 123–136, May 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.dt.2023.08.018.

[89] J. Pach, D. Pyka, K. Jamroziak, and P. Mayer, "The experimental and numerical analysis of the ballistic resistance of polymer composites," Compos B Eng, vol. 113, pp. 24–30, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2017.01.006.

[90] E. Ch. Tsirogiannis, E. Daskalakis, C. Vogiatzis, F. Psarommatis, and P. Bartolo, "Advanced composite armor protection systems for military vehicles: Design methodology, ballistic testing, and comparison," Compos Sci Technol, vol. 251, p. 110486, May 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2024.110486.

[91] E. A. REINIKKA and R. J. SARTELL, "Thermal protection of ballistic entry vehicles," J Spacecr Rockets, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 226–231, Mar. 1965, doi: 10.2514/3.28155.

[92] E. Barone, B. Gaujena, and M. Vilnitis, "Literature Review of Applicable Ballistic Materials for Temporary Wooden Building Envelopes," Nov. 2023, pp. 29–40. doi: 10.4028/p-9J2iRE.

[93] D. B. Sitotaw, D. Ahrendt, Y. Kyosev, and A. K. Kabish, "A Review on the Performance and Comfort of Stab Protection Armor," Autex Research Journal, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 96–107, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.2478/aut-2021-0013.

[94] S. M. Chumachenko, O. P. Kutovoi, O. G. Guida, V. A. Popel, and N. V. Zaika, "COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO DETERMINING THE LEVEL OF SECURITY OF CRITICAL ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE BASED ON AN INTEGRATED PROTECTION SYSTEM AGAINST UAV AND GUIDED BALLISTIC MISSILES," Scientific notes of Taurida National V.I. Vernadsky University. Series: Technical Sciences, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 261–267, 2023, doi: 10.32782/2663-5941/2023.2.1/41.

[95] S. Das, K. Prathasana, P. Arumugam Nitin, K. R. Lakshimi JayaPriya, and V. Mathivanan, "Protection from ballistic threats: an exploration of textile materials for bullet-resistant outerwear," Zastita Materijala, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 5–14, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.62638/ZasMat1202.

[96] M. A. A. Ahmad, M. S. Abdul Majid, M. J. M. Ridzuan, M. N. Mazlee, and A. G. Gibson, "Dynamic mechanical analysis and effects of moisture on mechanical properties of interwoven hemp/polyethylene terephthalate (PET) hybrid composites," Constr Build Mater, vol. 179, pp. 265–276, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.05.227.

[97] M. Kolahdouz et al., "Carbon-Related Materials: Graphene and Carbon Nanotubes in Semiconductor Applications and Design," Micromachines (Basel), vol. 13, no. 8, p. 1257, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.3390/mi13081257.

[98] H. Liu, K. Fu, X. Cui, H. Zhu, and B. Yang, "Shear Thickening Fluid and Its Application in Impact Protection: A Review," Polymers (Basel), vol. 15, no. 10, p. 2238, May 2023, doi: 10.3390/polym15102238.

[99] D. Greenbaum, "Enhancing the Warfighter: Ethical, Legal, and Strategic Implications of Brain-Machine Interface-Enabled Military Exoskeletons," AJOB Neurosci, pp. 1–26, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1080/21507740.2025.2530952.

[100] W. Yang, I. H. Chen, J. Mckittrick, and M. A. Meyers, "Flexible Dermal Armor in Nature," JOM, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 475–485, Apr. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s11837-012-0301-9.

[101] M. Karhankova et al., "Composites in Ballistic Applications Focused on Ballistic Vests—A Review," Journal of Composites Science, vol. 8, no. 10, p. 415, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.3390/jcs8100415.