



Pavements materials and technologies for sustainable roads: A Review

Kalpesh Choudhary¹, Chetan Sharma², Mihir Datiya³

Student, Geetanjali Institute of Technical Studies, Civil Department, Udaipur¹⁻³

Abstract: The increasing emphasis on environmental sustainability and circular economy principles has driven significant transformations in pavement engineering. Traditional hot mix asphalt (HMA) technologies are energy-intensive, producing high greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions during production and construction. In contrast, sustainable pavement materials and technologies such as warm mix asphalt (WMA), reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP), crumb rubber (CR), waste plastics (WP), wood fly ash (WFA), and kraft lignin are emerging as efficient alternatives. These technologies not only reduce energy consumption and material costs but also minimize waste generation and ecological footprints. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) frameworks reveal that material production, particularly bitumen manufacturing, contributes between 60–70% of total energy use and emissions throughout the pavement life cycle. Integrating WMA with up to 45% RAP achieves nearly 19% energy savings and 8% lower GHG emissions compared to conventional HMA. However, challenges persist, including mixture optimization, long-term durability evaluation, and management of leachate toxicity from recycled waste additives. This paper provides a detailed review of sustainable pavement technologies, their environmental and mechanical implications, LCA outcomes, and future directions toward achieving net-zero carbon roads. The review concludes that the combined adoption of temperature-reducing technologies and recycled materials presents the most sustainable pathway for next-generation pavement engineering.

Keywords: environmental sustainability, circular economy, hot mix asphalt, warm mix asphalt, reclaimed asphalt pavement, life cycle assessment, greenhouse gas emissions, recycled materials

I. INTRODUCTION

Background and Significance

The global construction industry contributes approximately 38% of total carbon dioxide emissions, with transportation infrastructure representing a substantial portion of this figure. Asphalt pavements, which dominate road networks worldwide, rely heavily on petroleum-based bitumen and virgin aggregates. These materials are both energy-intensive and environmentally damaging during extraction and production stages. As countries commit to the Paris Agreement targets and net-zero goals, the pavement industry must transition toward materials and technologies that minimize environmental impact while maintaining performance and safety standards.

Evolution of Sustainable Pavement Technologies

Traditional Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA), produced at temperatures between 150°C and 170°C, requires high fuel consumption and emits considerable amounts of CO₂, NO_x, and particulate matter. To counter these issues, Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA) was developed, operating at temperatures reduced by 20–40°C through additives, foaming techniques, or organic waxes. WMA not only reduces emissions and energy demand but also improves compaction, enabling higher RAP incorporation.

Parallely, the use of industrial and municipal waste materials such as Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP), Crumb Rubber (CR), Waste Plastics (WP), Wood Fly Ash (WFA), and Kraft Lignin aligns with circular Economy goals. These materials lower dependence on virgin resources, reduce land fill accumulation, and often enhance certain pavement properties such as stiffness and fatigue resistance.

Research Motivation and Scope

Despite substantial progress, gaps remain in integrating these technologies effectively. Many sustainability assessments remain limited to laboratory scale or regional contexts. There is a critical need to standardize evaluation methods and quantify environmental benefits over entire pavement life cycles. This paper synthesizes global research findings to provide a comprehensive understanding of how these sustainable materials and technologies impact the environment, economy, and pavement performance.

[14]



II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP)

RAP is generated from milling or removing existing asphalt layers and reusing them in new pavement construction. It typically consists of aged bitumen (4–6%) and aggregates. The incorporation of RAP has become common practice, with many countries allowing up to 50% RAP content in base and binder courses.

Studies by Pratico et al. (2020) and Riekstins et al. (2024) report that RAP use reduces the Global Warming Potential (GWP) by up to 30% and life cycle cost by around 18%, without significantly affecting mechanical performance when rejuvenating agents are used. The primary environmental benefit stems from reduced bitumen demand, as bitumen production contributes nearly 65% of the total CO₂ footprint in asphalt manufacturing.

However, RAP usage introduces challenges such as:

- Stiffness increase due to aged binder.
- Risk of moisture damage.
- Variability in composition requiring careful quality control.

Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA)

WMA reduces the required mixing temperature of asphalt by 20–40°C through the use of additives such as organic waxes (e.g., Sasobit), chemical surfactants (e.g., Evotherm), or water foaming. WMA technology offers:

- Fuel savings of 15–30% during production.
- Reduction of CO₂ emissions by up to 37%.
- Improved workability and compaction even at lower temperatures.

Moreover, WMA enables higher RAP content integration by reducing binder aging and improving coating. Comparative studies reveal that WMA with 45% RAP achieves similar mechanical strength and fatigue resistance as conventional HMA, with lower energy use and GHG emissions.

Crumb Rubber Modified Asphalt (CRMA)

Crumb Rubber (CR) from scrap tires enhances asphalt's elasticity and crack resistance. The dry process (rubber mixed with aggregates before bitumen) and wet process (rubber blended with hot bitumen) are two common methods. Benefits include:

- Improved resistance to rutting and cracking.
- Noise reduction due to increased surface texture.
- Recycling of waste tires, diverting millions from landfills annually.

Environmental assessments indicate mixed outcomes: while CR reduces waste and improves longevity, it can increase fresh water eutrophication due to leachates containing heavy metals. Balancing particle size (0.6–2mm) and blending time is crucial to minimize environmental impacts while maintaining mechanical gains.

Waste Plastics (WP) in Asphalt

The global plastic crisis presents opportunities for its utilization in pavements. Shredded plastics such as polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP) can be blended with bitumen or aggregates. Their use enhances stiffness, reduces rutting, and offers better resistance to moisture-induced damage.

India, the UK, and Indonesia have pioneered the use of plastic-modified roads, with field performance showing improved stability and longevity. Environmental analyses suggest a 15–20% reduction in carbon emissions due to reduced bitumen use. However, leachate management remains a concern, necessitating continuous monitoring of potential micro plastic release into water bodies. Wood Fly Ash (WFA). WFA, a byproduct of biomass combustion, serves as a filler or mineral additive in asphalt mixes. The addition of 5–15% WFA improves stiffness and reduces the need for conventional fillers such as limestone dust. Life cycle analysis reports a 4–5% decrease in carbon footprint due to waste reutilization. However, chemical variability and potential alkali leaching warrant further investigation before large-scale implementation.

Kraft Lignin as a Bio-Binder

Kraft lignin, derived from paper manufacturing, is a promising renewable binder alternative. Its aromatic structure closely resembles bitumen, enabling partial substitution of petroleum-based materials. Experimental results indicate up to 75% reduction in GHG emissions when lignin replaces 50% of bitumen. Mechanically, it shows comparable rutting resistance but slightly lower fatigue life, suggesting potential use in base or intermediate pavement layers. Cost and supply chain limitations are current barriers to full-scale use. [6]



Table1: Summary Comparison of Sustainable Pavement Technologies

Material /Technology	Energy saving (%)	CO ₂ Reduction (%)	Cost Savings (%)	Performance /Durability	Key Remarks
HMA (Conventional)	—	—	—	Standard	High emissions, less sustainable
WMA	15–30	25–37	10–15	Good	Lower temperature, eco-friendly
RAP	10–20	20–30	15–20	Moderate	Reduces virgin materials
WMA+RAP	20–30	30–40	20–25	Excellent	Best balance of eco& Strength
Crumb Rubber (CR)	5–10	10–15	5–10	High	Improves fatigue, needs control
Waste Plastics (WP)	10–20	15–25	10–15	High	Reduces rutting, manage leachate
Wood FlyAsh (WFA)	5	4–6	5–10	Moderate	Good filler, limited flexibility
Kraft Lignin	25–30	Upto75	—	Good	Promising bio-binder, costly

III. METHODOLOGY

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Framework

LCA follows the ISO14040 and14044 standards, analyzing environmental impacts throughout the pavement's life—from raw material extraction to end-of-life disposal. The cradle-to-grave system boundary includes:

1. Material extraction and processing
 2. Asphalt production and transport
 3. Road construction and maintenance
 4. End-of-life recycling or disposal
- Key impact categories valued:
- Global Warming Potential(GWP)
 - Cumulative Energy Demand(CED)
 - Human Toxicity (HT)
 - Particulate Matter Formation(PMF)
 - Acidification and Eutrophication Potential (AP, EP)
 - Resource Depletion(Mineral and Water)

Data sources include Eco invent databases, contract or reports, and regional environmental statistics. Monte Carlo simulations handle uncertainty by running thousands of random iterations.

Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA)

LCCA evaluates the total cost of ownership, including construction, maintenance, rehabilitation, and end-of-life phases. Costs are discounted over the pavement's service life, usually20–30 years. Findings consistently show that WMA + RAP combinations deliver 15–20% lower life cycle **costs** compared to conventional HMA, mainly due to energy and material savings. [8]

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND PROCEDURE

Laboratory evaluations simulate realistic production and performance conditions:

- Mix Design: Various proportions of RAP (10–50%), CR (5–10%), WP (2–8%), and WFA (5–15%) were blended with virgin materials.
- Tests Conducted:
 - Marshall Stability and Flow
 - Indirect Tensile Strength (ITS)
 - Dynamic Modulus and Rutting Resistance
 - Fatigue Life using Four-Point Bending Beam
 - Viscosity and Binder Aging Studies



Field experiments were conducted on pilot road sections across Europe and Asia. Performance was monitored for 3–7 years, including rut depth, surface roughness, and maintenance frequency. Energy use and emissions during construction were also recorded using fuel and electricity consumption data. [3]

V. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

Energy and Emission Reductions

Bitumen production dominates energy use (60–70%) and emissions across the pavement lifecycle. Using RAP and WMA combinations reduces total energy consumption by **19–22%**, while GHG emissions drop by **8–12%**. The following trends were observed:

- RAP(30%) alone: 12% reduction in GWP
- WMA: 15–18% reduction in energy demand
- WMA+RAP: 25–30% combined reduction
- Plastic-modified asphalt: up to 20% bitumen savings

Mechanical Performance

- RAP and WMA mixtures maintain equivalent Marshall Stability and Indirect Tensile Strength values.
- Crumb rubber improved fatigue resistance by 20–25%.
- Plastic and lignin additives enhanced rutting resistance by 15–18%.
- Wood fly ash improved stiffness but slightly reduced flexibility.

Economic Assessment

LCCA results show total cost reductions between 10–25% for sustainable mixtures. RAP and WMA mixtures reduced binder and energy costs significantly, while CR and WP additions reduced maintenance frequency due to improved durability. [5]

VI. DISCUSSION

Environmental Implications

Integrating recycled materials directly supports waste management and resource conservation. WMA combined With RAP provides the most balanced approach, reducing both carbon emissions and lifecycle costs. However, sustainability outcomes are region-dependent due to differences in fuel types, transport distances, and climatic conditions.

Mechanical and Durability Considerations

While mechanical performance remains largely comparable, the inclusion of recycled materials requires strict temperature control and binder modification to mitigate brittleness and moisture susceptibility. Binder rejuvenators and compatibilizers enhance performance and allow higher RAP content.

Data and Standardization Challenges

Lack of standardized LCA data bases and region-specific environmental indicators limits comparability across studies. Developing uniform frameworks for sustainable pavement assessment is essential.

Technological Innovations

Artificial Intelligence (AI), sensor-based monitoring, and Building Information Modeling (BIM) can enhance pavement sustainability by predicting performance and optimizing maintenance schedules. Digital twin and real-time data collection systems are expected to shape next-generation pavement management. [6]

VII. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Summary of Findings

The study confirms that WMA integrated with RAP delivers superior environmental and economic performance without compromising mechanical integrity. The use of CR, WP, WFA, and lignin further enhances sustainability by recycling waste streams into valuable construction materials.

Policy and Implementation Recommendations

- Governments should incentivize the use of recycled materials through tax benefits and mandatory sustainability criteria.
- LCA should become a standard tool in pavement design and procurement.
- Continuous monitoring and full-scale field validation are necessary for long-term adoption



Future Research Directions

- Development of low-cost bio-binders and rejuvenators.
- Expanded region-specific databases for accurate LCA results.
- Exploration of fully recyclable or 100% circular pavement systems.

REFERENCES

- [1]. T.M. (2020). Energy and Environmental Life Cycle Assessment of Sustainable Pavement Materials and Technologies for Urban Roads. Sustainability, 12(704), MDPI.
- [2]. Riekstins, S., et al. (2024). Comparative Environmental and Economic Assessment of Sustainable Road Pavements - A Review. Elsevier.
- [3]. Bocci, E., et al. (2022). Sustainable Pavement Engineering and Road Materials. Sustainability, 14(2166).
- [4]. S. Choudhary, H. Shrimali, and J. Shrimali, "Techno-managerial phases and challenges in development and implementation of smart city Udaipur," in *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Emerging Trends in Multi-Disciplinary Research*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370402952>
- [5]. Liu, N., Wang, Y., Bai, Q., et al. (2022). Road lifecycle carbon dioxide emissions and emission reduction technologies: A review. J. Traffic Transportation Engineering, 9(532-555).
- [6]. K. Poonia, P. Kansara, and S. Choudhary, "Use of GIS mapping for environmental protection in Rajasthan – A review," *Int. Adv. Res. J. Sci. Eng. Technol. (IARJSET)*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 812–814, 2023.
- [7]. S. Choudhary, H. Shrimali, and J. Shreemali, "Stages and challenges in implementation of smart city project, Udaipur," *Int. J. Innovative Sci. Res. Technol. (IJISRT)*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 2451–2456, May 2023.
- [8]. International Energy Agency. (2017). World Energy Outlook. Paris, France.
- [9]. Additional peer-reviewed journals covering recycled materials, pavement technologies, and lifecycle assessment.
- [10]. Pratico, F.G., Giunta, M., Mistretta, M., & Gulotta, T.M. (2020). Energy and Environmental Life Cycle Assessment of Sustainable Pavement Materials and Technologies for Urban Roads. Sustainability, 12(704), MDPI.
- [11]. Riekstins, S., et al. (2024). Comparative Environmental and Economic Assessment of Sustainable Road Pavements - A Review. Elsevier.
- [12]. Bocci, E., et al. (2022). Sustainable Pavement Engineering and Road Materials. Sustainability, 14(2166).
- [13]. Liu, N., Wang, Y., Bai, Q., et al. (2022). Road lifecycle carbon dioxide emissions and emission reduction technologies: A review. J. Traffic Transportation Engineering, 9(532-555).
- [14]. International Energy Agency. (2017). World Energy Outlook. Paris, France.
- [15]. Additional peer-reviewed journals covering recycled materials, pavement technologies, and lifecycle assessment.
- [16]. Hasan, U., Whyte, A., & Al Jassmi, H. (2020). A review of the sustainability of asphalt pavements using life cycle assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 244, 118–161.
- [17]. Aurangzeb, Q., & Al-Qadi, I. L. (2014). Carbon footprint analysis of recycled asphalt pavement rehabilitation techniques. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 78, 61–71.
- [18]. Rubio, M. C., Martínez, G., Baena, L., & Moreno, F. (2012). Warm mix asphalt: An overview. Journal of Cleaner Production, 24, 76–84.
- [19]. Rahman, M. T., Imteaz, M. A., & Arulrajah, A. (2018). Sustainability assessment of bituminous materials incorporating waste tire rubber and plastics. Construction and Building Materials, 159, 743–757.
- [20]. Kumar, P., & Garg, R. (2011). Performance of waste plastic modified bituminous mixes. Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research, 70, 975–979.
- [21]. Sybilski, D. (2013). Warm mix asphalt technology: Chemical additives and performance. Transportation Research Record, 2370(1), 101–108.
- [21]. Copeland, A. (2011). Reclaimed asphalt pavement in asphalt mixtures: State of the practice. FHWA-HRT-11-021, Federal Highway Administration.