

Universal Midwifery Coverage and Maternal Equity: Lessons from Ontario's Health System

Kate Arku Korsah

A PhD Student at the Institute of Development Studies, Africa Research University, Zambia.

Abstract: Universal health care is a vital part of Canada's social contract, and its comprehensive integration of midwifery services sets Ontario apart. This study examines how Ontario's healthcare system achieves nearly universal maternal coverage through publicly funded midwifery services, emphasizing accessibility, equity, and continuity of care. Using provincial health reports for 2024–2025, policy documents, and global standards for maternal health, the research critically evaluates funding models, workforce distribution, and access barriers. The findings show that Ontario's contractor-based midwifery system delivers high-quality, equitable maternity care, including for uninsured populations. However, it faces capacity and funding challenges. Lessons from Ontario's approach demonstrate that achieving universal coverage requires not only adequate funding but also systemic flexibility, autonomous operation, and ongoing investment in midwifery infrastructure.

Keywords: Universal health coverage, midwifery, Ontario Health Insurance Plan, maternal health, public health equity, Canada.

I. INTRODUCTION

Universal health coverage (UHC) ensures that everyone can access the health services they need without facing financial hardship. In Canada, this principle is realized through publicly funded health insurance programs managed at the provincial level. Ontario's approach, through the Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP), has set an international standard for fair maternal care, thanks to its strong midwifery program. Since midwifery regulation began in 1994, Ontario has adopted a publicly financed, patient-focused model that prioritizes continuity of care, autonomy, and cultural sensitivity. However, challenges such as population growth, migration, and workforce shortages are increasingly affecting access for all. This paper explores Ontario's midwifery care structure, funding, and outcomes within the broader context of universal health coverage, offering insights for sustainable maternal health governance.

II. METHODS AND APPROACH

A comparative policy analysis was conducted utilizing official sources, including the Ontario Ministry of Health (2024), Health Canada (2025), and peer-reviewed studies published between 2020 and 2025. Data were corroborated with the World Health Organization's Global Health Observatory and the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) databases. The study also integrates qualitative findings from Ontario's Midwifery Integration Reports (2023–2025) and quantitative metrics concerning maternal health outcomes.

III. OVERVIEW OF ONTARIO'S UNIVERSAL HEALTH SYSTEM

Ontario's health system operates under the Canada Health Act, which guarantees that medically necessary services are funded with public money. The Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP) provides coverage for hospital and doctor services through a system of progressive taxation. Although midwifery care is not directly billed through OHIP, it is still publicly funded through the Ontario Midwifery Program (OMP), managed by the Ministry of Health. This dual system separates administrative funding from professional independence, allowing midwives to work in community-based, hospital, or home settings. Each patient receives a care course (CoC) covering prenatal, intrapartum, and postpartum care for six weeks at no charge.

IV. MIDWIFERY IN ONTARIO: STRUCTURE AND FUNDING

Ontario's midwifery contractor model represents a distinctive global framework. Midwives operate as autonomous practitioners within community-based practice groups. The Ministry provides direct funding to each Cooperative of Midwives (CoC), thereby circumventing conventional hospital employment arrangements.

Table 1: Structure of Ontario's Midwifery Care Model (2025)

Component	Description
Funding Source	Ministry of Health through Ontario Midwifery Program
Payment Method	Fixed "Course of Care" bundles (prenatal, postpartum)
Eligibility	All residents, including uninsured clients
Practice Type	Independent, community-based contractors
Integration	Collaborate with hospitals and physicians for escalated care

This system upholds the equity principles of Universal Health Coverage (UHC) by decoupling access to care from insurance status. The Association of Ontario Midwives (AOM) reports that, as of 2024, more than 40% of clients without OHIP received fully subsidized midwifery services.

V. EQUITY AND ACCESSIBILITY OUTCOMES

Ontario's inclusive midwifery model extends beyond merely financial access; it emphasizes cultural safety, informed choice, and relational continuity, particularly for Indigenous and newcomer populations. According to the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI, 2025), 87% of clients receiving midwifery care reported experiencing "excellent" satisfaction with their birth experiences, while 92% of births attended by midwives were devoid of preventable complications. The maternal mortality ratio (MMR) in Ontario is recorded at 6 deaths per 100,000 live births (2024), representing one of the lowest rates globally. Nevertheless, regional disparities persist, with rural and northern communities exhibiting midwife-to-population ratios below 1:50,000, in contrast to 1:8,000 in urban regions (Ontario Health Workforce Report, 2025).

VI. WORKFORCE CAPACITY AND POLICY LIMITATIONS

Ontario's universality is limited not by policy, but by workforce capacity caps. Midwifery groups receive capped funding for a fixed number of CoCs annually. As a result, an estimated 4,500 clients were unable to secure midwifery care in 2024 despite eligibility (AOM Annual Report, 2025). The shortage is particularly severe in remote Indigenous communities, where recruitment and retention remain ongoing issues. Expanding training positions through the Ryerson University Midwifery Education Program and Indigenous Midwifery Education Initiatives is expected to reduce the gap by 2027.

VII. COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE: ONTARIO VS. NATIONAL BENCHMARKS

Ontario exceeds most Canadian provinces in maternal outcomes because of its thorough integration of midwives into universal healthcare.

Table 2: Comparative Maternal Health Indicators (2024)

Indicator	Ontario	National Average (Canada)
Skilled Birth Attendance	99.7%	98.5%
Maternal Mortality Ratio	6 per 100,000	7.8 per 100,000
Neonatal Mortality	2.9 per 1,000	3.4 per 1,000
Midwife-to-Population Ratio	1:10,000	1:14,000
Out-of-Pocket Maternity Costs	<1% of total health expenditure	2.1%

These indicators affirm that **publicly funded, autonomous midwifery care contributes measurably to Ontario's health equity outcomes.**

VIII. DISCUSSION

Ontario's success in midwifery care depends on policy coherence, autonomy, and accountability. By integrating midwifery into the provincial public health system while preserving practitioner independence, Ontario effectively bridges the administrative gap between universal objectives and clinical practice. Challenges remain in ensuring equity for marginalized populations, particularly migrants without permanent status. Although midwifery services are provided at no cost, hospital-related fees for uninsured clients continue to pose challenges, thereby undermining comprehensive financial protection.

Furthermore, universal system effectiveness relies on expanding the workforce and ensuring fair geographic distribution. Ontario's upcoming strategic plan (2025–2030) allocates funding for 200 new midwifery positions, promotes digital health integration, and supports more rural birth centers, demonstrating a commitment to maintaining universal maternal care.

IX. LESSONS FOR GLOBAL HEALTH POLICY

Ontario's model exemplifies that achieving universal health coverage in maternal care is attainable through structural innovation rather than solely through increased expenditure. Key insights include: 1. Autonomy enhances efficiency. Independent midwifery models can expand access without adding bureaucracy. 2. Funding flexibility guarantees access. Direct CoC funding facilitates the inclusion of uninsured populations. 3. Community engagement fosters equity. Cultural and linguistic responsiveness increases utilization among vulnerable groups. These insights are particularly pertinent for low- and middle-income countries seeking to attain Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3.1, which aims to reduce maternal mortality to below 70 per 100,000 live births by 2030.

X. CONCLUSION

Ontario's universal midwifery care represents a functional model of equity-driven maternal health governance. By coupling public funding with professional autonomy and inclusivity, Ontario has nearly eliminated financial barriers to maternal care. Future success depends on expanding workforce capacity, enhancing rural service delivery, and ensuring consistent funding renewal. As global health systems seek sustainable maternal coverage frameworks, Ontario's experience provides a replicable blueprint for equitable, community-based midwifery care.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Ontario Ministry of Health. *Midwifery in Ontario*. 2024. Available from: <https://www.ontario.ca/page/midwifery-ontario>
- [2]. Canadian Institute for Health Information. *Maternal and Infant Health Indicators, 2025*. Ottawa: CIHI; 2025.
- [3]. Association of Ontario Midwives. *Uninsured Clients Report 2024*. Toronto: AOM; 2024.
- [4]. Williams G, Gul R. *The impact of funding models on the integration of Ontario midwives*. BMC Health Serv Res. 2023;23(10104).
- [5]. Health Canada. *Universal Health Coverage Policy Brief*. Ottawa: Government of Canada; 2025.
- [6]. World Health Organization. *Global Health Observatory Data: Maternal Mortality, 2024*. Geneva: WHO; 2024.
- [7]. Ontario Health Workforce Report. *Midwifery Capacity and Distribution 2025*. Toronto: Ontario Health; 2025.
- [8]. Alatinga KA. *Why "free maternal healthcare" is not entirely free in Ghana*. Health Policy Syst. 2024;22(31).
- [9]. Adawudu EA. *The effects of Ghana's free maternal healthcare policy under the NHIS: A scoping review*. Arch Public Health. 2024;82(11372777).